

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2021 Results

Staffordshire and Stoke on Trent

Published July 2022

Executive Summary

Questions Above Expected Range

	Case			
	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	93%	96%	94%
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	81%	67%	76%	71%

Questions Below Expected Range

	Case			
	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	85%	86%	91%	89%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	15%	15%	21%	18%
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	26%	27%	37%	32%

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2021 is the 11th iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2021 survey involved 134 NHS Trusts. Out of 107,412 people, 59,352 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 55%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2021. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2021 and February 2022.

As in the previous six years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Note on question comparability

The questionnaire was redeveloped for the 2021 National Cancer Patient Experience Survey. Due to the significant changes made to the questionnaire no trend data or year on year comparisons are presented in results, and comparisons to previous years' results should not be made.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how an ICS is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the ICS. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's presented score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Suppression

Question-level suppression

For scores where the base size per question is <11, the score will be suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (*). The base size does not include non-scored response options.

Double suppression

If any group within a particular sub-group breakdown (such as the tumour group breakdown) has <11 respondents, then the figure for this particular group is suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (*).

If there is only one group within the sub-group breakdown that has <11 respondents, and is therefore suppressed, the group with the next lowest number of respondents is also suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (*) (regardless if it is greater than or less than 11).

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** ICS has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another ICS's results (both the ICS level and subgroup results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual ICS.

The same rule applies to groups in each subgroup breakdown. For example, if only **one** ICS has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another ICS's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this ICS scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual ICSs to understand their performance and identify areas for local and regional improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (in grey) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this ICS.

ICSs whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the ICS performs better than what ICSs of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the ICS's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2021 unadjusted scores for this ICS for each scored question. The adjusted 2021 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour type, gender, age, IMD quintile and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different ICSs may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Gender tables

The gender tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

Ethnicity tables

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2021 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2021 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at www.ncpes.co.uk. For all other outputs at ICS level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Response Rate

Overall Response Rate

1,605 patients responded out of a total of 2,817 patients, resulting in a response rate of 57%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Overall response rate	2,984	2,817	1,605	57%
National	113,516	107,412	59,352	55%

Respondents by Survey Type

	Number of Respondents
Paper	1,352
Online	252
Phone	1
Translation Service	0
Total	1,605

Respondents by Tumour Group

	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	5
Breast	332
Colorectal / LGT	241
Gynaecological	93
Haematological	233
Head and Neck	53
Lung	120
Prostate	110
Sarcoma	10
Skin	47
Upper Gastro	78
Urological	117
Other	166
Total	1,605


Respondents by Age and Gender


Respondents year of birth has been used to determine age. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the ICS was as follows:


	Age 16-24	Age 25-34	Age 35-44	Age 45-54	Age 55-64	Age 65-74	Age 75-84	Age 85+	Total
Female	1	13	29	100	194	260	176	33	806
Male	6	4	16	28	125	274	219	35	707
Non-binary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prefer to self- describe	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Prefer not to say	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Not given	0	1	1	3	14	34	31	5	89
Total	8	18	46	131	334	569	426	73	1,605


Respondents by Ethnicity

	Number of Respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	1,437
Irish	9
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	0
Any other White background	22
Mixed / Multiple Ethnic Groups	
White and Black Caribbean	4
White and Black African	1
White and Asian	2
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	2
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	2
Pakistani	5
Bangladeshi	0
Chinese	3
Any other Asian background	2
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	3
Caribbean	0
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	0
Other Ethnic Group	I
Arab	1
Any other ethnic background	0
Not given	
Not given	112
Total	1,605









Comparability tables

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Unadjuste	ed Scores	Case N			
	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	806	78%	78%	74%	80%	77%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1026	61%	62%	60%	68%	64%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Unadjusted Scores		Case N			
	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	1305	92%	92%	91%	94%	93%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	1359	84%	84%	82%	86%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	1363	80%	80%	79%	85%	82%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1371	79%	79%	76%	81%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	1372	96%	96%	93%	96%	94%

		ed Scores	Case N			
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	1503	81%	81%	67%	76%	71%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	1584	77%	76%	71%	76%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1586	79%	79%	74%	79%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	1585	85%	85%	82%	86%	84%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	1414	82%	82%	80%	86%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	Unadjusted Scores		Case N			
	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	1535	92%	92%	89%	95%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	1302	84%	84%	81%	89%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	1335	96%	96%	95%	97%	96%

Comparability tables

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Unadjusted Scores		Case N			
	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1472	84%	84%	80%	84%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	1559	80%	80%	76%	82%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	1369	77%	76%	72%	78%	75%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	720	51%	52%	48%	57%	52%

L		ed Scores	Case N			
CARE PLANNING	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	1422	72%	71%	69%	74%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	848	92%	92%	91%	95%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	681	99%	99%	98%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		ed Scores	Case N			
		2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	1289	89%	89%	87%	92%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	1576	77%	76%	73%	79%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	855	71%	71%	64%	75%	69%

	Unadjust	ed Scores	Case N	Scores		
HOSPITAL CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	757	79%	79%	78%	84%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	629	60%	59%	55%	66%	61%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	740	67%	67%	67%	74%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed		76%	76%	73%	79%	76%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	727	69%	68%	63%	70%	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	670	85%	85%	83%	89%	86%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	752	88%	88%	87%	91%	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	732	85%	85%	86%	91%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	1398	78%	78%	75%	81%	78%

Comparability tables

 Indicates where a score has been suppressed to prevent individuals and their responses being identifiable in the data. Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

	Unadjust	ed Scores	Case N	Scores		
YOUR TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	840	91%	91%	87%	91%	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy		86%	85%	83%	87%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy		86%	87%	85%	91%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy		79%	78%	73%	83%	78%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy		85%	85%	78%	88%	83%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	829	84%	84%	82%	87%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	921	79%	79%	76%	81%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	470	79%	79%	76%	83%	80%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	256	72%	72%	67%	78%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	208	78%	77%	73%	84%	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	1544	79%	79%	71%	87%	79%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS		ed Scores	Case N	Scores		
		2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	1522	74%	74%	72%	77%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	1437	70%	70%	67%	73%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	1161	85%	85%	84%	88%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	1415	59%	59%	57%	62%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	1208	52%	52%	50%	57%	54%

	Unadjuste	ed Scores	Case N			
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	1109	56%	55%	52%	59%	55%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	642	51%	51%	47%	56%	51%

	Unadjust	ed Scores	Case N			
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	836	39%	39%	37%	51%	44%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	1529	14%	15%	15%	21%	18%

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2021 Staffordshire and Stoke on Trent

Comparability tables

Indicates where a score has been suppressed to prevent individuals and their responses being identifiable in the data.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range
Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

	Unadjust	ed Scores	Case N			
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	309	26%	26%	27%	37%	32%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	668	78%	78%	75%	81%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	1237	61%	61%	60%	65%	63%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		ed Scores	Case N			
		2021 Score	2021 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	1528	91%	91%	89%	93%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	1575	88%	88%	86%	91%	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	886	40%	40%	36%	52%	44%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	1536	8.9	8.9	8.8	9.1	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	ICE Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	89%	75%	80%	71%	67%	65%	87%	*	89%	69%	76%	73%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	74%	65%	64%	53%	52%	55%	77%	*	56%	52%	56%	47%	61%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	92%	93%	90%	95%	90%	92%	91%	*	97%	91%	93%	88%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	90%	91%	75%	84%	80%	76%	88%	*	93%	74%	81%	76%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	78%	88%	81%	81%	75%	79%	85%	*	75%	76%	78%	73%	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	82%	84%	76%	78%	91%	70%	82%	*	80%	69%	79%	73%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	96%	96%	98%	96%	96%	94%	99%	*	95%	92%	97%	94%	96%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	85%	86%	76%	82%	70%	81%	74%	*	76%	86%	68%	82%	81%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	85%	82%	73%	77%	76%	62%	74%	*	80%	66%	70%	74%	77%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	85%	87%	82%	72%	89%	67%	78%	*	74%	77%	77%	73%	79%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	90%	88%	85%	84%	91%	78%	89%	*	87%	80%	77%	81%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	87%	85%	82%	82%	88%	75%	77%	*	83%	78%	73%	77%	82%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	96%	93%	97%	94%	90%	89%	89%	*	94%	95%	83%	89%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	81%	85%	89%	95%	83%	78%	80%	*	78%	87%	76%	82%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	96%	95%	96%	98%	95%	92%	96%	*	93%	95%	96%	96%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	86%	88%	88%	85%	83%	76%	83%	*	86%	84%	78%	82%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	80%	83%	88%	82%	71%	79%	82%	*	87%	81%	74%	75%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	76%	81%	81%	80%	79%	73%	75%	*	77%	78%	69%	70%	77%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	54%	58%	45%	60%	65%	36%	48%	*	38%	54%	49%	44%	51%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	ur Typ	е					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	73%	79%	69%	76%	72%	66%	75%	*	77%	63%	72%	57%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	94%	93%	91%	98%	94%	85%	90%	*	100%	100%	90%	82%	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	97%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	100%	99%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	91%	93%	85%	93%	93%	86%	90%	*	92%	95%	82%	76%	89%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	75%	81%	75%	78%	79%	78%	78%	*	81%	78%	76%	70%	77%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	76%	78%	67%	73%	81%	66%	53%	*	63%	82%	60%	67%	71%

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	79%	81%	78%	79%	76%	73%	84%	*	86%	81%	82%	73%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	60%	65%	57%	58%	64%	60%	48%	*	*	70%	56%	53%	60%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	64%	69%	73%	70%	73%	71%	72%	*	86%	60%	66%	58%	67%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	81%	80%	76%	72%	71%	73%	81%	*	71%	76%	77%	69%	76%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	74%	75%	68%	71%	71%	55%	71%	*	69%	60%	63%	63%	69%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	87%	87%	80%	82%	84%	89%	90%	*	85%	84%	87%	77%	85%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	89%	87%	86%	91%	94%	88%	92%	*	93%	85%	91%	80%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	90%	87%	86%	82%	91%	79%	77%	*	92%	92%	91%	77%	85%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	77%	84%	77%	84%	81%	71%	82%	*	76%	82%	74%	69%	78%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	ur Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	94%	91%	92%	94%	87%	90%	91%	*	80%	92%	94%	86%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	84%	88%	90%	83%	75%	85%	92%	*	*	89%	85%	85%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	88%	86%	94%	74%	79%	80%	86%	n.a.	*	100%	87%	89%	86%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	n.a.	76%	*	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	84%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	79%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	n.a.	84%	*	*	83%	*	85%	*	*	87%	*	83%	88%	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	88%	83%	84%	90%	76%	83%	84%	*	86%	83%	82%	82%	84%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	77%	78%	84%	87%	68%	64%	84%	*	*	76%	81%	77%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	82%	81%	90%	71%	73%	63%	83%	n.a.	*	58%	75%	73%	79%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	n.a.	71%	*	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	78%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	64%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	n.a.	71%	*	*	84%	*	67%	*	*	83%	*	86%	67%	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	80%	83%	72%	80%	82%	76%	87%	*	66%	88%	79%	74%	79%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	TS						Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	73%	75%	79%	72%	84%	72%	70%	*	81%	79%	73%	71%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	69%	75%	73%	73%	70%	69%	67%	*	83%	73%	69%	61%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	86%	92%	85%	87%	88%	82%	85%	*	91%	84%	78%	78%	85%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	55%	70%	58%	65%	72%	50%	60%	*	76%	55%	54%	51%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	47%	59%	53%	63%	68%	38%	54%	*	70%	50%	47%	45%	52%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	50%	59%	56%	67%	76%	54%	46%	*	52%	66%	50%	48%	56%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	47%	55%	49%	59%	65%	40%	48%	*	*	60%	58%	49%	51%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	43%	40%	30%	41%	38%	32%	42%	*	27%	29%	36%	41%	39%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	16%	14%	13%	10%	16%	19%	15%	*	13%	17%	12%	16%	14%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	31%	36%	0%	31%	*	10%	26%	*	*	25%	23%	20%	26%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	76%	81%	67%	82%	85%	74%	80%	*	89%	76%	78%	73%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	57%	61%	55%	80%	56%	55%	55%	*	84%	48%	64%	57%	61%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	93%	91%	89%	92%	92%	90%	91%	*	98%	86%	90%	86%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	89%	87%	95%	89%	92%	90%	92%	*	91%	79%	86%	87%	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	44%	33%	42%	65%	8%	38%	29%	*	38%	24%	21%	38%	40%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.2	8.9	8.8	9.1	*	9.1	8.7	8.8	8.5	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	79%	75%	74%	79%	79%	86%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	67%	66%	59%	59%	63%	70%	61%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	76%	90%	92%	92%	94%	95%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	69%	84%	87%	83%	85%	91%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	64%	74%	78%	83%	80%	90%	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	54%	74%	81%	79%	82%	85%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	86%	93%	97%	96%	96%	97%	96%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	61%	77%	78%	79%	87%	91%	81%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	61%	76%	75%	76%	79%	88%	77%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	59%	76%	79%	79%	82%	85%	79%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	72%	85%	83%	85%	87%	96%	85%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	73%	84%	85%	81%	80%	83%	82%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	*	91%	91%	93%	92%	93%	94%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	71%	77%	84%	87%	84%	89%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	83%	94%	95%	96%	97%	96%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	78%	80%	85%	84%	87%	87%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	61%	75%	81%	80%	83%	86%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	44%	71%	79%	76%	81%	84%	77%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	52%	41%	57%	47%	53%	73%	51%

CARE PLANNING				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	52%	68%	74%	71%	73%	81%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	79%	92%	90%	94%	94%	94%	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	100%	97%	99%	99%	100%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Age										
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	76%	85%	93%	89%	89%	94%	89%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	52%	68%	79%	76%	82%	81%	77%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	59%	75%	77%	68%	68%	78%	71%		

HOSPITAL CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	64%	64%	85%	78%	84%	85%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	48%	46%	61%	57%	63%	83%	60%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	36%	55%	70%	66%	75%	85%	67%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	64%	62%	76%	79%	77%	91%	76%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	50%	59%	74%	68%	69%	87%	69%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	65%	72%	89%	84%	88%	93%	85%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	75%	76%	94%	87%	90%	97%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	67%	89%	91%	82%	88%	90%	85%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	62%	67%	80%	78%	83%	81%	78%

YOUR TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	81%	94%	89%	93%	91%	94%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	61%	89%	86%	83%	90%	90%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	68%	93%	86%	85%	90%	93%	86%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	n.a.	*	82%	62%	69%	86%	91%	*	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	87%	86%	88%	85%	*	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	*	68%	88%	81%	86%	87%	94%	84%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	*	58%	75%	80%	78%	82%	81%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	*	64%	79%	74%	83%	80%	93%	79%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	n.a.	*	55%	54%	63%	84%	78%	*	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	64%	79%	78%	82%	*	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	78%	71%	84%	79%	78%	91%	79%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	ΓS			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	61%	69%	77%	75%	75%	70%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	51%	62%	73%	70%	73%	79%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	75%	79%	89%	87%	83%	100%	85%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	47%	52%	57%	60%	63%	65%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	40%	46%	52%	52%	54%	68%	52%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Age									
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	39%	46%	54%	53%	65%	75%	56%	
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	30%	46%	45%	50%	59%	71%	51%	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age										
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All		
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	47%	45%	41%	35%	38%	45%	39%		
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	21%	16%	13%	14%	15%	16%	14%		

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	30%	18%	26%	24%	28%	55%	26%	
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	63%	65%	76%	81%	83%	90%	78%	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	43%	48%	60%	61%	67%	87%	61%	

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	76%	84%	91%	91%	94%	97%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	80%	85%	90%	89%	89%	96%	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	50%	38%	36%	43%	38%	31%	40%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	8.2	8.6	9.0	8.9	9.0	9.2	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	81%	74%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	64%	57%	n.a.	n.a.	*	71%	61%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	92%	n.a.	*	*	97%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	83%	85%	n.a.	*	*	88%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	78%	82%	n.a.	*	*	82%	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	79%	n.a.	*	*	77%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	96%	n.a.	*	*	99%	96%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	81%	81%	n.a.	*	*	*	81%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	79%	73%	n.a.	*	*	81%	77%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	78%	n.a.	*	*	80%	79%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	84%	n.a.	*	*	85%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	83%	80%	n.a.	*	*	*	82%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	94%	90%	n.a.	*	*	*	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	83%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	96%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	85%	83%	n.a.	*	*	*	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	79%	n.a.	*	*	*	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	78%	75%	n.a.	*	*	*	77%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	49%	53%	n.a.	*	*	59%	51%

CARE PLANNING		Gender						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	72%	71%	n.a.	*	*	*	72%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	91%	93%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	92%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	100%	n.a.	n.a.	*	100%	99%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	88%	90%	n.a.	*	*	93%	89%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	75%	79%	n.a.	*	*	78%	77%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	72%	71%	n.a.	*	*	*	71%

HOSPITAL CARE				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	80%	79%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	60%	59%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	60%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	68%	66%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	67%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	75%	76%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	76%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	68%	68%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	69%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	86%	83%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	85%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	86%	90%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	87%	84%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	85%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	76%	80%	n.a.	*	*	84%	78%

YOUR TREATMENT				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	91%	90%	n.a.	*	*	92%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	85%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	85%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	73%	86%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	77%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	85%	85%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	92%	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	85%	83%	n.a.	*	*	*	84%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	78%	79%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	83%	75%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	56%	79%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	71%	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	74%	82%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	77%	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	77%	82%	n.a.	*	*	*	79%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	ΓS			Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	74%	74%	n.a.	*	*	*	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	69%	71%	n.a.	*	*	*	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	85%	86%	n.a.	*	*	*	85%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	56%	62%	n.a.	*	*	*	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	49%	55%	n.a.	*	*	*	52%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	51%	60%	n.a.	*	*	*	56%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	46%	58%	n.a.	*	*	*	51%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	41%	37%	n.a.	*	*	*	39%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	14%	13%	n.a.	*	*	*	14%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Gender			
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	21%	30%	n.a.	*	*	38%	26%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	75%	82%	n.a.	*	*	70%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	59%	63%	n.a.	*	*	68%	61%

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2021 Staffordshire and Stoke on Trent

Gender tables

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Gender						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	92%	90%	n.a.	*	*	88%	91%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	89%	88%	n.a.	*	*	89%	88%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	42%	37%	n.a.	*	*	*	40%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	9.0	n.a.	*	*	9.1	8.9	

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethnic	group		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	78%	*	*	*	*	74%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	61%	*	*	*	*	67%	61%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Ethnic group							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	*	92%	*	*	94%	92%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	*	73%	*	*	83%	84%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	80%	*	92%	*	*	79%	80%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	*	67%	*	*	73%	79%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	*	100%	*	*	99%	96%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Ethnic	group		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	81%	*	92%	*	*	80%	81%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	76%	*	92%	*	*	78%	77%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	*	67%	*	*	79%	79%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	85%	*	100%	*	*	83%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	82%	*	82%	*	*	82%	82%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Ethnic	group		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	92%	*	91%	*	*	92%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	84%	*	*	*	*	81%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	*	*	*	*	93%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Ethnic group						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	85%	*	75%	*	*	81%	84%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	80%	*	73%	*	*	82%	80%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	77%	*	83%	*	*	81%	77%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	51%	*	*	*	*	57%	51%		

CARE PLANNING				Ethnic	group		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	72%	*	*	*	*	74%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	92%	*	*	*	*	94%	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	*	*	*	*	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				Ethnic	group		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	89%	*	*	*	*	92%	89%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	77%	*	75%	*	*	74%	77%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	72%	*	*	*	*	65%	71%

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethnic	group		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	80%	*	*	*	n.a.	71%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	59%	*	*	*	n.a.	75%	60%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	67%	*	*	*	n.a.	71%	67%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	76%	*	*	*	n.a.	81%	76%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	68%	*	*	*	n.a.	80%	69%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	84%	*	*	*	n.a.	90%	85%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	88%	*	*	*	n.a.	92%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	85%	*	*	*	n.a.	86%	85%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	78%	*	67%	*	*	83%	78%

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethnic	group		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	91%	*	*	*	n.a.	95%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	*	*	*	*	87%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	87%	*	*	*	*	82%	86%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	79%	*	*	*	n.a.	75%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	85%	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	93%	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	84%	*	*	*	n.a.	92%	84%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	79%	*	*	*	*	79%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	79%	*	*	*	*	68%	79%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	72%	*	*	*	n.a.	73%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	78%	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	81%	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	79%	*	67%	*	*	82%	79%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	ΓS		Ethnic group					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	74%	*	55%	*	*	77%	74%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	70%	*	*	*	*	74%	70%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	85%	*	*	*	*	84%	85%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	59%	*	67%	*	*	61%	59%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	52%	*	55%	*	*	57%	52%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Ethnic group						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	56%	*	64%	*	*	58%	56%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	51%	*	*	*	*	53%	51%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnic group						
	White Mixed Asian Black Other					Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	38%	*	*	*	*	41%	39%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	13%	*	33%	*	*	24%	14%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Ethnic group						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	25%	*	*	*	*	39%	26%	
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	78%	*	*	*	*	72%	78%	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	61%	*	*	*	*	69%	61%	

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE			Ethnic group						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	91%	*	92%	*	*	87%	91%		
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	89%	*	92%	*	*	89%	88%		
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	39%	*	*	*	*	43%	40%		
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	*	9.2	*	*	8.9	8.9		

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	79%	73%	78%	77%	81%	n.a.	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	54%	64%	59%	63%	62%	n.a.	61%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	91%	88%	93%	92%	94%	n.a.	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	81%	84%	86%	84%	n.a.	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	83%	79%	79%	80%	81%	n.a.	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	74%	81%	81%	77%	82%	n.a.	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	n.a.	96%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	80%	82%	80%	81%	81%	n.a.	81%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	78%	77%	74%	77%	77%	n.a.	77%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	78%	79%	77%	82%	n.a.	79%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	82%	86%	84%	87%	n.a.	85%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	75%	83%	80%	82%	85%	n.a.	82%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	91%	92%	95%	91%	92%	n.a.	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	83%	82%	83%	84%	87%	n.a.	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	93%	97%	97%	95%	95%	n.a.	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	82%	86%	85%	86%	n.a.	84%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	80%	78%	81%	79%	82%	n.a.	80%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	76%	74%	78%	77%	78%	n.a.	77%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	49%	52%	55%	50%	51%	n.a.	51%	

CARE PLANNING				IMD Quintil	е		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	69%	73%	72%	70%	74%	n.a.	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	88%	92%	92%	93%	93%	n.a.	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	97%	98%	100%	100%	n.a.	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	90%	88%	89%	89%	90%	n.a.	89%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	77%	77%	78%	78%	74%	n.a.	77%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	62%	68%	73%	73%	77%	n.a.	71%		

HOSPITAL CARE	TAL CARE IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	85%	82%	73%	76%	83%	n.a.	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	68%	58%	65%	60%	52%	n.a.	60%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	67%	63%	68%	68%	69%	n.a.	67%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	78%	74%	75%	77%	76%	n.a.	76%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	75%	68%	67%	66%	71%	n.a.	69%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	86%	84%	85%	85%	83%	n.a.	85%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	87%	88%	87%	88%	89%	n.a.	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	86%	85%	88%	82%	87%	n.a.	85%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	72%	81%	81%	75%	80%	n.a.	78%

YOUR TREATMENT	IMD Quintile								
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	91%	94%	91%	88%	93%	n.a.	91%		
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	83%	88%	86%	87%	82%	n.a.	86%		
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	82%	84%	89%	84%	91%	n.a.	86%		
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	86%	81%	79%	79%	74%	n.a.	79%		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	89%	96%	82%	83%	86%	n.a.	85%		
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	82%	84%	83%	84%	89%	n.a.	84%		
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	71%	79%	82%	82%	76%	n.a.	79%		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	68%	77%	83%	77%	85%	n.a.	79%		
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	73%	77%	71%	73%	69%	n.a.	72%		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	78%	87%	71%	76%	82%	n.a.	78%		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	79%	77%	82%	81%	76%	n.a.	79%		

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	TS			IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	72%	76%	75%	73%	73%	n.a.	74%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	69%	66%	68%	74%	71%	n.a.	70%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	86%	82%	86%	85%	87%	n.a.	85%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	56%	61%	61%	59%	58%	n.a.	59%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	57%	49%	51%	53%	52%	n.a.	52%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	60%	50%	60%	56%	54%	n.a.	56%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	48%	45%	53%	55%	52%	n.a.	51%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			IMD Quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	31%	37%	42%	40%	40%	n.a.	39%	
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	17%	16%	14%	13%	14%	n.a.	14%	

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	27%	19%	35%	24%	27%	n.a.	26%		
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	77%	76%	82%	75%	79%	n.a.	78%		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	60%	59%	61%	61%	65%	n.a.	61%		

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE			IMD Quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	92%	88%	90%	94%	89%	n.a.	91%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	91%	87%	87%	90%	88%	n.a.	88%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	36%	36%	37%	41%	44%	n.a.	40%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	8.9	8.9	9.0	8.9	n.a.	8.9	