

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2022 Results

Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Published July 2023

Executive Summary

Questions Above Expected Range

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	95%	89%	94%	91%
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	79%	71%	78%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	76%	66%	73%	69%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	64%	55%	63%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	59%	48%	58%	53%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	68%	58%	67%	62%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	92%	83%	91%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	69%	33%	53%	43%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.1	8.7	9.1	8.9

Questions Below Expected Range

	Case	Case Mix Adjusted Scores		
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	66%	71%	81%	76%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	76%	76%	84%	80%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	15%	17%	24%	21%

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 is the 12th iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2022 survey involved 133 NHS Trusts. Out of 115,662 people, 61,268 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 53%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2022. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2022 and February 2023.

As in the previous seven years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Please note that following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2022 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Trust, the results are not shown for that question for that Trust.

For Trusts with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Trust has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Trust's results (both the Trust level and subgroup results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Trust.

The same rule applies to groups in each subgroup breakdown. For example, if only **one** Trust has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Trust's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2021 and 2022 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. If there is a statistically significant change from 2021 an arrow will be presented for the direction of change.

The adjusted 2022 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour type, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

Ethnicity tables

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show two columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last two years (2021 and 2022) for each scored question.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2022 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2022 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at www.ncpes.co.uk. For all other outputs at Trust level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Response Rate

Overall Response Rate

644 patients responded out of a total of 1,160 patients, resulting in a response rate of 56%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Overall response rate	1,232	1,160	644	56%
National	123,632	115,662	61,268	53%

Respondents by Survey Type


	Number of Respondents
Paper	504
Online	140
Phone	0
Translation Service	0
Total	644


Respondents by Tumour Group


	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	12
Breast	128
Colorectal / LGT	47
Gynaecological	34
Haematological	123
Head and Neck	16
Lung	29
Prostate	0
Sarcoma	14
Skin	38
Upper Gastro	60
Urological	19
Other	124
Total	644


Respondents by Ethnicity

	Number of Respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	540
Irish	*
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Any other White background	29
Mixed / Multiple Ethnicity	
White and Black Caribbean	*
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	*
Pakistani	*
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	8
Any other Asian background	*
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	*
Caribbean	*
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	
Not given	38
Total	644









Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.



Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

**	No	score	availab	le f	for	2021.
----	----	-------	---------	------	-----	-------

		Una	djusted So	cores	Case M				
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	319	77%	320	77%		79%	73%	82%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	400	63%	392	62%		63%	61%	70%	65%

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	492	95%	493	92%		92%	90%	95%	92%	
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	507	88%	517	83%		84%	80%	87%	83%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	514	81%	521	79%		81%	75%	82%	78%	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	512	76%	520	77%		79%	75%	82%	78%	
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	508	94%	523	94%		95%	93%	97%	95%	

		Una	djusted So	cores	Case M				
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	554	57%	583	65%	A	66%	71%	81%	76%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	600	71%	636	73%		74%	70%	77%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place		74%	639	74%		76%	73%	80%	76%
		81%	636	82%		84%	82%	88%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	538	84%	576	83%		84%	81%	87%	84%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjuste	d Scores	
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	583	96%	617	95%		95%	89%	94%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	538	85%	542	85%		85%	79%	88%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	541	98%	560	96%		97%	94%	97%	95%

Comparability tables

Adjusted Score below Lower

 * Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. ** No score available for 2021. 	▲ or ▼	Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.	Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range	
		Unadiusted Scores	Case Mix Adjusted Scores	\neg

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	d Scores		
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	563	83%	600	82%		83%	79%	85%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	589	80%	634	79%		81%	76%	82%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	481	70%	539	76%		76%	76%	84%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	268	57%	270	50%		52%	46%	58%	52%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	d Scores		
CARE PLANNING	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	548	69%	565	72%		73%	67%	75%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	324	93%	353	94%		94%	90%	96%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	258	98%	285	99%		99%	97%	100%	99%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	d Scores		
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	506	91%	519	91%		91%	87%	93%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	594	79%	635	78%		79%	72%	79%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	291	69%	306	65%		63%	59%	76%	67%

	Unadjusted Scores					Case M	Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
HOSPITAL CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	314	82%	317	78%		80%	74%	83%	79%	
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	261	57%	259	67%		67%	60%	71%	66%	
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	308	71%	315	69%		70%	64%	75%	70%	
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	307	73%	311	74%		76%	67%	78%	73%	
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	297	67%	294	66%		67%	59%	70%	64%	
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	273	84%	266	85%		86%	80%	89%	84%	
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	311	89%	318	87%		88%	84%	91%	88%	
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	307	88%	311	88%		88%	84%	92%	88%	
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	542	81%	588	78%		78%	75%	82%	78%	

Comparability tables

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

for cancer treatment was about right

▲ or **▼**

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

> Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

**	No score	available	for 2021

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores				
YOUR TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score		
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	331	86%	343	87%		87%	86%	93%	89%		
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	346	85%	370	87%		88%	82%	89%	85%		
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	192	86%	189	87%		88%	84%	93%	88%		
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	77	78%	81	77%		79%	70%	88%	79%		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	125	86%	115	85%		85%	77%	91%	84%		
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	332	83%	343	85%		86%	81%	89%	85%		
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	344	82%	371	79%		80%	74%	83%	79%		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	188	80%	188	76%		77%	75%	86%	81%		
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	78	74%	79	75%		76%	63%	82%	72%		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	123	79%	117	76%		77%	72%	87%	80%		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	588	80%	622	77%		79%	70%	85%	78%		

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	Scores		
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	577	76%	615	78%		79%	71%	78%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	560	71%	598	75%		76%	66%	73%	69%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	437	89%	464	88%		88%	83%	89%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	545	59%	582	62%		64%	55%	63%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	462	53%	504	56%		59%	48%	58%	53%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M			
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	382	57%	420	57%		58%	53%	63%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	240	50%	226	51%		51%	44%	58%	51%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.



Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2021.

		Una	djusted S	cores		Case M			
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	331	41%	336	41%		42%	38%	51%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	579	13%	608	15%		15%	17%	24%	21%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjusted	d Scores	
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	110	27%	141	33%		34%	23%	39%	31%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	262	84%	287	80%		81%	73%	83%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	490	66%	529	68%		68%	58%	67%	62%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	d Scores		
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	578	93%	611	89%		89%	87%	92%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	598	92%	627	92%		92%	83%	91%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	463	70%	464	69%		69%	33%	53%	43%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	587	9.1	613	9.0		9.1	8.7	9.1	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	96%	91%	68%	58%	70%	69%	*	*	95%	74%	*	71%	77%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	79%	56%	70%	46%	*	67%	*	40%	80%	48%	67%	57%	62%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	87%	95%	87%	94%	92%	91%	*	92%	96%	89%	94%	96%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	83%	80%	81%	83%	71%	84%	*	73%	93%	75%	88%	86%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	88%	73%	75%	88%	69%	80%	*	58%	79%	73%	72%	76%	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	81%	80%	75%	81%	64%	75%	*	55%	93%	67%	72%	77%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	97%	88%	97%	94%	93%	100%	*	75%	100%	95%	100%	94%	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	ur Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	75%	44%	74%	61%	64%	73%	75%	*	77%	59%	76%	71%	74%	65%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	75%	79%	70%	68%	64%	75%	66%	*	71%	82%	74%	71%	77%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	83%	79%	70%	71%	69%	75%	66%	*	64%	95%	69%	79%	75%	74%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	83%	83%	83%	82%	77%	88%	90%	*	79%	89%	81%	78%	83%	82%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	91%	90%	85%	84%	78%	73%	84%	*	85%	86%	81%	87%	80%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	100%	91%	93%	97%	96%	100%	97%	*	100%	97%	95%	95%	95%	95%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	60%	82%	85%	86%	85%	87%	81%	*	93%	96%	78%	79%	92%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	91%	100%	97%	97%	97%	100%	96%	*	100%	94%	88%	94%	96%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	64%	83%	86%	75%	80%	81%	73%	*	*	94%	82%	94%	83%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	82%	72%	89%	82%	83%	75%	79%	*	62%	95%	84%	95%	73%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	91%	53%	82%	81%	77%	92%	92%	*	67%	84%	74%	100%	79%	76%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	44%	41%	61%	49%	*	47%	*	*	54%	56%	*	55%	50%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	90%	69%	73%	73%	76%	71%	60%	*	67%	81%	71%	72%	72%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	96%	97%	95%	90%	100%	100%	*	*	83%	89%	*	97%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	97%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	*	*	100%	100%	*	98%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	92%	95%	96%	87%	86%	100%	*	79%	90%	85%	100%	91%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	75%	72%	87%	79%	74%	75%	86%	*	71%	84%	80%	84%	79%	78%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	63%	75%	78%	69%	*	85%	*	73%	*	47%	*	61%	65%

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	100%	81%	80%	79%	78%	75%	*	*	64%	*	78%	*	77%	78%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	70%	58%	68%	75%	66%	75%	*	*	50%	*	68%	*	71%	67%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	70%	67%	67%	71%	67%	58%	*	*	45%	*	69%	*	77%	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	90%	73%	79%	78%	78%	67%	*	*	55%	*	68%	*	72%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	63%	68%	74%	62%	55%	*	*	*	*	71%	*	64%	66%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	86%	81%	85%	82%	75%	*	*	82%	*	81%	*	93%	85%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	90%	90%	83%	96%	86%	75%	*	*	82%	*	89%	*	88%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	87%	86%	82%	89%	91%	*	*	91%	*	89%	*	89%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	71%	88%	71%	78%	86%	76%	*	77%	73%	77%	89%	81%	78%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	92%	86%	77%	87%	71%	83%	*	*	*	94%	91%	94%	91%	87%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	83%	92%	88%	85%	*	81%	*	83%	*	86%	*	89%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	80%	85%	*	100%	94%	*	100%	*	*	*	*	*	85%	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	80%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	70%	*	*	95%	*	82%	*	*	92%	*	*	87%	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	83%	87%	74%	90%	79%	92%	*	*	100%	82%	86%	94%	83%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	71%	87%	83%	80%	*	85%	*	83%	*	72%	*	78%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	70%	79%	*	92%	60%	*	81%	*	*	*	*	*	77%	76%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	76%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%	75%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	60%	*	*	74%	*	86%	*	*	71%	*	*	80%	76%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	91%	75%	76%	82%	66%	81%	83%	*	86%	86%	78%	89%	81%	77%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	91%	75%	80%	81%	75%	87%	74%	*	79%	77%	74%	100%	79%	78%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	71%	79%	81%	72%	94%	70%	*	79%	67%	65%	100%	78%	75%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	80%	93%	88%	90%	88%	93%	89%	*	92%	78%	77%	92%	88%	88%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	80%	67%	63%	50%	55%	81%	58%	*	54%	58%	71%	86%	57%	62%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	60%	54%	50%	51%	63%	50%	*	58%	45%	59%	85%	57%	56%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	43%	53%	58%	64%	67%	65%	*	64%	56%	65%	50%	54%	57%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	50%	61%	50%	50%	*	45%	*	*	50%	41%	*	60%	51%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	51%	50%	16%	39%	*	31%	*	*	52%	29%	20%	48%	41%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	0%	14%	13%	21%	15%	31%	15%	*	23%	11%	18%	17%	11%	15%

Tumour type tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	37%	*	25%	29%	*	*	*	*	*	30%	*	44%	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	83%	85%	62%	88%	86%	*	*	*	74%	61%	*	83%	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	60%	61%	61%	57%	74%	60%	72%	*	50%	82%	63%	82%	74%	68%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	91%	90%	91%	90%	89%	87%	86%	*	83%	89%	84%	83%	88%	89%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	82%	93%	96%	94%	93%	94%	89%	*	92%	92%	85%	89%	91%	92%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	84%	45%	35%	54%	73%	71%	*	77%	75%	80%	62%	72%	69%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	9.1	9.1	9.2	9.1	8.4	9.3	*	9.0	9.3	8.8	9.2	8.9	9.0

Age group tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	92%	80%	72%	79%	74%	*	77%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	64%	67%	62%	58%	62%	77%	62%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	94%	81%	93%	93%	93%	100%	92%	
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	76%	80%	81%	80%	90%	88%	83%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	65%	78%	75%	81%	82%	100%	79%	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	65%	76%	80%	74%	79%	88%	77%	
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	82%	95%	92%	96%	96%	100%	94%	

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	75%	35%	56%	58%	68%	74%	68%	65%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	56%	38%	76%	69%	75%	78%	84%	73%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	81%	48%	77%	71%	74%	77%	85%	74%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	81%	57%	83%	77%	86%	86%	90%	82%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	94%	89%	90%	80%	84%	81%	83%	83%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	93%	100%	92%	91%	95%	98%	94%	95%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	86%	83%	82%	83%	86%	87%	82%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	100%	89%	98%	98%	95%	96%	100%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	93%	80%	79%	85%	81%	80%	94%	82%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	81%	57%	74%	83%	79%	82%	80%	79%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	71%	47%	69%	73%	76%	83%	85%	76%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	50%	38%	47%	52%	54%	*	50%	

Age group tables

CARE PLANNING	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	69%	55%	70%	72%	73%	75%	76%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	100%	97%	91%	94%	92%	*	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	100%	100%	98%	98%	100%	*	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Age										
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	86%	84%	90%	91%	90%	93%	*	91%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	80%	57%	69%	76%	78%	84%	90%	78%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	77%	63%	65%	63%	68%	58%	*	65%		

HOSPITAL CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	54%	54%	74%	80%	77%	88%	85%	78%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	*	71%	66%	62%	69%	90%	67%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	77%	46%	67%	64%	70%	74%	77%	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	85%	54%	73%	75%	69%	76%	91%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	75%	33%	68%	70%	65%	65%	80%	66%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	92%	50%	88%	79%	90%	85%	*	85%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	77%	77%	77%	91%	83%	94%	100%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	100%	77%	87%	89%	84%	93%	92%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	69%	70%	70%	77%	78%	80%	93%	78%

Age group tables

YOUR TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	87%	83%	83%	86%	90%	100%	87%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	92%	94%	80%	87%	88%	86%	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	*	79%	88%	91%	81%	*	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	62%	80%	70%	89%	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	82%	92%	82%	81%	*	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	*	80%	81%	83%	86%	87%	100%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	75%	53%	70%	79%	87%	78%	*	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	*	*	83%	75%	81%	63%	*	76%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	*	62%	72%	70%	89%	*	75%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	82%	74%	78%	75%	*	76%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	67%	55%	68%	78%	81%	80%	85%	77%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS			Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	93%	76%	81%	81%	78%	71%	82%	78%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	87%	67%	76%	78%	72%	73%	94%	75%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	92%	83%	91%	93%	88%	82%	91%	88%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	50%	65%	55%	63%	66%	56%	87%	62%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	46%	47%	52%	51%	59%	58%	92%	56%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	44%	53%	47%	59%	61%	*	57%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	52%	41%	53%	54%	*	51%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	29%	41%	38%	40%	42%	*	41%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	8%	6%	9%	16%	16%	13%	25%	15%

Age group tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	44%	18%	28%	41%	*	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	58%	78%	79%	77%	88%	100%	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	58%	53%	67%	68%	63%	76%	83%	68%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	100%	79%	88%	89%	86%	89%	100%	89%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	93%	80%	94%	90%	91%	93%	95%	92%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	80%	69%	74%	70%	69%	66%	*	69%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.9	8.5	8.8	8.9	9.1	9.3	9.4	9.0

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	81%	71%	*	*	*	71%	77%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	68%	53%	*	*	*	67%	62%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	90%	96%	*	*	*	88%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	80%	87%	*	*	*	67%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	80%	80%	*	*	*	62%	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	80%	*	*	*	68%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	95%	*	*	*	90%	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	59%	74%	*	*	*	59%	65%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	73%	72%	*	*	*	74%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	74%	75%	*	*	*	69%	74%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	83%	81%	*	*	*	85%	82%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	85%	81%	*	*	*	83%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	95%	94%	*	*	*	96%	95%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	86%	84%	*	*	*	87%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	97%	96%	*	*	*	96%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	81%	84%	*	*	*	80%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	77%	83%	*	*	*	77%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	72%	82%	*	*	*	65%	76%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	48%	53%	*	*	*	*	50%

CARE PLANNING				Male/Fema	ile/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	71%	76%	*	*	*	67%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	93%	96%	*	*	*	88%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	99%	*	*	*	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	90%	92%	*	*	*	90%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	76%	82%	*	*	*	58%	78%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	64%	67%	*	*	*	*	65%

HOSPITAL CARE				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	74%	83%	*	*	*	79%	78%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	66%	68%	*	*	*	64%	67%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	66%	73%	*	*	*	57%	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	70%	78%	*	*	*	71%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	68%	66%	*	*	*	50%	66%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	85%	83%	*	*	*	91%	85%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	88%	85%	*	*	*	100%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	87%	89%	*	*	*	85%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	73%	85%	*	*	*	72%	78%

YOUR TREATMENT				Male/Fema	ile/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	86%	88%	*	*	*	80%	87%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	86%	87%	*	*	*	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	86%	88%	*	*	*	*	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	77%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	83%	90%	*	*	*	*	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	86%	86%	*	*	*	65%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	81%	77%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	79%	69%	*	*	*	*	76%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	75%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	75%	81%	*	*	*	*	76%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	75%	81%	*	*	*	74%	77%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	TS		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	75%	82%	*	*	*	70%	78%		
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	71%	81%	*	*	*	68%	75%		
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	90%	85%	*	*	*	84%	88%		
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	59%	66%	*	*	*	57%	62%		
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	54%	61%	*	*	*	50%	56%		

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male Non-binary Prefer to self-describe Prefer not to say Not given					
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	51%	65%	*	*	*	53%	57%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	46%	56%	*	*	*	67%	51%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	45%	37%	*	*	*	23%	41%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	14%	16%	*	*	*	14%	15%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	31%	37%	*	*	*	*	33%	
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	79%	83%	*	*	*	75%	80%	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	66%	73%	*	*	*	52%	68%	

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	87%	*	*	*	91%	89%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	91%	92%	*	*	*	91%	92%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	72%	66%	*	*	*	63%	69%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.0	9.1	*	*	*	8.9	9.0	

Ethnicity tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethr	nicity		
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not given						All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	77%	*	*	*	*	68%	77%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	63%	*	40%	*	*	65%	62%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	93%	*	70%	*	*	88%	92%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	*	55%	*	*	77%	83%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	80%	*	82%	*	*	60%	79%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	*	55%	*	*	74%	77%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	*	100%	*	*	93%	94%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	66%	*	53%	*	*	60%	65%		
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	72%	*	94%	*	*	78%	73%		
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	*	56%	*	*	76%	74%		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	82%	*	100%	*	*	83%	82%		
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	84%	*	79%	*	*	79%	83%		

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N		Ethnicity				
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	95%	*	93%	*	*	94%	95%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	*	79%	*	*	87%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	*	100%	*	*	97%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	83%	*	80%	*	*	76%	82%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	*	67%	*	*	69%	79%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	77%	*	64%	*	*	67%	76%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	51%	*	30%	*	*	55%	50%		

Ethnicity tables

CARE PLANNING		Ethnicity					
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not give						All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	74%	*	60%	*	*	56%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	*	91%	*	*	90%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	*	100%	*	*	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	91%	*	87%	*	*	85%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	79%	*	69%	*	*	60%	78%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	65%	*	67%	*	*	58%	65%

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	79%	*	*	*	*	78%	78%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	68%	*	*	*	*	64%	67%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	70%	*	*	*	*	56%	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	74%	*	*	*	*	72%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	68%	*	*	*	*	53%	66%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	85%	*	*	*	*	87%	85%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	87%	*	*	*	*	94%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	88%	*	*	*	*	82%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	79%	*	75%	*	*	67%	78%

Ethnicity tables

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	88%	*	*	*	*	77%	87%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	87%	*	75%	*	*	77%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	*	*	*	*	80%	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	77%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	87%	*	*	*	*	*	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	87%	*	*	*	*	71%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	80%	*	75%	*	*	67%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	77%	*	*	*	*	*	76%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	75%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	79%	*	*	*	*	*	76%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	78%	*	56%	*	*	76%	77%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	S	Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	79%	*	94%	*	*	55%	78%		
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	76%	*	81%	*	*	59%	75%		
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	88%	*	100%	*	*	87%	88%		
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	62%	*	63%	*	*	61%	62%		
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	57%	*	53%	*	*	35%	56%		

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME				Ethr	nicity		
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not given						
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	58%	*	46%	*	*	50%	57%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	51%	*	*	*	*	59%	51%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not give					Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	41%	*	*	*	*	38%	41%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	15%	*	19%	*	*	16%	15%

Ethnicity tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	34%	*	*	*	*	*	33%		
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	83%	*	70%	*	*	65%	80%		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	68%	*	64%	*	*	60%	68%		

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	*	81%	*	*	87%	89%		
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	92%	*	94%	*	*	91%	92%		
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	69%	*	92%	*	*	61%	69%		
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.1	*	8.5	*	*	8.7	9.0		

IMD quintile tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				IMD Quintil	le		
	1 (most deprived) 2 3 4 5 (least deprived) England					Non- England	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	72%	77%	80%	77%	*	77%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	55%	47%	59%	66%	64%	*	62%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	100%	89%	89%	94%	92%	*	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	93%	76%	83%	84%	83%	*	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	79%	80%	74%	82%	81%	*	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	69%	80%	77%	78%	77%	*	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	92%	96%	96%	96%	93%	*	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	67%	67%	70%	66%	61%	*	65%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	75%	72%	70%	72%	76%	*	73%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	72%	73%	73%	76%	*	74%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	81%	77%	83%	81%	84%	*	82%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	93%	85%	80%	86%	82%	*	83%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			IMD Quinti			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	100%	91%	92%	97%	96%	*	95%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	93%	80%	88%	83%	86%	*	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	93%	90%	96%	97%	98%	*	96%

IMD quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		IMD Quintile							
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	94%	72%	84%	83%	82%	*	82%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	88%	80%	80%	80%	78%	*	79%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	67%	76%	81%	76%	73%	*	76%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	62%	44%	55%	44%	*	50%		

CARE PLANNING	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	69%	71%	83%	70%	69%	*	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	89%	97%	93%	94%	*	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	100%	98%	99%	99%	*	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	87%	76%	94%	94%	91%	*	91%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	88%	68%	78%	84%	74%	*	78%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	57%	75%	64%	65%	*	65%		

HOSPITAL CARE	IMD Quintile							
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	72%	82%	77%	77%	*	78%	
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	68%	77%	61%	64%	*	67%	
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	69%	72%	66%	68%	*	69%	
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	73%	80%	74%	69%	*	74%	
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	61%	74%	58%	67%	*	66%	
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	72%	89%	84%	87%	*	85%	
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	72%	92%	86%	90%	*	87%	
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	75%	95%	92%	83%	*	88%	
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	87%	77%	82%	80%	73%	*	78%	

IMD quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	81%	87%	88%	86%	*	87%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	87%	81%	89%	87%	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	86%	93%	90%	82%	*	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	100%	74%	72%	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	85%	91%	79%	*	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	73%	88%	88%	85%	*	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	82%	83%	83%	73%	*	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	71%	88%	79%	69%	*	76%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	100%	59%	76%	*	75%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	70%	78%	76%	*	76%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	81%	87%	79%	77%	74%	*	77%

MMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS				IMD Quinti	D Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	81%	74%	80%	78%	77%	*	78%		
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	80%	70%	77%	75%	75%	*	75%		
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	100%	83%	88%	91%	87%	*	88%		
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	73%	55%	65%	65%	59%	*	62%		
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	60%	48%	57%	63%	53%	*	56%		

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	58%	63%	64%	58%	49%	*	57%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	48%	47%	45%	59%	*	51%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			IMD Quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	42%	34%	33%	43%	46%	*	41%	
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	7%	14%	14%	15%	15%	*	15%	

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

IMD quintile tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	25%	41%	33%	29%	*	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	69%	81%	81%	83%	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	86%	70%	68%	70%	64%	*	68%

OUR OVERALL NHS CARE				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	67%	85%	90%	89%	90%	*	89%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	87%	82%	96%	92%	92%	*	92%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	58%	71%	68%	67%	71%	*	69%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	8.9	9.1	9.1	9.0	*	9.0

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	77%	78%	67%	77%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	61%	63%	68%	62%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	92%	95%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	82%	85%	71%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	81%	79%	68%	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	81%	73%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	96%	89%	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	67%	64%	50%	65%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	75%	70%	74%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	74%	76%	73%	74%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in appropriate place	85%	78%	81%	82%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	83%	83%	86%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	SON Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	96%	94%	92%	95%	
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	84%	86%	90%	85%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	98%	97%	96%	

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Long term condition s	status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	81%	86%	78%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	79%	82%	73%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	78%	74%	62%	76%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	47%	54%	57%	50%

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

CARE PLANNING	Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	73%	73%	64%	72%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	96%	89%	94%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	100%	100%	99%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	91%	90%	93%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	78%	80%	60%	78%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	62%	70%	73%	65%

HOSPITAL CARE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	76%	82%	76%	78%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	70%	61%	69%	67%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	69%	69%	62%	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	73%	75%	71%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	65%	72%	45%	66%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	84%	84%	94%	85%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	88%	85%	95%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	86%	94%	75%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	78%	79%	71%	78%

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT		Long term condition	n status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	88%	86%	77%	87%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	92%	65%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	85%	92%	70%	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	74%	81%	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	78%	98%	*	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	87%	86%	65%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	80%	81%	59%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	74%	85%	*	76%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	75%	73%	*	75%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	69%	89%	*	76%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	76%	79%	74%	77%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS Long term condition status					
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	76%	81%	74%	78%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	74%	78%	64%	75%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	86%	92%	84%	88%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	62%	64%	48%	62%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	55%	60%	54%	56%	


SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	57%	58%	43%	57%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	49%	54%	59%	51%


CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	41%	43%	22%	41%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	17%	11%	9%	15%


* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	IG WITH AND BEYOND CANCER Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	34%	35%	25%	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	80%	85%	60%	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	68%	72%	50%	68%


YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	87%	91%	94%	89%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	91%	93%	91%	92%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	65%	76%	70%	69%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.0	9.1	8.9	9.0







































































































































































































Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

