

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2022 Results

Ashford and St Peter's Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Published July 2023

The Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England

Executive Summary

Questions Above Expected Range

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	cores	
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	92%	69%	87%	78%

Questions Below Expected Range

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	79%	80%	90%	85%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	54%	56%	79%	67%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	14%	15%	26%	21%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	81%	81%	92%	87%

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 is the 12th iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2022 survey involved 133 NHS Trusts. Out of 115,662 people, 61,268 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 53%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2022. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2022 and February 2023.

As in the previous seven years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Please note that following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2022 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Trust, the results are not shown for that question for that Trust.

For Trusts with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Trust has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Trust's results (both the Trust level and subgroup results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Trust.

The same rule applies to groups in each subgroup breakdown. For example, if only **one** Trust has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Trust's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2021 and 2022 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. If there is a statistically significant change from 2021 an arrow will be presented for the direction of change.

The adjusted 2022 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour type, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, longterm condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

Ethnicity tables

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show two columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last two years (2021 and 2022) for each scored question.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2022 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2022 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>. For all other outputs at Trust level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>.

Response Rate

Overall Response Rate

215 patients responded out of a total of 379 patients, resulting in a response rate of 57%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Overall response rate	401	379	215	57%
National	123,632	115,662	61,268	53%

Respondents by Survey Type

	Number of Respondents
Paper	175
Online	40
Phone	0
Translation Service	0
Total	215

Respondents by Tumour Group

	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	0
Breast	66
Colorectal / LGT	18
Gynaecological	0
Haematological	62
Head and Neck	0
Lung	20
Prostate	*
Sarcoma	0
Skin	*
Upper Gastro	0
Urological	21
Other	19
Total	215

Respondents by Ethnicity

	Number of Respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	173
Irish	*
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Any other White background	6
Mixed / Multiple Ethnicity	
White and Black Caribbean	*
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	*
Pakistani	*
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	*
Any other Asian background	*
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	I
African	*
Caribbean	*
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	
Not given	17
Total	215

Lower Expected RangeWithin Expected RangThe left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trust		right ou	••	•	ed Rang bars is t				,	usted So III Trusts	
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twi before cancer diagnosis Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	0% ce	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60% 65	72% ♦	80%	90%	100%
 DIAGNOSTIC TESTS Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results 	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80% 81% ♦ 79% ♦ 78%	90% 91% ◆	100%
 FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer of friend with them when told diagnosis Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis 		10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%		80% 5% ◆ 79% ◆ 84		100%
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very of quite helpful	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%		100% 7%

Lower Expected Range Within Expected Rang The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trust	•	right ou			ed Rang bars is t	-	est scor		/lix Adju ved of a		
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80% 79%	90%	100%
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand								74	•		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment)										
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options									79% ◆		
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion befor making decisions about their treatment options	e				4	7% ◆					
CARE PLANNING	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about the needs or concerns prior to treatment	ir						65				
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns										92% ◆	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date										9	7% ◆
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support										6% ◆	
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff								75	%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits						54	%				
HOSPITAL CARE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%		100%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital									86	6% ◆	
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	al						64 ⁴	•			
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital							6	6% ♦			
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed								69% ♦ 69%			
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff								♦	849	0/	
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain									84°	•	
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while hospital									•		
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital								74	85	70	
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case								74' •	/0		

Lower Expected Range Within Expected Range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trust		e right o			•	ed Rang bars is t	-				usted So III Trusts	
YOUR TREATMENT	0%	10%	5 20)%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery											92% ♦	0
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy											89% ♦	
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy											93% ♦	6
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy										839 •	%	
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy										78% ♦		
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery										839	%	
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy										79% ♦		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy										83%	6	
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy										80% ♦		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy										80% ♦		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right											92%	
MMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	0%	10%	5 20)%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand									7	7% ♦		
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing wit any immediate side effects from treatment	h							(67% ♦			
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	ut									8	6% ♦	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment								62%	6			
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	g							58% ♦				
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	0%	10%	5 20)%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the nformation needed to help care for the patient at home							54	%				
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care ar support at home from community or voluntary services	nd					439	6					

Lower Expected Range Within Expected Rang The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trust			Upper ter edge	•					vix Adju ved of a		
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	n			35	%						
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice		14	%								
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary service	es		23%	b							
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment									80% ◆		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	/						62% \$	0			
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q56. The whole care team worked well together									85	5% ▶	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good									81%		
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patier	nt				38% ◆						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good										8.7 ◆	

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score. ▲ _{or} ▼

** No score available for 2021.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case N	lix Adjustee	d Scores	National Score
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	94	71%		72%	69%	86%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	127	65%		65%	57%	74%	65%
		Una	djusted So		Case N				
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	162	91%		91%	88%	96%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	170	81%		81%	78%	89%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	170	81%		79%	72%	85%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	172	77%		78%	72%	85%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	172	94%		93%	91%	98%	95%
		Lino	diusted So	oroc		Casa	lix Adjuster	d Sooroo	

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M			
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	203	70%		70%	69%	83%	76%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	210	70%		69%	68%	79%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	210	74%		75%	71%	82%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	211	79%		79%	80%	90%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	180	84%		84%	78%	89%	84%

		Unad	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjusted	d Scores	
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	*	207	89%		89%	87%	96%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	174	84%		85%	77%	90%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	179	97%		97%	92%	98%	95%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2021.

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjuste	d Scores	
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	199	78%		79%	77%	88%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	212	74%		74%	74%	85%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	167	78%		79%	74%	86%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	108	44%		47%	42%	61%	52%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjustee	d Scores	
CARE PLANNING	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	183	64%		65%	65%	78%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	106	92%		92%	88%	98%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	77	96%		97%	96%	100%	99%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjusted	d Scores	
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	169	86%		86%	85%	95%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	210	74%		75%	70%	81%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	99	56%		54%	56%	79%	67%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
HOSPITAL CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	69	86%		86%	69%	88%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	56	63%		64%	53%	78%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	69	65%		66%	59%	80%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	67	69%		69%	61%	84%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	65	68%		69%	53%	76%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	66	83%		84%	75%	93%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	70	83%		83%	80%	95%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	67	85%		85%	80%	96%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	199	73%		74%	72%	84%	78%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

** No score available for 2021.

▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case N	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
YOUR TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	88	92%		92%	83%	96%	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	124	88%		89%	79%	91%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	63	92%		93%	81%	96%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	39	82%		83%	66%	92%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	28	75%		78%	70%	98%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	*	89	83%		83%	77%	92%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	*	125	78%		79%	71%	86%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	*	61	82%		83%	71%	91%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	39	79%		80%	58%	86%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	27	78%		80%	64%	95%	80%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	210	91%		92%	69%	87%	78%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjustee	d Scores	
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	202	75%		77%	68%	80%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	191	65%		67%	63%	76%	69%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	145	86%		86%	81%	92%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	191	59%		62%	52%	66%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	158	56%		58%	45%	61%	53%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case N	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	147	53%		54%	50%	66%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	73	42%		43%	40%	63%	51%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ _{or} ▼

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2021.

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjustee	d Scores	
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	108	34%		35%	34%	55%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	204	13%		14%	15%	26%	21%
		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjuste	d Scores	
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	42	21%		23%	17%	45%	31%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	83	80%		80%	69%	87%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	162	63%		62%	55%	70%	62%
		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjuste	d Scores	
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	200	86%		85%	85%	94%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	213	82%		81%	81%	92%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	109	39%		38%	30%	56%	43%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	200	8.7		8.7	8.6	9.1	8.9

Tumour type tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	91%	*	*	48%	*	20%	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	85%	*	*	58%	*	36%	*	*	*	*	43%	*	65%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	our Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	94%	100%	*	86%	*	86%	*	*	*	*	89%	93%	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	78%	94%	*	81%	*	87%	*	*	*	*	80%	71%	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	78%	88%	*	77%	*	87%	*	*	*	*	84%	71%	81%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	84%	88%	*	66%	*	88%	*	*	*	*	75%	64%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	100%	94%	*	85%	*	88%	*	*	*	*	100%	93%	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	81%	89%	*	56%	*	74%	*	*	*	*	53%	76%	70%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	83%	78%	*	63%	*	60%	*	*	*	*	57%	63%	70%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	85%	72%	*	67%	*	70%	*	*	*	*	75%	63%	74%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	94%	83%	*	64%	*	65%	*	*	*	*	81%	79%	79%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	89%	94%	*	80%	*	71%	*	*	*	*	83%	81%	84%

Tumour type tables

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	95%	100%	*	87%	*	95%	*	*	*	*	70%	88%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	91%	100%	*	75%	*	84%	*	*	*	*	69%	85%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	98%	100%	*	92%	*	100%	*	*	*	*	93%	100%	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	82%	94%	*	75%	*	83%	*	*	*	*	71%	71%	78%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	78%	89%	*	66%	*	75%	*	*	*	*	67%	74%	74%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	85%	87%	*	64%	*	90%	*	*	*	*	82%	71%	78%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	46%	*	*	29%	*	69%	*	*	*	*	55%	36%	44%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	our Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	73%	61%	*	61%	*	56%	*	*	*	*	60%	50%	64%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	84%	100%	*	96%	*	91%	*	*	*	*	*	*	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	100%	100%	*	89%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	96%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF						-	Tumo	our Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	89%	88%	*	82%	*	88%	*	*	*	*	91%	71%	86%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	71%	89%	*	69%	*	79%	*	*	*	*	81%	79%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	69%	*	*	48%	*	71%	*	*	*	*	*	40%	56%

Tumour type tables

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	88%	92%	*	62%	*	*	*	*	*	*	93%	*	86%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	62%	82%	*	38%	*	*	*	*	*	*	70%	*	63%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	63%	77%	*	31%	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%	*	65%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	63%	69%	*	58%	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%	*	69%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	64%	77%	*	46%	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%	*	68%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	93%	85%	*	75%	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%	*	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	88%	85%	*	69%	*	*	*	*	*	*	81%	*	83%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	87%	100%	*	67%	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%	*	85%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	70%	87%	*	74%	*	74%	*	*	*	*	68%	72%	73%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	ur Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	95%	100%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	81%	*	92%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	94%	100%	*	80%	*	100%	*	*	*	*	*	*	88%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	85%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	92%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	81%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	50%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	86%	79%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	81%	*	83%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	82%	79%	*	72%	*	100%	*	*	*	*	*	*	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	84%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	77%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	79%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	86%	76%	*	95%	*	90%	*	*	*	*	100%	94%	91%

Tumour type tables

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	ur Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	89%	81%	*	58%	*	85%	*	*	*	*	65%	76%	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	73%	71%	*	48%	*	83%	*	*	*	*	80%	63%	65%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	86%	94%	*	71%	*	88%	*	*	*	*	*	100%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	67%	75%	*	45%	*	72%	*	*	*	*	50%	56%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	64%	53%	*	36%	*	75%	*	*	*	*	*	54%	56%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	55%	71%	*	29%	*	69%	*	*	*	*	*	67%	53%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	32%	70%	*	38%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	42%	*	*	32%	*	0%	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	13%	18%	*	15%	*	15%	*	*	*	*	5%	11%	13%

Tumour type tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	*	21%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	86%	85%	*	71%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	68%	60%	*	52%	*	64%	*	*	*	*	71%	64%	63%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	ur Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	84%	93%	*	82%	*	79%	*	*	*	*	95%	82%	86%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	85%	94%	*	80%	*	68%	*	*	*	*	86%	68%	82%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	50%	*	*	36%	*	50%	*	*	*	*	*	36%	39%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.6	9.2	*	8.5	*	8.6	*	*	*	*	8.8	8.8	8.7

Age group tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	*	53%	75%	74%	76%	*	71%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	63%	78%	69%	61%	*	65%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS									
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	*	87%	97%	94%	90%	*	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	*	57%	79%	89%	83%	*	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	*	59%	84%	84%	83%	*	81%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	65%	74%	89%	80%	*	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	*	100%	84%	89%	97%	*	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	*	61%	71%	72%	74%	*	70%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	*	61%	74%	76%	69%	*	70%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	68%	65%	76%	81%	*	74%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	*	79%	75%	76%	82%	*	79%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	*	92%	86%	81%	82%	*	84%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Age					-
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	*	*	96%	95%	90%	82%	*	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	*	81%	86%	88%	85%	*	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	*	100%	95%	100%	96%	*	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	77%	74%	83%	79%	*	78%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	*	68%	68%	76%	74%	*	74%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	*	73%	82%	82%	80%	*	78%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	*	44%	60%	40%	44%	*	44%

Age group tables

CARE PLANNING				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	*	67%	57%	66%	63%	*	64%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	*	76%	91%	96%	97%	*	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	*	82%	100%	100%	96%	*	96%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	*	89%	83%	85%	89%	*	86%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	*	68%	59%	80%	81%	*	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	*	67%	48%	65%	46%	*	56%

HOSPITAL CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	*	75%	100%	88%	83%	*	86%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	*	50%	60%	57%	78%	*	63%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	*	58%	38%	88%	63%	*	65%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	*	83%	46%	69%	77%	*	69%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	*	58%	73%	71%	68%	*	68%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	*	82%	67%	88%	86%	*	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	*	75%	85%	88%	83%	*	83%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	*	73%	100%	94%	74%	*	85%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and ears with hospital staff while being treated as an butpatient or day case	*	*	*	63%	63%	81%	79%	*	73%

Age group tables

YOUR TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	*	92%	90%	89%	94%	*	92%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	*	94%	89%	89%	86%	*	88%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	*	92%	83%	90%	100%	*	92%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	67%	*	*	*	82%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	75%	*	*	75%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	*	*	92%	71%	72%	91%	*	83%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	*	*	88%	74%	81%	74%	*	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	*	*	92%	72%	*	83%	*	82%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	67%	*	*	*	79%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	82%	*	*	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	*	93%	83%	92%	97%	*	91%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	*	86%	79%	79%	70%	*	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	55%	66%	71%	65%	*	65%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	68%	87%	92%	90%	*	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	*	59%	61%	70%	54%	*	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	*	43%	56%	57%	65%	*	56%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME											
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All		
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	*	43%	50%	63%	55%	*	53%		
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	*	44%	59%	25%	*	42%		

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age										
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All		
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	*	43%	39%	27%	28%	*	34%		
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	*	26%	5%	20%	7%	*	13%		

Age group tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	*	18%	9%	23%	*	21%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	*	75%	81%	71%	85%	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	*	52%	63%	72%	63%	*	63%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Age										
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All		
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	*	86%	84%	84%	86%	*	86%		
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	*	75%	83%	80%	84%	*	82%		
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	*	57%	23%	45%	37%	*	39%		
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	*	8.4	8.4	9.1	8.7	*	8.7		

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	76%	68%	*	*	*	*	71%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	53%	*	*	*	55%	65%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	89%	*	*	*	92%	91%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	75%	86%	*	*	*	100%	81%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	78%	84%	*	*	*	83%	81%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	77%	*	*	*	75%	77%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	94%	*	*	*	83%	94%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	72%	64%	*	*	*	81%	70%		
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	72%	64%	*	*	*	76%	70%		
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	72%	*	*	*	76%	74%		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	80%	75%	*	*	*	88%	79%		
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	81%	86%	*	*	*	93%	84%		

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Male/Fema	lle/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	93%	82%	*	*	*	93%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	87%	81%	*	*	*	80%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	98%	*	*	*	100%	97%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	81%	*	*	*	73%	78%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	71%	77%	*	*	*	81%	74%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	82%	78%	*	*	*	54%	78%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	48%	41%	*	*	*	40%	44%		

CARE PLANNING		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	63%	62%	*	*	*	79%	64%		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	89%	97%	*	*	*	100%	92%		
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	96%	*	*	*	*	96%		

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		AFF Male/Female/Non-binary/					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	84%	90%	*	*	*	85%	86%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	71%	78%	*	*	*	81%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	56%	47%	*	*	*	*	56%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

HOSPITAL CARE Male/Female/Non-bina							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	81%	90%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	58%	73%	*	*	*	*	63%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	63%	72%	*	*	*	*	65%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	58%	84%	*	*	*	*	69%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	68%	71%	*	*	*	*	68%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	77%	87%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	81%	88%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	81%	87%	*	*	*	*	85%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	68%	82%	*	*	*	79%	73%

YOUR TREATMENT				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	92%	90%	*	*	*	*	92%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	87%	88%	*	*	*	*	88%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	89%	100%	*	*	*	*	92%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	79%	*	*	*	*	*	82%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	82%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	81%	84%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	77%	80%	*	*	*	*	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with radiotherapy	82%	85%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	76%	*	*	*	*	*	79%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy	88%	*	*	*	*	*	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	89%	95%	*	*	*	86%	91%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	rs			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	77%	71%	*	*	*	79%	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	69%	62%	*	*	*	54%	65%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	84%	88%	*	*	*	91%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	58%	57%	*	*	*	85%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	52%	62%	*	*	*	60%	56%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	PORT WHILE AT HOME						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	49%	59%	*	*	*	57%	53%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	41%	43%	*	*	*	*	42%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Fema	lle/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	38%	33%	*	*	*	20%	34%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	16%	11%	*	*	*	6%	13%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	17%	27%	*	*	*	*	21%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	71%	93%	*	*	*	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	65%	63%	*	*	*	54%	63%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	81%	91%	*	*	*	92%	86%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	80%	85%	*	*	*	81%	82%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	42%	34%	*	*	*	*	39%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.5	8.9	*	*	*	8.9	8.7

Ethnicity tables

*

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	74%	*	*	*	*	50%	71%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	64%	*	*	*	*	62%	65%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	*	*	*	*	83%	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	81%	*	*	*	*	92%	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	80%	*	*	*	*	83%	81%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	81%	*	*	*	*	58%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	*	*	*	*	92%	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	67%	*	*	*	*	81%	70%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	69%	*	*	*	*	76%	70%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	*	*	*	*	71%	74%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	78%	*	*	*	*	88%	79%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	83%	*	*	*	*	91%	84%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	89%	*	*	*	*	94%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	*	*	*	*	77%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	*	*	*	*	100%	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	*	*	*	*	71%	78%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	73%	*	*	*	*	75%	74%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	81%	*	*	*	*	57%	78%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	40%	*	*	*	*	40%	44%

Ethnicity tables

*

CARE PLANNING		Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	64%	*	*	*	*	67%	64%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	92%	*	*	*	*	100%	92%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	97%	*	*	*	*	*	96%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			Ethnicity				
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	87%	*	*	*	*	79%	86%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	75%	*	*	*	*	81%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	57%	*	*	*	*	*	56%

HOSPITAL CARE				Eth	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	85%	*	*	*	*	*	86%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	64%	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	68%	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	72%	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	73%	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	81%	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	82%	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	81%	*	*	*	*	*	85%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an butpatient or day case	75%	*	*	*	*	71%	73%

Ethnicity tables

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	92%	*	*	*	*	*	92%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	87%	*	*	*	*	90%	88%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	92%	*	*	*	*	*	92%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	82%	*	*	*	*	*	82%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	79%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	84%	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	78%	*	*	*	*	70%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	84%	*	*	*	*	*	82%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	76%	*	*	*	*	*	79%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	83%	*	*	*	*	*	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	93%	*	*	*	*	79%	91%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	S			Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	75%	*	*	*	*	64%	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	67%	*	*	*	*	46%	65%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	86%	*	*	*	*	91%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	57%	*	*	*	*	79%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	55%	*	*	*	*	45%	56%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	54%	*	*	*	*	43%	53%		
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	42%	*	*	*	*	*	42%		

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not gi						All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	31%	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	13%	*	*	*	*	13%	13%

Ethnicity tables

*

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	23%	*	*	*	*	*	21%		
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	81%	*	*	*	*	*	80%		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	64%	*	*	*	*	46%	63%		

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	85%	*	*	*	*	92%	86%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	81%	*	*	*	*	81%	82%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	38%	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.7	*	*	*	*	8.9	8.7

IMD quintile tables

UPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	67%	50%	84%	68%	*	71%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	71%	56%	63%	67%	*	65%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		IMD Quintile								
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All			
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	83%	90%	94%	90%	*	91%			
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	84%	71%	86%	81%	*	81%			
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	89%	81%	75%	83%	*	81%			
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	84%	69%	84%	73%	*	77%			
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	89%	100%	93%	92%	*	94%			

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	70%	76%	75%	63%	*	70%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	63%	68%	68%	74%	*	70%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	75%	71%	79%	71%	*	74%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	83%	76%	81%	78%	*	79%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	90%	88%	84%	80%	*	84%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	91%	86%	93%	86%	*	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	75%	90%	86%	83%	*	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	100%	97%	98%	94%	*	97%

IMD quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	83%	92%	76%	73%	*	78%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	92%	74%	84%	60%	*	74%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	90%	82%	80%	72%	*	78%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	50%	36%	43%	49%	*	44%	

CARE PLANNING			IMD Quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	82%	68%	58%	62%	*	64%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	87%	95%	97%	89%	*	92%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	100%	93%	93%	100%	*	96%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				IMD Quintil	е		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	89%	82%	89%	84%	*	86%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	71%	66%	82%	73%	*	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	50%	48%	64%	54%	*	56%

HOSPITAL CARE	IMD Quintile								
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	90%	85%	83%	*	86%		
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	*	64%	42%	*	63%		
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	70%	63%	71%	*	65%		
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	70%	69%	63%	*	69%		
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	*	63%	71%	*	68%		
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	90%	85%	74%	*	83%		
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	80%	85%	76%	*	83%		
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	*	92%	75%	*	85%		
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	65%	74%	85%	67%	*	73%		

IMD quintile tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT				IMD Quint	ile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	88%	96%	89%	*	92%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	100%	90%	91%	79%	*	88%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	92%	95%	92%	*	92%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	86%	82%	*	82%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	64%	*	75%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with surgery	*	*	76%	88%	82%	*	83%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with chemotherapy	*	100%	64%	84%	71%	*	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with radiotherapy	*	*	92%	81%	78%	*	82%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	*	86%	73%	*	79%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	69%	*	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	92%	82%	93%	94%	*	91%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS				IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	87%	74%	75%	73%	*	75%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	65%	68%	62%	68%	*	65%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	79%	73%	95%	86%	*	86%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	67%	58%	63%	55%	*	59%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	68%	50%	61%	49%	*	56%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME IMD Quintile 1 (most 5 (least Non-2 3 4 All deprived) deprived) England Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home * * 50% 44% 49% 63% 53% Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services * 8% 44% 56% 48% * 42%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	25%	33%	43%	33%	*	34%	
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	14%	14%	15%	11%	*	13%	

IMD quintile tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	24%	29%	*	21%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	80%	80%	79%	79%	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	61%	63%	60%	66%	*	63%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE			IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	95%	79%	91%	82%	*	86%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	87%	78%	87%	78%	*	82%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	40%	41%	34%	*	39%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.3	8.3	9.1	8.6	*	8.7

Long term condition status tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	70%	82%	*	71%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	60%	72%	69%	65%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	88%	96%	92%	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	69%	100%	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	81%	82%	75%	81%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	79%	75%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	93%	96%	92%	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	67%	72%	82%	70%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	68%	71%	74%	70%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	73%	76%	79%	74%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	78%	78%	89%	79%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	85%	79%	94%	84%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	86%	95%	94%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	83%	87%	86%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	96%	100%	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	81%	67%	78%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	71%	81%	72%	74%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	79%	86%	53%	78%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	44%	46%	40%	44%

Long term condition status tables

CARE PLANNING				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	61%	68%	75%	64%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	90%	95%	*	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	93%	*	96%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	83%	92%	86%	86%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	72%	78%	83%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	50%	59%	80%	56%

HOSPITAL CARE	Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	84%	89%	*	86%	
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	66%	64%	*	63%	
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	60%	89%	*	65%	
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	67%	83%	*	69%	
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	67%	76%	*	68%	
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	77%	94%	*	83%	
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	80%	94%	*	83%	
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	80%	94%	*	85%	
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	72%	76%	75%	73%	

Long term condition status tables

YOUR TREATMENT		Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	89%	97%	*	92%	
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	86%	92%	*	88%	
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	94%	89%	*	92%	
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	86%	73%	*	82%	
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	82%	*	*	75%	
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with surgery	80%	90%	*	83%	
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	80%	75%	*	78%	
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with radiotherapy	81%	85%	*	82%	
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with hormone therapy	82%	73%	*	79%	
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy	88%	*	*	78%	
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	92%	91%	81%	91%	

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	S	Long term condition	status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	72%	84%	73%	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	62%	80%	47%	65%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	83%	92%	82%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	55%	65%	73%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	50%	70%	50%	56%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	47%	65%	60%	53%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	38%	69%	*	42%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	31%	42%	*	34%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	14%	11%	11%	13%

Long term condition status tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	23%	*	*	21%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	75%	88%	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	64%	63%	54%	63%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	81%	95%	87%	86%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	80%	88%	78%	82%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	35%	45%	*	39%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	8.9	8.7	8.7

Year on Year Charts

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis				
100%				
80%				
60%	71%			
40%				
20%				
0%				
2021 *	2022			

Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand			
100%			
80%			
60%	65%		
40%			
20%			
0% 2021 *	2022		

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance 100% 80% 91% 60% 91% 40% 91% 20% 91% 0% 2021 *

Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient			
81%			
2022			
	they needed about the patient 81% 2022		

Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right			
100%			
80%	81%		
60%			
40%			
20%			
0% 2021 *	2022		

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand			
100%			
80%	77%		
60%	1170		
40%			
20%			
0% 2021 *	2022		

Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results			
100%	0.10/		
80%	94%		
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%			
2021 *	2022		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis			
100%			
80%			
60%	70%		
40%			
20%			
0% 2021 *	2022		

Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer		
100%		
80%		
60%	70%	
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand		
100%		
80%		
60%	74%	
40%	-	
20%	-	
0% 2021 *	2022	

Year on Year Charts

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	
79%	
1370	
0000	
	2022

Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis			
100%			
80%		84%	
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2021 *	2022	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON		
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team		
100%		
80%	89%	
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%	2022	
2021 *	2022	

Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person		
100%		
80%	84%	
60%		
40%		
20%	-	
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful		
00%	97%	
80%	31 /0	
60%		
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Year on Year Charts

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand			
100%			
80%		700/	
60%		78%	
40%			
20%			
0%	2021 *	2022	

Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment		
100%		
80%		
60%	74%	
40%	_	
20%	_	
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	
78%	
1070	
2022	
	em to be in decisions about tro 78% 2022

Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options		
100%		
80%		
60%		
40%	44%	
20%	-	
0% 2021 *	2022	

CARE PLANNING

Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment		
100%		
80%		
60%	64%	
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	
100%	
80%	92%
60%	
40%	
20%	
0%	
2021 *	2022

Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date		
100%	96%	
80%	3070	
60%		
40%	_	
20%	_	
0% 2021 *	2022	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support			
			100%
80%		86%	
60%			
40%			
20%		-	
0%		2022	
2021 *		2022	

Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff		
100%		
80%		
60%	74%	
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits		
100%		
80%		
60%		
40%	56%	
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

HOSP	HOSPITAL CARE Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital		
Q31. Pa			
100%			
80%		86%	
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2021 *	2022	

Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital		
100%		
80%		
60%	63%	
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital		
100%		
80%		
60%	65%	
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed		
100%		
80%		
60%	69%	
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff		
100%		
80%		
60%	68%	
40%	-	
20%	-	
0% 2021 *	2022	

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low T base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain		
100%		
80%	83%	
60%	-	
40%	-	
20%	-	
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital		
100%		
80%	83%	
60%	-	
40%	-	
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital		
100%		
80%	85%	
60%		
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

 Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case

 100%

 80%

 60%

 40%

 20%

 0%

 2021 *

YOUR	OUR TREATMENT		
Q41_1.	Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about su	rgery	
100%			
80%		92%	
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2021 *	2022	

Year on Year Charts

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

88%	
	2022

Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy		
100%		
80%	92%	
60%		
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy		
100%		
80%	82%	
60%		
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy		
100%		
80%		
60%	75%	
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q42_1	Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery			
100%				
80%		83%		
60%				
40%				
20%				
0%	2021 *	2022		

Year on Year Charts

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy		
100%		
80%	78%	
60%	1070	
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy		
100%		
80%	82%	
60%		
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy		
100%		
80%	79%	
60%	1070	
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy		
100%		
80%	700/	
60%	78%	
40%	-	
20%	-	
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right		
100%		
80%	91%	
60%		
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS		
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the	patient could understand	
100%		
80%		
60%	75%	
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment		
100%		
80%		
60%	65%	
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about suppo	rt in dealing with immediate side effects from treat	ment
100%		
80%	86%	
60%		
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they c	ould understand in advar	nce of their treatment
100%		
80%		
60%	59%	
40%	5970	
20%		
0%	0000	
2021 *	2022	

Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects		
100%		
80%		
60%	_	
40%	56%	
20%		
0%	0000	
2021 *	2022	

Year on Year Charts

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME

Q49. Ca	Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home		
100%			
80%			
60%			
40%		53%	
20%			
0%	2021 *	2022	
		LULL	

Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services		
100%		
80%		
60%		
40%	42%	
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE		
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during	treatment	
100%		
80%		
60%		
40%		
20%	34%	
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	
100%	
80%	
60%	
40%	400/
20%	13%
0%	0000
2021 *	2022

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough

Q53. Af	ter treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home	rom community or voluntary services	
100%			
80%			
60%			
40%		21%	
20%			
0%	2021 *	2022	

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

80%	
0070	
2000	
	80%

Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer	coming back or spreading	
100%		
80%		
60%	63%	
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

IR OVERALL NHS CARE		
The whole care team worked well together		
	86%	
	-	
2021 *	2022	
2021 *	2022	

Q57. Administration of care was very good or good		
100%		
80%	82%	
60%		
40%		
20%		
0% 2021 *	2022	

Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient		
100%		
80%		
60%		
40%	39%	
20%	39%	
0% 2021 *	2022	

2022

Year on Year Charts

0

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.
 Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good
 8
 8.7
 8.7
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9
 9</li

2021 *