

# National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2016 Results

The Hillingdon Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

**Published July 2017** 

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Quality Health on behalf of NHS England



#### Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2016 is the sixth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development.

The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Quality Health, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

Full national results and other reports are available at <a href="www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a> .

Further details on the survey methodology and changes to the 2016 survey can be found in the Annex.

#### This report

The report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement.

Note that responses for questions with 1-20 respondents have been suppressed. This is to protect patient confidentiality and because uncertainty around the result is too great.

#### **Data tables**

The data tables presented in this report show the following for each question:

- Column 1 shows the number of respondents for 2015 to this question
- Column 2 shows the unadjusted 2015 score for this Trust
- Column 3 shows the number of respondents for 2016 to this question
- Column 4 shows the unadjusted 2016 score for this Trust
- Column 5 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased compared with the last survey
- Column 6 shows the case-mix adjusted 2016 score for this Trust
- Column 7 shows the lower limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this
   Trust (the top of the pale blue section on the comparability chart see below)
- Column 8 shows the upper limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this
   Trust (the bottom of the dark blue section on the comparability chart see below)
- Column 9 shows the national average score for this question.

Results for individual response options are presented in the detailed data tables available at <a href="https://www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a> . Confidence Intervals for unadjusted and case-mix adjusted data are provided in these tables.

Expected ranges and 95% confidence intervals highlight the uncertainty around the results. The size of the expected ranges and confidence intervals will be different for each question, and depends on the number of respondents and the range of their responses.

For further details on case-mix adjustment and the scoring methodology used, please refer to the Annex.

#### **Comparability charts**

For the 2016 survey, we have adopted the CQC standard for reporting comparative performance, based on calculation of "expected ranges". This means that Trusts will be flagged as outliers only if there is statistical evidence that their scores deviate (positively or negatively) from the range of scores that would be expected for Trusts of the same size.

The comparability charts in this report show a bar with these expected ranges (in grey), higher than expected (in dark blue), and lower than expected (in pale blue). A black dot represents the actual score of this Trust.

The same colour convention has been used in Column 6 of the data tables.

For further details on expected ranges, please refer to the technical document at <a href="www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>.

#### **Tumour group tables**

The final set of tables in this report show the scores for each question for each of the 13 tumour groups, with a comparative national score for that tumour group.

These breakdowns are intended as additional information for Trusts to understand the differences between the experiences of patients with different types of cancer. The numbers are generally relatively small and may not be statistically significant. They should therefore be treated with some caution.

#### Notes on specific questions

Questions used to direct respondents to different parts of the survey (questions 4, 24, 27, 40, 43, 46) and other demographic and information questions are not reported.

#### How to use the data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with (case-mix adjusted) confidence intervals (presented in the detailed data tables at <a href="www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>), should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than the results for another Trust.

#### **Response rates**

Numbers of respondents by tumour group, age and gender can be found in the Annex.

#### **Executive Summary**

Asked to rate their care on a scale of zero (very poor) to 10 (very good), respondents gave an average rating of **8.6**.

The following questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England\*:

- 77% of respondents said that they were definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment
- 86% of respondents said that they were given the name of a Clinical Nurse Specialist who would support them through their treatment
- 93% of respondents said that it had been 'quite easy' or 'very easy' to contact their Clinical Nurse Specialist
- 86% of respondents said that, overall, they were always treated with dignity and respect while they were in hospital
- 87% of respondents said that hospital staff told them who to contact if they were worried about their condition or treatment after they left hospital
- 62% of respondents said that they thought the GPs and nurses at their general practice definitely did everything they could to support them while they were having cancer treatment.

Detailed results for these and other questions are set out in the sections that follow.

The questions were selected in discussion with the national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group and reflect four key patient experience domains: provision of information; involvement in decisions; care transition; interpersonal relations, respect and dignity. The figures presented above are all case-mix adjusted.

<sup>\*</sup> www.cancerdata.nhs.uk/dashboard


# Questions which scored outside expected range

		2016	Case-mix A	djusted	
Question	Number of respondents for this Trust	2016 Percentage for this Trust	Lower limit of expected range	Upper limit of expected range	National Average Score

#### Hospital care as an inpatient

(	Q39	Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	76	87%	89%	99%	94%

#### Seeing your GP



		Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		20	15	20	16	0			-,-	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	hange from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	73	74%	101	74%		76%	68%	85%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	115	85%	135	79%		78%	77%	90%	83%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

 $\uparrow$  or  $\downarrow$ 

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### **Diagnostic Tests**



			Unac	djusted S	cores		2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
		20	15	20	)16			710 0400	IVIIX 7 taja	Sicu Sicu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q5	Received all the information needed about the test	-	-	114	90%		91%	90%	99%	94%
Q6	The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	91	92%	116	87%		87%	81%	94%	87%
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	91	81%	115	74%		75%	72%	87%	79%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

↑ or ↓

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Finding out what was wrong with you


Q8 Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer

Q9 Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer

Q10 Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong

Q11 Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted




			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	116 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16			710 Oasc	IVIIX Auju	Jicu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	-	-	130	69%		70%	68%	84%	76%
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	115	88%	136	88%		88%	78%	90%	84%
Q10	Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	117	80%	137	73%		75%	66%	81%	73%
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	104	78%	128	79%		78%	65%	80%	72%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

↑ or ↓ Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 1 of 2)



			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	Mix Adju	sted	
		20	15	20	16	0				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	101	85%	113	85%		85%	76%	90%	83%
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable way	103	73%	128	68%		69%	65%	80%	72%
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	105	68%	128	71%		71%	58%	74%	66%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

↑ or ↓

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 2 of 2)



			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q15	Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	98	53%	121	53%		54%	46%	63%	54%
Q16	Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	110	75%	133	77%		77%	71%	85%	78%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

11

 $\uparrow$  or  $\downarrow$ 

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### **Clinical Nurse Specialist**



			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	116 Case	e Mix Adjusted		
		20	15	20	16			710 0400	Wiix 7 taja	Sicu Sicu	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score	
Q17	Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	113	87%	131	85%		86%	85%	96%	90%	
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	87	91%	108	94%		93%	79%	93%	86%	
Q19	Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	87	91%	105	90%		89%	82%	95%	88%	

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

↑ or ↓

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Support for people with cancer




Q21 Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities

Q22 Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help

Q23 Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted

↑ or ↓




			Unadjusted Scores 2016 Case Mix Adjusted						sted	
		20	15	20	16	0		10 0000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	79	90%	99	81%		82%	76%	92%	84%
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	74	92%	81	80%		81%	73%	90%	81%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	50	48%	66	56%		58%	44%	69%	56%
Q23	Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	51	84%	59	75%		75%	70%	91%	80%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### **Operations**



		Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
		20	15	20	16	0				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q25	Beforehand had all the information needed about the operation	-	-	71	96%		95%	91%	100%	96%
Q26	Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way	56	68%	71	76%		76%	69%	88%	79%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  $\uparrow$  or  $\downarrow$ 

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

14

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 3)

Q28 Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there


Q29 Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them

Q30 Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor

Q31 Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted

↑ or ↓




			Unac	ljusted S	d Scores 2016 Case Mix Adjusted					
		20	15	20	16	0				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q28	Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	69	74%	79	75%		76%	73%	90%	82%
Q29	Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	70	83%	78	83%		84%	77%	93%	85%
Q30	Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	64	64%	67	64%		63%	62%	84%	73%
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	71	59%	79	65%		65%	64%	84%	74%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 3)




Q33 All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by

Q34 Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment

Q35 Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted




			Unad	djusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	71	66%	79	61%		62%	55%	78%	67%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	71	58%	79	71%		72%	55%	80%	68%
Q34	Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	71	79%	79	86%		86%	77%	93%	85%
Q35	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	56	46%	60	52%		50%	39%	65%	52%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

↑ or ↓ Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 3 of 3)



			Unadjusted Scores					)16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	2015 2016			0				
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q36	Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain	61	84%	65	83%		84%	75%	93%	84%
Q37	Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	71	80%	79	86%		86%	81%	95%	88%
Q38	Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge	64	83%	73	79%		80%	77%	94%	86%
Q39	Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	66	91%	76	88%		87%	89%	99%	94%


Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

↑ or ↓

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 1 of 2)




Q42 Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them

Q44 Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment

Q45 Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted

↑ or ↓



			Unadjusted Scores				20	)16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16			. 5 0 0 0 0		
	Question		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	86	72%	102	69%		69%	62%	79%	70%
Q42	Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	104	98%	113	97%		97%	92%	99%	96%
Q44	Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	31	84%	28	82%		83%	73%	99%	86%
Q45	Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	27	56%	25	56%		56%	40%	79%	60%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted

#### Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 2 of 2)

Q47 Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment

Q48 Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

		Unadjusted Scores					20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		2015 2016								
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q47	Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	65	88%	60	92%		92%	75%	93%	84%
Q48	Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working	63	71%	56	70%		66%	55%	80%	67%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

19

↑ or ↓


<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Home care and support

Q49 Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home

Q50 Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment

Q51 Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment



All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted

↑ or ↓

			Unadjusted Scores			20	116 Case	Case Mix Adjusted			
		2015 2016				710 0400	Sicu				
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score	
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	97	59%	111	54%		52%	49%	67%	58%	
Q50	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	49	43%	64	56%		57%	39%	67%	53%	
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	27	48%	38	47%		48%	28%	61%	45%	


Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Care from your general practice



		Unadjusted Scores					20	16 Case	Mix Adjusted			
		2015 2016		0								
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score		
Q52	GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	99	95%	115	97%		97%	91%	99%	95%		
Q53	Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient	73	62%	87	61%		62%	51%	72%	62%		


Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  $\uparrow$  or  $\downarrow$ 

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Your overall NHS care (Part 1 of 2)



			Unadjusted Scores					16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0		710 0400	TVIIX 7 taja	510a
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	113	59%	128	57%		56%	52%	70%	61%
Q55	Patient given a care plan	85	38%	108	39%		36%	24%	43%	33%
Q56	Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	117	91%	137	91%		90%	84%	95%	89%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	117	78%	135	66%		66%	57%	78%	67%


Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available


↑ or ↓

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

#### Your overall NHS care (Part 2 of 2)







		Unadjusted Scores					20	16 Case	National Average Score Expected range - upper	
		20	15	20	16		,			
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	cted ran upper	
Q59	Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	112	8.8	136	8.6		8.6	8.5	9.0	8.7

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

↑ or ↓

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

### **Comparisons by tumour group for this Trust**

The following tables show the unadjusted Trust and the national percentage scores for each question broken down by tumour group. Where a cell in the table contains an asterisk this indicates that the number of patients in that group was below 21 and too small to display. Where a cell in the table contains "n.a." this indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

### Seeing your GP

	Q1. Saw GI twice befo had to go t	re being told	Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	63%	n.a.	79%	
Breast	*	94%	88%	90%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	71%	*	81%	
Gynaecological	*	75%	*	79%	
Haematological	70%	65%	79%	81%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	77%	n.a.	79%	
Lung	*	70%	*	83%	
Prostate	n.a.	78%	n.a.	86%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	66%	n.a.	67%	
Skin	*	90%	*	86%	
Upper Gastro	*	72%	*	78%	
Urological	*	82%	*	85%	
Other	*	72%	*	79%	
All Cancers	74%	77%	79%	83%	

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# **Diagnostic tests**

	Q5. Receiv informatio about the	n needed	waiting for	Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right		complete n of test dable way
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	90%	n.a.	81%	n.a.	70%
Breast	96%	95%	100%	92%	88%	82%
Colorectal / LGT	*	95%	*	87%	*	80%
Gynaecological	*	93%	*	85%	*	75%
Haematological	91%	94%	80%	89%	77%	77%
Head and Neck	n.a.	93%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	78%
Lung	*	94%	*	87%	*	78%
Prostate	*	95%	*	86%	*	80%
Sarcoma	n.a.	93%	n.a.	79%	n.a.	74%
Skin	*	95%	*	88%	*	85%
Upper Gastro	*	93%	*	82%	*	77%
Urological	*	94%	*	87%	*	79%
Other	*	95%	*	86%	*	76%
All Cancers	90%	94%	87%	87%	74%	79%

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

### Finding out what was wrong with you

	Q8. Patient could bring member or when first had cancer	a family friend told they	Q9. Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer		Q10. Patier completely the explana what was v	understood ation of	Q11. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	83%	n.a.	73%	n.a.	63%	n.a.	63%	
Breast	76%	82%	100%	88%	78%	78%	96%	77%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	80%	*	86%	*	78%	*	71%	
Gynaecological	*	71%	*	82%	*	72%	*	69%	
Haematological	76%	71%	85%	83%	67%	60%	85%	74%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	70%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	75%	n.a.	64%	
Lung	*	78%	*	83%	*	75%	*	65%	
Prostate	*	77%	*	84%	*	78%	*	81%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	72%	n.a.	81%	n.a.	67%	n.a.	64%	
Skin	*	63%	*	89%	*	79%	*	83%	
Upper Gastro	*	77%	*	80%	*	72%	*	66%	
Urological	*	72%	*	83%	*	77%	*	72%	
Other	*	74%	*	82%	*	70%	*	62%	
All Cancers	69%	76%	88%	84%	73%	73%	79%	72%	

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# Deciding the best treatment for you

	Q12. Patier treatment were comp explained	options	Q13. Possil effects exp understand	lained in an	Q14. Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	78%	n.a.	72%	n.a.	61%	
Breast	100%	84%	64%	75%	73%	69%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	85%	*	75%	*	68%	
Gynaecological	*	84%	* 74%		*	66%	
Haematological	88%	81%	77%	69%	72%	64%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	85%	n.a.	70%	n.a.	68%	
Lung	*	83%	*	74%	*	68%	
Prostate	*	81%	*	72%	*	62%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	83%	n.a.	72%	n.a.	66%	
Skin	*	88%	*	76%	*	70%	
Upper Gastro	*	83%	*	73%	*	67%	
Urological	*	81%	* 72%		*	62%	
Other	*	79%	*	70%	*	63%	
All Cancers	85%	83%	68% 72%		71%	66%	

	told about	nt definitely side effects affect them re	Q16. Patie involved in about care treatment	
Cancer type	This Trust <sup>\$</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>\$</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	55%	n.a.	73%
Breast	79%	56%	81%	79%
Colorectal / LGT	*	56%	*	79%
Gynaecological	*	52%	*	77%
Haematological	42%	49%	81%	77%
Head and Neck	n.a.	59%	n.a.	78%
Lung	*	54%	*	79%
Prostate	*	63%	n.a.	79%
Sarcoma	n.a.	54%	n.a.	80%
Skin	*	61%	*	85%
Upper Gastro	*	53%	*	77%
Urological	*	53%	*	77%
Other	*	50%	*	74%
All Cancers	53%	54%	77%	78%

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# **Clinical Nurse Specialist**

	name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment		Q18. Patiel easy to cor CNS		Q19. Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	95%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	83%	
Breast	100%	94%	93%	86%	88%	89%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	91%	*	88%	*	89%	
Gynaecological	*	94%	*	84%	*	87%	
Haematological	84%	90%	95%	88%	92%	89%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	88%	n.a.	87%	n.a.	87%	
Lung	*	94%	*	88%	*	88%	
Prostate	*	88%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	88%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	88%	n.a.	87%	n.a.	90%	
Skin	*	88%	*	89%	*	90%	
Upper Gastro	*	92%	*	86%	*	87%	
Urological	*	81%	*	85%	*	89%	
Other	*	87%	*	85%	*	86%	
All Cancers	85%	90%	94%	86%	90%	88%	

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# Support for people with cancer

	Q20. Hospital staff gave information about support groups		Q21. Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities		Q22. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help		Q23. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	84%	n.a.	81%	n.a.	67%	n.a.	71%
Breast	96%	89%	*	85%	*	62%	*	80%
Colorectal / LGT	*	84%	*	82%	*	54%	*	82%
Gynaecological	*	83%	*	79%	*	58%	n.a.	77%
Haematological	69%	83%	81%	82%	48%	58%	77%	86%
Head and Neck	n.a.	83%	n.a.	80%	n.a.	59%	n.a.	79%
Lung	*	83%	*	80%	*	69%	*	84%
Prostate	n.a.	86%	n.a.	83%	n.a.	44%	n.a.	79%
Sarcoma	n.a.	83%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	56%	n.a.	78%
Skin	*	86%	*	82%	*	52%	*	62%
Upper Gastro	*	83%	*	80%	*	60%	*	84%
Urological	*	74%	*	72%	*	35%	*	67%
Other	*	80%	*	77%	*	55%	*	80%
All Cancers	81%	84%	80%	81%	56%	56%	75%	80%

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# **Operations**

	Q25. Befor all the info needed ab operation		Q26. Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	93%	n.a.	68%	
Breast	100%	97%	88%	78%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	96%	*	83%	
Gynaecological	*	96%	*	79%	
Haematological	*	93%	*	75%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	94%	n.a.	78%	
Lung	*	97%	*	79%	
Prostate	*	96%	*	77%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	93%	n.a.	80%	
Skin	*	96%	*	83%	
Upper Gastro	*	96%	*	79%	
Urological	*	95%	*	77%	
Other	*	* 95%		78%	
All Cancers	96%	96%	76%	79%	

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 2)

	Q28. Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there		Q29. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them		Q30. Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor		Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	74%	n.a.	79%	n.a.	61%	n.a.	66%
Breast	*	89%	*	86%	*	76%	*	76%
Colorectal / LGT	*	76%	*	85%	*	72%	*	70%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	85%	*	72%	*	71%
Haematological	86%	81%	86%	81%	81%	73%	73%	74%
Head and Neck	n.a.	79%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	74%	n.a.	72%
Lung	n.a.	77%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	73%	n.a.	75%
Prostate	n.a.	85%	n.a.	88%	n.a.	74%	n.a.	79%
Sarcoma	n.a.	80%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	72%	n.a.	74%
Skin	*	87%	*	92%	*	80%	*	85%
Upper Gastro	*	74%	*	82%	*	73%	*	71%
Urological	*	80%	*	86%	*	71%	*	77%
Other	*	79%	*	81%	*	70%	*	71%
All Cancers	75%	82%	83%	85%	64%	73%	65%	74%

	Q32. Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty		Q33. All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by		Q34. Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment		Q35. Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	59%	n.a.	65%	n.a.	76%	n.a.	38%
Breast	*	71%	*	61%	*	86%	*	54%
Colorectal / LGT	*	62%	*	70%	*	84%	*	53%
Gynaecological	*	66%	*	65%	*	83%	*	50%
Haematological	68%	62%	77%	70%	91%	86%	*	56%
Head and Neck	n.a.	65%	n.a.	69%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	54%
Lung	n.a.	70%	n.a.	72%	n.a.	83%	n.a.	50%
Prostate	n.a.	73%	n.a.	68%	n.a.	89%	n.a.	52%
Sarcoma	n.a.	71%	n.a.	71%	n.a.	88%	n.a.	53%
Skin	*	78%	*	67%	*	90%	*	62%
Upper Gastro	*	64%	*	74%	*	83%	*	50%
Urological	*	68%	*	72%	*	87%	*	47%
Other	*	62%	*	68%	*	83%	*	47%
All Cancers	61%	67%	71%	68%	86%	85%	52%	52%

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 2)

	definitely of everything	Q36. Hospital staff lefinitely did everything to help ontrol pain  Q37. Always treate with respect and dignity by staff		ct and	Q38. Given written info about wha should not discharge	ormation t should /	Q39. Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	79%	n.a.	79%	n.a.	76%	n.a.	91%	
Breast	*	86%	*	88%	*	91%	*	96%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	84%	*	87%	*	84%	*	94%	
Gynaecological	*	83%	*	87%	*	87%	*	94%	
Haematological	*	83%	95%	89%	*	80%	90%	95%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	81%	n.a.	87%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	91%	
Lung	n.a.	84%	n.a.	87%	n.a.	81%	n.a.	91%	
Prostate	n.a.	85%	n.a.	91%	n.a.	89%	n.a.	94%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	87%	n.a.	90%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	94%	
Skin	*	87%	*	92%	*	89%	*	95%	
Upper Gastro	n.a.	82%	*	86%	*	82%	*	93%	
Urological	*	82%	*	89%	*	86%	*	91%	
Other	*	82%	*	86%	*	81%	*	93%	
All Cancers	83%	84%	86%	88%	79%	86%	88%	94%	

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

### Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient

	Q41. Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit		Q42. Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them		Q44. Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment		Q45. Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust <sup>\$</sup>	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	63%	n.a.	95%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	58%
Breast	*	70%	100%	96%	*	88%	*	60%
Colorectal / LGT	*	72%	*	96%	*	86%	*	58%
Gynaecological	*	68%	*	95%	*	85%	*	62%
Haematological	86%	74%	96%	97%	*	84%	*	64%
Head and Neck	n.a.	71%	n.a.	96%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	61%
Lung	*	70%	*	95%	*	85%	*	58%
Prostate	n.a.	72%	*	96%	n.a.	89%	n.a.	58%
Sarcoma	n.a.	72%	n.a.	97%	n.a.	89%	n.a.	69%
Skin	*	72%	*	97%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	59%
Upper Gastro	*	68%	*	94%	*	86%	*	57%
Urological	*	68%	*	96%	*	81%	*	56%
Other	*	67%	*	95%	*	83%	*	58%
All Cancers	69%	70%	97%	96%	82%	86%	56%	60%

	Q47. Befor patient had informatio about cher treatment	d all n needed	Q48. Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	80%	n.a.	59%	
Breast	*	82%	*	62%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	85%	*	63%	
Gynaecological	*	84%	n.a.	66%	
Haematological	91%	84%	82%	75%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	80%	n.a.	58%	
Lung	*	84%	*	68%	
Prostate	n.a.	84%	n.a.	67%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	86%	n.a.	73%	
Skin	n.a.	88%	n.a.	78%	
Upper Gastro	n.a.	84%	n.a.	64%	
Urological	* 84%		*	67%	
Other	*	85%	*	68%	
All Cancers	92%	84%	70%	67%	

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

### Home care and support

	Q49. Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home  Q50. Patient definite given enough suppo from health or socia services during treatment		igh support h or social	Q51. Patient definite given enough suppor from health or social services after treatment		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	49%	n.a.	42%	n.a.	41%
Breast	52%	57%	*	53%	*	40%
Colorectal / LGT	*	60%	*	61%	*	51%
Gynaecological	*	56%	*	50%	*	39%
Haematological	61%	60%	*	51%	*	44%
Head and Neck	n.a.	61%	n.a.	52%	n.a.	48%
Lung	*	57%	*	50%	*	43%
Prostate	*	56%	n.a.	48%	n.a.	43%
Sarcoma	n.a.	59%	n.a.	55%	n.a.	48%
Skin	*	65%	*	57%	*	59%
Upper Gastro	*	59%	*	55%	*	48%
Urological	*	58%	*	47%	*	43%
Other	*	54%	*	55%	*	48%
All Cancers	54%	58%	56%	53%	47%	45%

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

# Care from your general practice

	informatio	ven enough n about ondition and	Q53. Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient		
Cancer type	This Trust <sup>\$</sup>	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	89%	n.a.	51%	
Breast	100%	96%	*	62%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	95%	*	62%	
Gynaecological	*	95%	*	61%	
Haematological	95%	96%	58%	59%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	94%	n.a.	59%	
Lung	*	95%	*	61%	
Prostate	n.a.	96%	*	67%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	95%	n.a.	56%	
Skin	*	96%	*	67%	
Upper Gastro	*	94%	*	61%	
Urological	*	95%	*	64%	
Other	*	95%	*	59%	
All Cancers	97%	95%	61%	62%	

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

### Your overall NHS care

	Q54. Hospital and community staff always worked well together		Q55. Patient given a care plan		Q56. Overall the administration of the care was very good / good		Q57. Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	43%	n.a.	32%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	61%
Breast	56%	61%	63%	37%	93%	91%	67%	65%
Colorectal / LGT	*	60%	*	35%	*	89%	*	70%
Gynaecological	*	58%	*	30%	*	89%	*	66%
Haematological	57%	63%	35%	33%	98%	92%	71%	63%
Head and Neck	n.a.	62%	n.a.	36%	n.a.	89%	n.a.	69%
Lung	*	63%	*	33%	*	89%	*	71%
Prostate	*	65%	*	35%	*	88%	*	73%
Sarcoma	n.a.	56%	n.a.	28%	n.a.	87%	n.a.	61%
Skin	*	69%	*	39%	*	90%	*	76%
Upper Gastro	*	58%	*	34%	*	87%	*	66%
Urological	*	63%	*	27%	*	87%	*	75%
Other	*	55%	*	29%	*	88%	*	61%
All Cancers	57%	61%	39%	33%	91%	89%	66%	67%

	Q58. Takin cancer reso discussed v		Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good		
Cancer type	This Trust <sup>\$</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>\$</sup>	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	24%	n.a.	8.3	
Breast	28%	28%	8.9	8.8	
Colorectal / LGT	*	26%	*	8.7	
Gynaecological	*	30%	*	8.7	
Haematological	39%	34%	9.0	8.9	
Head and Neck	n.a.	19%	n.a.	8.7	
Lung	*	33%	*	8.7	
Prostate	*	34%	*	8.7	
Sarcoma	n.a.	33%	n.a.	8.6	
Skin	*	18%	*	8.9	
Upper Gastro	*	33%	*	8.6	
Urological	* 15%		*	8.7	
Other	*	30%	*	8.6	
All Cancers	24%	29%	8.6	8.7	

<sup>\$</sup> These are unadjusted scores

#### **Annex**

#### Methodology

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2016.

The patients included in the sample had relevant cancer ICD10 codes (C00-99 excluding C44 and C84, and D05) in the first diagnosis field of their patient records, applied to their patient files by the relevant NHS Trust, and were alive at the point at which fieldwork commenced. Deceased checks were undertaken on up to three occasions during fieldwork, to ensure that questionnaires were not sent to patients who had died since their treatment.

Trust samples were checked rigorously for duplicates and patient lists were also de-duplicated nationally to ensure that patients did not receive multiple copies of questionnaires.

The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2016 and March 2017.

The survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete online. A Freephone helpline was available for respondents to ask questions about the survey, to enable them to complete their questionnaires over the phone, and to provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

The Health Research Authority supported the survey by granting Section 251 approval.

#### **Further information**

Further information on survey methodology, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at <a href="https://www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>

#### Redevelopment of the 2016 survey

The following changes have been made to the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey in 2016:

- question 5 and 25 are no longer presented in a tick all that apply format and their response options have been revised. This has allowed the questions to be scored and presented in the comparability charts, data tables and tumour group tables. Because of these changes, no comparison with 2015 results is possible
- question 8 has had a response option removed. Because of this change, no comparison with 2015 results is possible.

#### **Official Statistics**

The 2016 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

#### **Scoring methodologies**

49 of the 50 questions relating directly to patient experience have been summarised as the score of the percentage of patients who reported a positive experience. For example:

- question 6 asks: "Overall, how did you feel about the length of time you had to wait for your test to be done?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("It was about right")
- question 11 asks: "When you were told you had cancer, were you given written information about the type of cancer you had?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("Yes, and it was easy to understand").

Where options do not provide any information on positive/negative patient experience (e.g. "Don't know / can't remember"), they are excluded from the score.

The other question (question 59) asks respondents to rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10. Scores have been given as an average on this scale.

A copy of the 2016 questionnaire, marked up with all of these scoring conventions, is available at <a href="https://www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>

Further details on the scoring methodology can be found in the technical document for the survey, available at <a href="https://www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>

#### Case-mix adjustment

As in 2015, case-mix adjusted findings are being presented alongside unadjusted results for Trusts. Case-mix adjustment allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population.

The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at <a href="https://www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>

#### Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2016 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify any changes between 2015 and 2016 unadjusted scores which are 'statistically significant'. 'Statistically significant' means that you can be very confident that any change between scores is real and not due to chance.

For further details on statistical significance, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at <a href="https://www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>

#### **Response Rates**

	Sample Size	Excluded	Adjusted Sample	Not Returned	Blank / Refused	•	Response Rate
National	118,253	8,590	109,663	33,035	3,840	72,788	67%
RAS	222	12	210	63	9	138	66%

#### Respondents by tumour group

The tables below show the numbers of patients from each tumour group and the age and gender distribution of these patients.

Tumour Group	Number of respondents*
Brain / CNS	0
Breast	27
Gynaecological	4
Colorectal / LGT	18
Lung	3
Skin	12
Haematological	49
Upper Gastro	3
Other	10
Urological	11
Prostate	1
Sarcoma	0
Head and Neck	0

<sup>\*</sup> These figures may not match the numerator for all questions in the 'Comparisons by tumour group' section of this report, because not all questions were answered by all respondents.

#### Respondents by age and gender

The questionnaire asked respondents to give their year of birth. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the Trust was as follows:

	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	85+	Total
Male	0	0	1	1	7	27	16	9	61
Female	0	3	3	9	20	21	18	3	77
Total	0	3	4	10	27	48	34	12	138



Quality Health is a specialist health and social care survey organisation, working for public, private and not-for-profit sectors, in the UK and overseas.

Quality Health works with all acute hospitals in England, all independent providers of hospital care, and all Health Boards in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Quality Health is an approved contractor for the Care Quality Commission's patient survey programmes, NHS England's National Staff Survey programme, and the national Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs).

Further information on Quality Health is available at <a href="https://www.quality-health.co.uk">www.quality-health.co.uk</a>

Further information on the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available <a href="www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>