

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2021 Results

Northampton General Hospital NHS Trust

Published July 2022

Executive Summary

Northampton General Hospital NHS Trust has no scores above expected range

Questions Below Expected Range

	Case			
	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	69%	69%	78%	73%
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	78%	86%	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	69%	69%	80%	75%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	52%	53%	68%	61%
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	88%	88%	94%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	80%	85%	92%	88%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.7	8.7	9.1	8.9

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2021 is the 11th iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2021 survey involved 134 NHS Trusts. Out of 107,412 people, 59,352 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 55%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2021. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2021 and February 2022.

As in the previous six years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Note on question comparability

The questionnaire was redeveloped for the 2021 National Cancer Patient Experience Survey. Due to the significant changes made to the questionnaire no trend data or year on year comparisons are presented in results, and comparisons to previous years' results should not be made.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's presented score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Suppression

Question-level suppression

For scores where the base size per question is <11, the score will be suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (*). The base size does not include non-scored response options.

Double suppression

If any group within a particular sub-group breakdown (such as the tumour group breakdown) has <11 respondents, then the figure for this particular group is suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (*).

If there is only one group within the sub-group breakdown that has <11 respondents, and is therefore suppressed, the group with the next lowest number of respondents is also suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (*) (regardless if it is greater than or less than 11).

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Trust has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Trust's results (both the Trust level and subgroup results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Trust.

The same rule applies to groups in each subgroup breakdown. For example, if only **one** Trust has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Trust's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (in grey) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2021 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. The adjusted 2021 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour type, gender, age, IMD quintile and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Gender tables

The gender tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

Ethnicity tables

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2021 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2021 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at www.ncpes.co.uk. For all other outputs at Trust level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Response Rate

Overall Response Rate

379 patients responded out of a total of 734 patients, resulting in a response rate of 52%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Overall response rate	789	734	379	52%
National	113,516	107,412	59,352	55%

Respondents by Survey Type

	Number of Respondents
Paper	309
Online	70
Phone	0
Translation Service	0
Total	379

Respondents by Tumour Group

	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	1
Breast	62
Colorectal / LGT	50
Gynaecological	35
Haematological	50
Head and Neck	10
Lung	14
Prostate	27
Sarcoma	2
Skin	0
Upper Gastro	14
Urological	25
Other	89
Total	379


Respondents by Age and Gender


Respondents year of birth has been used to determine age. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the Trust was as follows:


	Age 16-24	Age 25-34	Age 35-44	Age 45-54	Age 55-64	Age 65-74	Age 75-84	Age 85+	Total
Female	0	0	15	22	42	69	47	4	199
Male	0	1	2	11	28	56	53	7	158
Non-binary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prefer to self- describe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prefer not to say	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not given	0	0	2	3	3	8	4	2	22
Total	0	1	19	36	73	133	104	13	379


Respondents by Ethnicity

	Number of Respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	312
Irish	3
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	0
Any other White background	12
Mixed / Multiple Ethnic Groups	
White and Black Caribbean	1
White and Black African	0
White and Asian	1
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	1
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	6
Pakistani	2
Bangladeshi	0
Chinese	2
Any other Asian background	2
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	4
Caribbean	2
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	3
Other Ethnic Group	
Arab	0
Any other ethnic background	0
Not given	
Not given	28
Total	379









Comparability tables

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

	Unadjuste	ed Scores	Case N			
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	203	77%	79%	71%	83%	77%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	245	67%	67%	58%	70%	64%

	Unadjust	ed Scores	Case N			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	311	90%	90%	90%	95%	93%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	333	82%	82%	80%	88%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	334	78%	79%	78%	86%	82%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	335	76%	78%	74%	83%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	335	93%	94%	92%	97%	94%

		ed Scores	Case N			
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	352	67%	67%	65%	78%	71%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	372	68%	69%	69%	78%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	376	73%	74%	72%	81%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	373	80%	81%	80%	88%	84%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	337	80%	81%	79%	87%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	Unadjuste	ed Scores	Case M			
	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	363	93%	93%	89%	95%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	323	83%	83%	80%	90%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	328	95%	95%	94%	98%	96%

Comparability tables

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Unadjusted Scores		Case N			
	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	359	78%	78%	78%	86%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	372	77%	78%	75%	84%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	311	69%	69%	69%	80%	75%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	182	51%	52%	45%	60%	52%

	Unadjust	ed Scores	Case N			
CARE PLANNING	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	354	71%	71%	67%	76%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	200	92%	92%	90%	97%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	150	100%	100%	97%	100%	99%

	Unadjuste	ed Scores	Case N			
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	305	91%	92%	86%	93%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	370	74%	74%	71%	80%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	178	70%	70%	61%	78%	69%

	Unadjust	ed Scores	Case N	/lix Adjusted	Scores	
HOSPITAL CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	196	79%	79%	75%	87%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	153	53%	52%	53%	68%	61%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	195	73%	74%	64%	77%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed		74%	74%	70%	83%	76%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	188	64%	65%	60%	74%	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	172	90%	90%	81%	91%	86%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	196	92%	92%	85%	94%	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital		91%	91%	84%	93%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case		76%	77%	73%	83%	78%

Comparability tables

 Indicates where a score has been suppressed to prevent individuals and their responses being identifiable in the data. Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

L		ed Scores	Case N	/lix Adjusted	Scores		
YOUR TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	219	86%	86%	85%	93%	89%	
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy		83%	83%	80%	90%	85%	
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy		85%	85%	82%	94%	88%	
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy		80%	81%	67%	90%	78%	
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy		88%	88%	71%	94%	83%	
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	213	79%	80%	80%	90%	85%	
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	223	78%	78%	73%	84%	79%	
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	109	83%	83%	72%	87%	80%	
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	47	79%	79%	59%	85%	72%	
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy		72%	72%	67%	91%	79%	
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right		77%	78%	70%	88%	79%	

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS		ed Scores	Case N			
		2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	353	72%	72%	70%	79%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	345	71%	71%	65%	75%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	282	89%	89%	82%	90%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	337	54%	55%	54%	65%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	291	53%	54%	47%	60%	54%

	Unadjuste	ed Scores	Case N			
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	245	52%	53%	49%	62%	55%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	149	49%	49%	43%	60%	51%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Unadjust	ed Scores	Case N			
	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	209	48%	49%	36%	52%	44%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	353	22%	21%	14%	22%	18%

Comparability tables

 Indicates where a score has been suppressed to prevent individuals and their responses being identifiable in the data.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

		Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Ran	ıge
--	--	---	-----

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Unadjust	ed Scores	Case N	Scores		
	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	73	33%	33%	21%	43%	32%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment		72%	73%	72%	85%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	303	57%	57%	57%	68%	63%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		ed Scores	Case N			
		2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together		87%	88%	88%	94%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good		80%	80%	85%	92%	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient		36%	35%	33%	55%	44%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	359	8.6	8.7	8.7	9.1	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE								Tumour Type							
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	93%	82%	78%	71%	*	*	72%	*	n.a.	*	73%	77%	77%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	83%	78%	60%	58%	*	*	76%	*	n.a.	*	62%	61%	67%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	our Typ	е					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	89%	95%	85%	95%	*	83%	90%	*	n.a.	86%	90%	89%	90%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	82%	93%	83%	83%	*	71%	74%	*	n.a.	77%	85%	78%	82%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	77%	86%	77%	80%	*	64%	78%	*	n.a.	86%	84%	72%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	79%	89%	87%	76%	*	57%	70%	*	n.a.	85%	70%	68%	76%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	95%	95%	93%	83%	*	86%	100%	*	n.a.	86%	94%	96%	93%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	64%	79%	63%	69%	*	64%	55%	*	n.a.	46%	50%	76%	67%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	77%	73%	80%	65%	*	36%	69%	*	n.a.	57%	63%	64%	68%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	79%	78%	89%	67%	*	43%	74%	*	n.a.	71%	75%	69%	73%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	79%	88%	91%	77%	*	46%	70%	*	n.a.	64%	71%	85%	80%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	87%	83%	88%	81%	*	67%	84%	*	n.a.	64%	57%	79%	80%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	98%	96%	94%	96%	*	77%	96%	*	n.a.	79%	79%	92%	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	66%	96%	87%	88%	*	*	78%	*	n.a.	100%	88%	82%	83%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	93%	100%	97%	93%	*	*	96%	*	n.a.	100%	94%	92%	95%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our Typ	е					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	79%	81%	90%	87%	*	62%	65%	*	n.a.	62%	70%	76%	78%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	75%	88%	85%	78%	*	79%	73%	*	n.a.	71%	67%	74%	77%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	61%	87%	67%	70%	*	71%	71%	*	n.a.	71%	61%	64%	69%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	45%	60%	40%	52%	*	*	44%	*	n.a.	*	36%	58%	51%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	our Typ	е					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	66%	83%	68%	83%	*	71%	67%	*	n.a.	57%	52%	66%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	82%	96%	90%	100%	*	*	100%	*	n.a.	*	*	89%	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	n.a.	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	*	100%	*	n.a.	*	*	100%	100%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	89%	85%	88%	93%	*	*	100%	*	n.a.	92%	86%	95%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	69%	86%	83%	83%	*	43%	74%	*	n.a.	57%	67%	68%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	63%	74%	62%	67%	*	*	*	*	n.a.	*	*	72%	70%

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	n.a.	78%	83%	77%	82%	*	*	91%	*	n.a.	*	86%	69%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	n.a.	46%	61%	43%	70%	*	*	*	*	n.a.	*	*	55%	53%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	n.a.	78%	76%	85%	76%	*	*	91%	*	n.a.	*	57%	66%	73%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	n.a.	61%	77%	85%	64%	*	*	91%	*	n.a.	*	85%	63%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	n.a.	71%	66%	77%	64%	*	*	45%	*	n.a.	*	54%	64%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	n.a.	81%	94%	88%	90%	*	*	100%	*	n.a.	*	100%	87%	90%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	n.a.	83%	94%	92%	93%	*	*	100%	*	n.a.	*	100%	88%	92%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	n.a.	94%	100%	81%	93%	*	*	82%	*	n.a.	*	86%	90%	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	68%	87%	77%	83%	*	67%	77%	*	n.a.	67%	63%	78%	76%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	83%	90%	97%	*	*	*	*	*	n.a.	*	88%	82%	86%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	68%	81%	95%	90%	*	*	87%	*	n.a.	77%	*	83%	83%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	85%	*	*	*	*	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	95%	85%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	n.a.	77%	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	80%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	82%	80%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	89%	88%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	71%	92%	90%	*	*	*	*	*	n.a.	*	67%	80%	79%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	59%	78%	95%	90%	*	*	93%	*	n.a.	54%	*	72%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	87%	*	*	*	*	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	74%	83%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	n.a.	80%	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	85%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	64%	79%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	72%	72%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	81%	81%	77%	81%	*	62%	81%	*	n.a.	71%	83%	68%	77%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	TS						Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	74%	70%	85%	77%	*	62%	76%	*	n.a.	79%	65%	65%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	69%	70%	78%	77%	*	62%	86%	*	n.a.	62%	61%	69%	71%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	85%	97%	89%	89%	*	75%	82%	*	n.a.	75%	85%	92%	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	54%	53%	69%	58%	*	36%	58%	*	n.a.	50%	45%	49%	54%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	49%	66%	54%	61%	*	*	55%	*	n.a.	50%	38%	49%	53%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	44%	61%	52%	59%	*	*	53%	*	n.a.	57%	40%	50%	52%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	50%	52%	*	50%	*	*	*	*	n.a.	*	*	42%	49%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our Typ	е					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	38%	48%	59%	66%	*	*	64%	*	n.a.	45%	50%	46%	48%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	17%	24%	12%	26%	*	15%	8%	*	n.a.	14%	23%	33%	22%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	13%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	n.a.	*	*	46%	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	70%	83%	65%	55%	*	*	78%	*	n.a.	*	*	76%	72%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	52%	54%	50%	73%	*	*	56%	*	n.a.	50%	63%	57%	57%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	85%	89%	86%	96%	*	82%	88%	*	n.a.	83%	91%	81%	87%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	79%	87%	80%	92%	*	69%	72%	*	n.a.	58%	79%	75%	80%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	11%	38%	50%	53%	*	27%	25%	*	n.a.	*	27%	45%	36%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.6	8.9	8.6	9.0	*	7.5	8.6	*	n.a.	7.8	9.0	8.5	8.6

Age group tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	n.a.	*	*	85%	76%	74%	82%	*	77%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	n.a.	*	80%	68%	68%	66%	65%	*	67%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	n.a.	*	100%	81%	89%	89%	93%	*	90%	
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	n.a.	*	72%	76%	85%	85%	80%	*	82%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	n.a.	*	47%	55%	74%	84%	86%	*	78%	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	n.a.	*	67%	76%	74%	80%	76%	*	76%	
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	n.a.	*	83%	88%	94%	95%	94%	*	93%	

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	n.a.	*	71%	60%	58%	71%	69%	*	67%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	n.a.	*	63%	55%	66%	73%	70%	*	68%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	n.a.	*	74%	63%	71%	78%	73%	*	73%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	n.a.	*	79%	75%	75%	83%	83%	83%	80%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	n.a.	*	93%	80%	80%	81%	80%	*	80%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	n.a.	*	100%	91%	93%	94%	91%	*	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	n.a.	*	78%	68%	83%	90%	80%	*	83%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	n.a.	*	100%	81%	92%	98%	94%	*	95%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	n.a.	*	83%	66%	77%	82%	78%	*	78%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	n.a.	*	78%	58%	78%	81%	82%	*	77%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	n.a.	*	63%	48%	66%	73%	72%	*	69%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	n.a.	*	*	35%	54%	49%	63%	*	51%

Age group tables

CARE PLANNING				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	n.a.	*	69%	51%	73%	75%	70%	*	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	n.a.	*	*	73%	93%	91%	97%	*	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	100%	100%	100%	*	100%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Age											
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All			
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	n.a.	*	89%	75%	90%	96%	96%	*	91%			
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	n.a.	*	65%	53%	67%	80%	80%	*	74%			
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	n.a.	*	*	68%	58%	75%	82%	*	70%			

HOSPITAL CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	n.a.	n.a.	*	60%	86%	79%	81%	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	n.a.	n.a.	*	36%	52%	57%	49%	*	53%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	n.a.	n.a.	*	50%	68%	81%	77%	*	73%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	n.a.	n.a.	*	50%	68%	79%	78%	*	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	n.a.	n.a.	*	43%	73%	67%	62%	*	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	n.a.	n.a.	*	60%	91%	95%	92%	*	90%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	n.a.	n.a.	*	63%	89%	96%	96%	*	92%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	n.a.	n.a.	*	71%	89%	92%	95%	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	n.a.	*	56%	59%	78%	81%	80%	*	76%

Age group tables

YOUR TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	n.a.	n.a.	94%	71%	84%	90%	88%	73%	86%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	n.a.	*	85%	68%	81%	84%	89%	*	83%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	n.a.	*	*	*	92%	78%	92%	*	85%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	58%	76%	100%	*	80%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	82%	*	n.a.	88%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	n.a.	n.a.	*	57%	86%	85%	81%	*	79%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	n.a.	*	62%	59%	81%	82%	79%	*	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	n.a.	*	*	*	85%	86%	88%	*	83%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	58%	86%	91%	*	79%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	76%	73%	n.a.	72%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	n.a.	*	74%	58%	75%	79%	82%	*	77%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	n.a.	*	76%	73%	77%	71%	71%	*	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	n.a.	*	78%	57%	69%	78%	71%	55%	71%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	n.a.	*	93%	72%	88%	93%	88%	*	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	n.a.	*	*	44%	57%	57%	52%	*	54%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	n.a.	*	*	38%	56%	56%	54%	*	53%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	n.a.	*	*	25%	50%	55%	59%	*	52%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	n.a.	*	*	40%	37%	54%	56%	*	49%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	n.a.	*	*	40%	58%	38%	50%	*	48%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	n.a.	*	28%	23%	24%	19%	20%	*	22%

Age group tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	n.a.	*	*	*	33%	50%	39%	*	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	n.a.	*	*	64%	67%	79%	80%	*	72%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	n.a.	*	31%	50%	57%	59%	64%	*	57%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	n.a.	*	87%	81%	90%	87%	90%	*	87%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	n.a.	*	82%	74%	80%	79%	82%	*	80%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	n.a.	*	23%	31%	30%	37%	50%	*	36%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	n.a.	*	8.7	7.6	8.8	8.7	8.8	*	8.6

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	77%	76%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	82%	77%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	67%	66%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	64%	67%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Gender						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	AII	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	88%	91%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	95%	90%	
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	83%	81%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	77%	82%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	77%	78%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	81%	78%	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	76%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	82%	76%	
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	92%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	100%	93%	

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	67%	68%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	70%	67%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	67%	66%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	81%	68%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	74%	71%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	86%	73%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	81%	78%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	90%	80%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	79%	79%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	95%	80%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	94%	91%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	100%	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	81%	85%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	90%	83%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	94%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	95%	95%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	81%	74%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	75%	78%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	79%	74%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	86%	77%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	68%	70%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	72%	69%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	46%	56%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	58%	51%

CARE PLANNING		Gender						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	68%	73%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	70%	71%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	88%	95%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	100%	92%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	100%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	100%	100%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				Gender					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All			
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	89%	94%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	100%	91%			
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	70%	76%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	90%	74%			
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	64%	74%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	92%	70%			

HOSPITAL CARE				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	79%	78%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	91%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	49%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	53%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	76%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	73%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	72%	76%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	82%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	71%	56%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	82%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	90%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	90%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	91%	92%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	91%	92%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	88%	93%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	91%	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	70%	82%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	93%	76%

YOUR TREATMENT				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	88%	82%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	92%	86%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	79%	87%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	83%	83%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	83%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	85%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	78%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	80%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	89%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	88%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	79%	77%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	100%	79%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	75%	80%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	82%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	81%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	83%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with hormone therapy	73%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	79%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	70%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	72%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	77%	76%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	94%	77%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	ΓS			Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	72%	73%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	67%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	70%	72%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	80%	71%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	91%	85%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	94%	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	52%	55%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	63%	54%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	49%	57%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	63%	53%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	46%	58%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	67%	52%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	50%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	49%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	45%	52%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	54%	48%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	20%	21%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	44%	22%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Gender					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	40%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	69%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	72%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	52%	63%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	73%	57%

Gender tables

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Gender						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	85%	89%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	88%	87%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	79%	80%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	82%	80%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	33%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	36%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	8.7	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	9.2	8.6	

Ethnicity tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethnic	group		
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not given					All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	77%	*	*	*	n.a.	75%	77%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	67%	*	*	*	n.a.	61%	67%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Ethnic group								
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All			
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	89%	*	100%	*	n.a.	96%	90%			
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	82%	*	83%	*	n.a.	85%	82%			
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	77%	*	50%	*	n.a.	88%	78%			
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	*	83%	*	n.a.	82%	76%			
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	*	100%	*	n.a.	93%	93%			

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			Ethnic group						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	67%	*	*	*	n.a.	64%	67%		
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	67%	*	64%	*	n.a.	74%	68%		
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	72%	*	83%	*	n.a.	81%	73%		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	79%	*	*	*	n.a.	92%	80%		
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	79%	*	82%	*	n.a.	92%	80%		

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Ethnic	group		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	92%	*	100%	*	n.a.	100%	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	83%	*	91%	*	n.a.	84%	83%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	*	100%	*	n.a.	92%	95%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Ethnic group						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	*	83%	*	n.a.	72%	78%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	76%	*	92%	*	n.a.	81%	77%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	69%	*	67%	*	n.a.	65%	69%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	47%	*	73%	*	n.a.	65%	51%		

Ethnicity tables

CARE PLANNING	Ethnic group						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	69%	*	92%	*	n.a.	77%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	91%	*	*	*	n.a.	100%	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	*	*	*	n.a.	100%	100%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				Ethnic	group		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	91%	*	100%	*	n.a.	95%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	71%	*	82%	*	n.a.	88%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	66%	*	*	*	n.a.	81%	70%

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethnic	group		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	79%	*	*	*	n.a.	92%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	50%	*	*	*	n.a.	*	53%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	73%	*	*	*	n.a.	82%	73%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	75%	*	*	*	n.a.	75%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	64%	*	*	*	n.a.	83%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	91%	*	*	*	n.a.	92%	90%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	92%	*	*	*	n.a.	92%	92%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	90%	*	*	*	n.a.	92%	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	76%	*	*	*	n.a.	89%	76%

Ethnicity tables

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethnic	group		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	84%	*	*	*	n.a.	94%	86%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	83%	*	*	*	n.a.	80%	83%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	84%	*	*	*	n.a.	*	85%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	78%	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	80%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	88%	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	88%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	77%	*	*	*	n.a.	100%	79%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	77%	*	*	*	n.a.	79%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	82%	*	*	*	n.a.	*	83%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	76%	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	79%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	70%	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	72%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	77%	*	67%	*	n.a.	88%	77%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	ΓS			Ethnic	group		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	71%	*	91%	*	n.a.	70%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	70%	*	*	*	n.a.	81%	71%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	88%	*	*	*	n.a.	91%	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	51%	*	*	*	n.a.	60%	54%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	52%	*	*	*	n.a.	50%	53%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME				Ethnic	group		
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not give					Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	50%	*	*	*	n.a.	75%	52%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	46%	*	*	*	n.a.	*	49%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnic group						
	White Mixed Asian Black Other N					Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	48%	*	*	*	n.a.	50%	48%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	20%	*	18%	*	n.a.	36%	22%

Ethnicity tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Ethnic	group		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	32%	*	*	*	n.a.	*	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	71%	*	*	*	n.a.	91%	72%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	58%	*	*	*	n.a.	53%	57%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Ethnic group						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	87%	*	*	*	n.a.	87%	87%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	79%	*	100%	*	n.a.	83%	80%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	37%	*	*	*	n.a.	*	36%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	*	*	*	n.a.	9.2	8.6	

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				IMD Quintil	е		
						Non- England	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	69%	88%	82%	76%	74%	n.a.	77%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	70%	64%	64%	57%	78%	n.a.	67%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	97%	89%	93%	85%	91%	n.a.	90%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	83%	70%	83%	79%	89%	n.a.	82%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	71%	85%	77%	78%	77%	n.a.	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	75%	73%	75%	79%	n.a.	76%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	88%	95%	94%	95%	93%	n.a.	93%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	69%	81%	66%	65%	64%	n.a.	67%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	64%	81%	66%	67%	66%	n.a.	68%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	70%	73%	70%	74%	75%	n.a.	73%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	74%	84%	87%	79%	78%	n.a.	80%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	79%	83%	76%	82%	80%	n.a.	80%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	94%	95%	95%	94%	89%	n.a.	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	88%	83%	89%	80%	82%	n.a.	83%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	100%	98%	94%	93%	93%	n.a.	95%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	83%	80%	78%	78%	75%	n.a.	78%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	75%	73%	82%	78%	77%	n.a.	77%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	69%	71%	78%	67%	66%	n.a.	69%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	69%	62%	71%	45%	33%	n.a.	51%

CARE PLANNING				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	72%	71%	69%	74%	66%	n.a.	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	93%	96%	100%	89%	90%	n.a.	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n.a.	100%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			IMD Quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	90%	94%	91%	90%	93%	n.a.	91%	
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	82%	77%	70%	73%	72%	n.a.	74%	
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	68%	76%	73%	64%	73%	n.a.	70%	

HOSPITAL CARE	CARE IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	83%	83%	76%	80%	76%	n.a.	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	59%	45%	67%	56%	45%	n.a.	53%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	67%	67%	86%	80%	67%	n.a.	73%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	79%	83%	65%	72%	74%	n.a.	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	71%	58%	52%	63%	71%	n.a.	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	95%	86%	89%	90%	91%	n.a.	90%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	96%	92%	100%	88%	91%	n.a.	92%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	83%	96%	95%	91%	89%	n.a.	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	84%	76%	84%	77%	69%	n.a.	76%

YOUR TREATMENT	IMD Quintile								
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	96%	96%	80%	84%	84%	n.a.	86%		
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	93%	86%	82%	82%	79%	n.a.	83%		
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	73%	80%	87%	83%	95%	n.a.	85%		
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	75%	92%	n.a.	80%		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	80%	92%	n.a.	88%		
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	88%	83%	76%	80%	75%	n.a.	79%		
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	89%	77%	74%	77%	76%	n.a.	78%		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	64%	85%	87%	80%	91%	n.a.	83%		
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	*	71%	73%	n.a.	79%		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	80%	83%	n.a.	72%		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	79%	86%	78%	74%	77%	n.a.	77%		

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS				IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	83%	83%	70%	69%	69%	n.a.	72%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	84%	70%	76%	69%	67%	n.a.	71%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	94%	84%	88%	84%	95%	n.a.	89%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	61%	59%	57%	53%	48%	n.a.	54%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	69%	47%	56%	48%	53%	n.a.	53%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME				IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	58%	52%	56%	55%	45%	n.a.	52%	
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	42%	44%	57%	45%	57%	n.a.	49%	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	46%	26%	56%	51%	50%	n.a.	48%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	17%	23%	27%	23%	18%	n.a.	22%

IMD quintile tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	VING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	18%	*	*	28%	38%	n.a.	33%	
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	58%	68%	73%	73%	76%	n.a.	72%	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	70%	69%	64%	45%	60%	n.a.	57%	

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE			IMD Quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	94%	95%	90%	82%	86%	n.a.	87%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	81%	84%	82%	74%	83%	n.a.	80%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	41%	39%	42%	33%	32%	n.a.	36%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.5	8.7	8.8	8.4	8.8	n.a.	8.6	