

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2017 Results

NHS Surrey Heath Clinical Commissioning Group

Published September 2018

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Quality Health on behalf of NHS England



Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2017 is the seventh iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development.

The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Quality Health, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

Full national results and other reports are available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Further details on the survey methodology and changes to the 2017 survey can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

This report

The report shows how this CCG scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual CCGs to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement.

Note that responses for questions with 1-20 respondents have been suppressed. This is to protect patient confidentiality and because uncertainty around the result is too great.

Data tables

The data tables presented in this report show the following for each question:

Column 1 shows the number of respondents for 2016 to this question

Column 2 shows the unadjusted 2016 score for this CCG

Column 3 shows the number of respondents for 2017 to this question

Column 4 shows the unadjusted 2017 score for this CCG

Column 5 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased compared with the last survey (2016)

Column 6 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

Column 7 shows the case-mix adjusted 2017 score for this CCG

Column 8 shows the lower limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the top of the pale blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 9 shows the upper limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the bottom of the dark blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 10 shows the national average score for this question.

Data tables (continued)

Results for individual response options are presented in the detailed data tables available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Confidence Intervals for unadjusted and case-mix adjusted data are provided in these tables.

Expected ranges and 95% confidence intervals highlight the uncertainty around the results. The size of the expected ranges and confidence intervals will be different for each question, and depends on the number of respondents and the range of their responses.

For further details on case-mix adjustment and the scoring methodology used, please refer to the Annex towards the end of this report.

Comparability charts

For the 2017 survey, we have adopted the CQC standard for reporting comparative performance, based on calculation of "expected ranges". This means that CCGs will be flagged as outliers only if there is statistical evidence that their scores deviate (positively or negatively) from the range of scores that would be expected for CCGs of the same size.

The comparability charts in this report show a bar with these expected ranges (in grey), higher than expected (in dark blue), and lower than expected (in pale blue). A black dot represents the actual score of this CCG.

The same colour convention has been used in Column 6 of the data tables.

For further details on expected ranges, please refer to the technical document at: www.ncpes.co.uk

Tumour group tables

The final set of tables in this report show the scores for each question for each of the 13 tumour groups, with a comparative national score for that tumour group.

These breakdowns are intended as additional information for CCGs to understand the differences between the experiences of patients with different types of cancer. The numbers are generally relatively small and may not be statistically significant. They should therefore be treated with some caution.

Notes on specific questions

Questions used solely to direct respondents to different parts of the survey (questions 4, 24, 27, 40, 43, 46) and other demographic and information questions are not reported.

How to use the data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the CCG.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with case-mix adjusted confidence intervals are presented in the detailed data tables at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

These should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than the results for another CCG.

Response rates

Numbers of respondents by tumour group, age and gender can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

Executive Summary

9.0 The average rating given by respondents when asked to rate their care on a scale of zero (very poor) to 10 (very good)

The following questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England*:

- 82% of respondents said that they were definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment
- 99% of respondents said that they were given the name of a Clinical Nurse Specialist who would support them through their treatment
- 87% of respondents said that it had been 'quite easy' or 'very easy' to contact their Clinical Nurse Specialist
- 98% of respondents said that, overall, they were always treated with dignity and respect while they were in hospital
- 97% of respondents said that hospital staff told them who to contact if they were worried about their condition or treatment after they left hospital
- **72%** of respondents said that they thought the GPs and nurses at their general practice definitely did everything they could to support them while they were having cancer treatment.

Detailed results for these and other questions are set out in the sections that follow.


The questions were selected in discussion with the national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group and reflect four key patient experience domains: provision of information; involvement in decisions; care transition; interpersonal relations, respect and dignity. The figures presented above are all case-mix adjusted.

^{*} www.cancerdata.nhs.uk/dashboard

Questions which scored outside expected range

			2017 (Case-mix A	djusted	
Questi	ion	Number of respondents for this CCG	2017 Score for this CCG	Lower limit of expected range	Upper limit of expected range	National Average Score
Clinica	al Nurse Specialist					
Q17	Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	105	99%	86%	97%	91%
Suppo	ort for people with cancer					
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	90	78%	78%	93%	86%
Hospi	tal care as an inpatient	-			-	
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	71	88%	65%	86%	76%
Q37	Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	71	98%	81%	96%	89%
Home	care and support					
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	24	68%	25%	65%	45%

Seeing your GP



			L	Inadjust	ed Score	es		201	7 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20)16	20	17	C				,	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	96	79%	77	78%			77%	67%	86%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	125	78%	105	90%			90%	77%	91%	84%


Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Diagnostic Tests



			L	Jnadjusto	ed Score	s		2017 Case Mix Adju			sted
		20)16	20	17	Ω	_	201	7 Gusc	iviix 7 taja	Sica
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q5	Received all the information needed about the test	102	97%	97	97%			96%	90%	99%	95%
Q6	The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	101	84%	96	89%			88%	82%	95%	88%
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	101	71%	97	84%			82%	71%	88%	79%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Finding out what was wrong with you




		Unadjusted Scores 2016 2017 Chair						201	7 Case	Mix Adiu	sted
		20)16	20	17	0		2017 Case Mix Adju Expected range - 10			olou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	116	78%	99	74%			73%	68%	86%	77%
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	124	84%	105	86%			85%	78%	92%	85%
Q10	Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	125	66%	107	78%			77%	65%	82%	73%
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	107	64%	100	79%			77%	65%	82%	73%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 1 of 2)




			L	Jnadjusto	ed Score		201	7 Case	Miv Adiu	stad	
		20)16	20	17	C		201	7 Oasc	iviix Auju	310u
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	111	77%	91	81%			81%	75%	91%	83%
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable way	116	68%	103	72%			71%	65%	82%	73%
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	120	63%	105	70%			70%	58%	76%	67%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 2 of 2)




			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score	s		201	7 Case	Mix Adiu	sted
		20)16	20	17	C		201	7 0400	.vii.x 7 taja	0.00
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q15	Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	106	46%	99	60%			60%	46%	65%	56%
Q16	Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	116	80%	103	83%			82%	71%	86%	79%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Clinical Nurse Specialist



			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score		2017 Case Mix A		Miv Adiu	eted	
		20)16	20	17	0		201	7 Case	iviix Auju	Sieu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q17	Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	125	90%	105	99%		1	99%	86%	97%	91%
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	105	93%	96	88%			87%	79%	93%	86%
Q19	Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	97	91%	94	91%			90%	81%	95%	88%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017) (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Support for people with cancer




			L	Jnadjust	ed Score	s		2017 Case Mix Ad		Mix Adiu	ısted
		20)16	20	17	Ω		78% 78% 93% 8 85% 74% 91% 8		olou	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	89	89%	90	80%			78%	78%	93%	86%
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	72	74%	71	86%			85%	74%	91%	82%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	62	55%	44	66%			66%	43%	73%	58%
Q23	Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	53	87%	45	87%			87%	70%	93%	81%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Operations




			L	Jnadjust	ed Score	s		2017 Case Mix Adjuste			sted
		20)16	20)17	C				,	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q25	Beforehand had all the information needed about the operation	59	95%	60	98%			98%	91%	100%	96%
Q26	Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way	57	84%	59	75%			74%	69%	89%	79%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 3)




			L	Inadjust	ed Score	s		201	7 Case	Mix Adiu	sted
		20)16	20	17	C					0.00
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q28	Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	74	76%	70	86%			83%	74%	91%	82%
Q29	Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	75	85%	71	90%			89%	77%	93%	85%
Q30	Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	62	68%	59	80%			79%	62%	85%	73%
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	75	79%	71	89%			88%	65%	86%	76%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 3)




			L	Inadjust	ed Score	s		201	7 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		2016 2017 Change 2016 2017 Score Number of respondents 75 56% 71 73% 71					7 0000	viix 7 taja	0.00		
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	hange 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	75	56%	71	73%			71%	55%	77%	66%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	75	47%	70	66%	1		66%	57%	81%	69%
Q34	Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	75	84%	71	89%			88%	78%	94%	86%
Q35	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	51	53%	49	61%			60%	38%	66%	53%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 3 of 3)




		Unadjusted Scores 2016 2017 Change						201	7 Case	Mix Adju	sted
								. 0000		0.00	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	hange 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q36	Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain	65	85%	61	93%			93%	75%	93%	84%
Q37	Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	75	88%	71	99%			98%	81%	96%	89%
Q38	Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge	73	78%	66	89%			88%	78%	94%	86%
Q39	Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	74	99%	67	100%			97%	89%	100%	94%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 1 of 2)




			L	Inadjust	ed Score	s		2017 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		20)16	20	17	C		201	7 0000	i vii ze z ega	otou
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	98	77%	73	67%			66%	60%	81%	71%
Q42	Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	110	95%	91	98%			98%	92%	100%	96%
Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment		19	*	22	91%			91%	72%	100%	87%
Q45	Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	15	*	15	*			*	*	*	59%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 2 of 2)



			Unadjusted Scores					201	2017 Case Mix Adjusted		
		20	2016 2017 O								
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q47	Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment		76%	43	77%			76%	73%	95%	84%
Patient given understandable Q48 information about whether chemotherapy was working		47	62%	39	56%			54%	53%	83%	68%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Home care and support




			Unadjusted Scores								
		20)16		17			201	7 Case I	Mix Adju	sted
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	98	55%	82	55%			54%	49%	70%	59%
Q50	Patient definitely given enough		67%	53	70%			67%	38%	68%	53%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	30	47%	24	71%			68%	25%	65%	45%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Care from your general practice




			Unadjusted Scores					201	2017 Case Mix Adjusted		
		20	016	20	17	ਨੁ					
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q52	Q52 GP given enough information about patient`s condition and treatment		100%	94	99%			99%	91%	100%	95%
Q53 Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient		75	65%	69	72%			72%	48%	72%	60%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Your overall NHS care (Part 1 of 2)



			Unadjusted Scores					201	7 Case	Mix Adju	ısted
		20	16	20	17	Ω	_	20.	. 0000	viix 7 taja	olou
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	120	64%	106	72%			71%	52%	71%	62%
Q55	Patient given a care plan	102	27%	87	31%			33%	25%	45%	35%
Q56	Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	126	88%	107	92%			91%	84%	95%	90%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	126	53%	105	70%	1		71%	59%	79%	69%


Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score


↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 2 of 2)







		Unadjusted Scores						2017 Case Mix Adjusted		
	20	2016 2017 O				.,,				
Question		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q59 Patient`s average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	122	8.9	106	9.0			9.0	8.5	9.1	8.8

- Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
 ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
 (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
 - * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Comparisons by tumour group for this CCG

The following tables show the unadjusted CCG and the national percentage scores for each question broken down by tumour group. Where a cell in the table contains an asterisk this indicates that the number of patients in that group was below 21 and too small to display. Where a cell in the table contains "n.a." this indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

Seeing your GP

	Q1. Saw (twice before told had the hospital	_	Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary				
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National			
Brain / CNS	n.a.	68%	n.a.	82%			
Breast	*	94%	93%	90%			
Colorectal / LGT	*	72%	*	82%			
Gynaecological	*	76%	*	81%			
Haematological	65%	64%	84%	82%			
Head and Neck	n.a.	77%	n.a.	79%			
Lung	*	68%	*	83%			
Prostate	*	79%	*	87%			
Sarcoma	n.a.	67%	n.a.	67%			
Skin	*	90%	*	86%			
Upper Gastro	*	72%	*	79%			
Urological	*	82%	*	86%			
Other	*	72%	*	79%			
All Cancers	78%	77%	90%	84%			

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Diagnostic tests

	-,	ived all the on needed test		ing for the done was	Q7. Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	95%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	77%	
Breast	96%	95%	100%	92%	88%	83%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	96%	*	88%	*	82%	
Gynaecological	*	93%	*	86%	*	76%	
Haematological	95%	94%	91%	89%	77%	76%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	91%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	77%	
Lung	*	95%	*	88%	*	78%	
Prostate	*	94%	*	87%	*	81%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	91%	n.a.	79%	n.a.	75%	
Skin	*	95%	*	87%	*	84%	
Upper Gastro	*	93%	*	84%	*	75%	
Urological	*	94%	*	88%	*	79%	
Other	*	95%	*	87%	*	77%	
All Cancers	97%	95%	89%	88%	84%	79%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Finding out what was wrong with you

	could brii member o	t told they	were told	y that they	Q10. Paticomplete understocexplanati was wron	ly od the on of what	_	nderstand formation type of
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	This CCG \$ National		National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	83%	n.a.	79%	n.a.	65%	n.a.	65%
Breast	93%	84%	89%	89%	93%	78%	77%	77%
Colorectal / LGT	*	82%	*	86%	*	79%	*	72%
Gynaecological	*	71%	*	82%	*	73%	*	71%
Haematological	43%	72%	77%	83%	58%	59%	84%	76%
Head and Neck	n.a.	73%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	74%	n.a.	65%
Lung	*	77%	*	83%	*	75%	*	65%
Prostate	*	79%	*	85%	*	79%	*	82%
Sarcoma	n.a.	70%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	67%	n.a.	59%
Skin	*	66%	*	88%	*	81%	*	83%
Upper Gastro	*	78%	*	80%	*	73%	*	66%
Urological	*	73%	*	83%	*	77%	*	73%
Other	*	75%	*	82%	*	71%	*	64%
All Cancers	74%	77%	86%	85%	78%	73%	79%	73%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Deciding the best treatment for you

	Q12. Pation treatment were commexplained	pletely	effects ex	sible side plained in standable	Q14. Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	81%	n.a.	75%	n.a.	65%	
Breast	88%	84%	68%	75%	66%	70%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	86%	*	77%	*	71%	
Gynaecological	*	84%	*	76%	*	67%	
Haematological	68%	80%	60%	70%	56%	65%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	84%	n.a.	69%	n.a.	67%	
Lung	*	84%	*	75%	*	69%	
Prostate	*	83%	*	73%	*	65%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	78%	n.a.	71%	n.a.	63%	
Skin	*	88%	*	77%	*	73%	
Upper Gastro	*	82%	*	71%	*	65%	
Urological	*	82%	*	71%	*	62%	
Other	*	80%	*	72%	*	64%	
All Cancers	81%	83%	72%	73%	70%	67%	

	side effec	told about ets that ect them in	Q16. Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National		
Brain / CNS	n.a.	55%	n.a.	75%		
Breast	52%	57%	83%	79%		
Colorectal / LGT	*	59%	*	81%		
Gynaecological	*	54%	*	79%		
Haematological	44%	50%	75%	77%		
Head and Neck	n.a.	58%	n.a.	77%		
Lung	*	54%	*	79%		
Prostate	*	64%	*	81%		
Sarcoma	n.a.	53%	n.a.	77%		
Skin	*	66%	*	86%		
Upper Gastro	*	52%	*	77%		
Urological	*	* 53% * 7				
Other	* 51% *					
All Cancers	60%	56%	83%	79%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Clinical Nurse Specialist

	Q17. Pation the name CNS who support the through the treatment of the control of	of the would hem heir	Q18. Pation it easy to their CNS	contact	Q19. Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}			National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	96%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	87%	
Breast	100%	95%	88%	86%	88%	88%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	92%	*	88%	*	89%	
Gynaecological	*	94%	*	85%	*	87%	
Haematological	100%	91%	73%	88%	90%	88%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	89%	n.a.	88%	n.a.	88%	
Lung	*	94%	*	87%	*	87%	
Prostate	*	90%	*	84%	*	88%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	89%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	87%	
Skin	*	90%	*	88%	*	93%	
Upper Gastro	*	92%	*	86%	*	87%	
Urological	*	83%	*	85%	*	88%	
Other	*	89%	*	85%	*	86%	
All Cancers	99%	91%	88%	86%	91%	88%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Support for people with cancer

	Q20. Hos gave info about sup groups		Q21. Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities		Q22. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help		Q23. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	88%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	74%	n.a.	78%
Breast	81%	90%	*	86%	*	62%	*	81%
Colorectal / LGT	*	86%	*	83%	*	55%	*	84%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	81%	*	59%	*	77%
Haematological	*	84%	*	83%	*	59%	*	86%
Head and Neck	n.a.	84%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	61%	n.a.	82%
Lung	*	85%	*	80%	*	69%	*	85%
Prostate	*	89%	*	85%	*	49%	*	79%
Sarcoma	n.a.	79%	n.a.	74%	n.a.	53%	n.a.	74%
Skin	*	87%	*	83%	n.a.	56%	*	62%
Upper Gastro	*	84%	n.a.	82%	*	61%	n.a.	84%
Urological	*	78%	*	74%	*	39%	*	71%
Other	*	82%	*	78%	*	57%	*	81%
All Cancers	80%	86%	86%	82%	66%	58%	87%	81%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Operations

			Q26. Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	93%	n.a.	76%	
Breast	100%	97%	71%	79%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	96%	*	83%	
Gynaecological	*	96%	*	80%	
Haematological	*	93%	*	75%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	96%	n.a.	77%	
Lung	*	95%	*	78%	
Prostate	*	96%	*	78%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	94%	n.a.	78%	
Skin	*	96%	*	84%	
Upper Gastro	n.a.	96%	n.a.	78%	
Urological	*	95%	*	76%	
Other	*	95%	*	78%	
All Cancers	98%	96%	75%	79%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 2)

		r nurses lk in front as if they	Q29. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them		Q30. Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor		Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	75%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	67%	n.a.	71%
Breast	91%	89%	96%	87%	*	76%	91%	78%
Colorectal / LGT	*	78%	*	86%	*	73%	*	71%
Gynaecological	*	86%	*	86%	*	74%	*	72%
Haematological	*	81%	*	81%	*	75%	*	75%
Head and Neck	n.a.	81%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	73%	n.a.	72%
Lung	*	76%	*	82%	*	75%	*	76%
Prostate	*	86%	*	90%	*	75%	*	81%
Sarcoma	n.a.	81%	n.a.	81%	n.a.	69%	n.a.	70%
Skin	*	89%	*	90%	*	79%	*	83%
Upper Gastro	*	74%	*	83%	*	71%	*	71%
Urological	*	80%	*	86%	*	69%	*	78%
Other	*	80%	*	81%	*	71%	*	72%
All Cancers	86%	82%	90%	85%	80%	73%	89%	76%

	Q32. Alwa always er nurses or	•	Q33. All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by		Q34. Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment		Q35. Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	64%	n.a.	68%	n.a.	81%	n.a.	46%
Breast	83%	70%	50%	64%	87%	87%	*	56%
Colorectal / LGT	*	62%	*	71%	*	85%	*	53%
Gynaecological	*	65%	*	65%	*	82%	*	52%
Haematological	*	63%	*	69%	*	86%	*	55%
Head and Neck	n.a.	63%	n.a.	68%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	53%
Lung	*	69%	*	72%	*	84%	*	49%
Prostate	*	71%	*	69%	*	89%	*	53%
Sarcoma	n.a.	61%	n.a.	65%	n.a.	83%	n.a.	48%
Skin	*	76%	*	71%	*	89%	*	58%
Upper Gastro	*	63%	*	76%	*	84%	n.a.	50%
Urological	*	69%	*	72%	*	85%	*	46%
Other	*	62%	*	69%	*	83%	*	48%
All Cancers	73%	66%	66%	69%	89%	86%	61%	53%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 2)

	Q36. Hos definitely everythin control p	g to help	Q37. Always treated with respect and dignity by staff		Q38. Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge		Q39. Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	85%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	79%	n.a.	93%
Breast	*	87%	100%	90%	100%	92%	100%	96%
Colorectal / LGT	*	85%	*	87%	*	84%	*	94%
Gynaecological	*	84%	*	87%	*	87%	*	93%
Haematological	*	82%	*	90%	*	80%	*	96%
Head and Neck	n.a.	82%	n.a.	87%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	92%
Lung	*	85%	*	89%	*	83%	*	92%
Prostate	*	86%	*	91%	*	89%	*	95%
Sarcoma	n.a.	85%	n.a.	87%	n.a.	77%	n.a.	92%
Skin	*	87%	*	93%	*	91%	*	96%
Upper Gastro	*	82%	*	87%	*	82%	*	94%
Urological	*	82%	*	89%	*	86%	*	91%
Other	*	83%	*	88%	*	81%	*	93%
All Cancers	93%	84%	99%	89%	89%	86%	100%	94%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient

	Q41. Patic able to di worries o with staff visit	scuss r fears	Q42. Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them		Q44. Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment		Q45. Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	67%	n.a.	97%	n.a.	91%	n.a.	59%
Breast	68%	71%	100%	96%	*	88%	*	59%
Colorectal / LGT	*	74%	*	96%	*	85%	*	58%
Gynaecological	*	69%	*	96%	*	85%	*	65%
Haematological	*	73%	91%	97%	*	83%	*	60%
Head and Neck	n.a.	70%	n.a.	96%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	64%
Lung	*	70%	*	95%	*	86%	*	58%
Prostate	*	74%	*	96%	*	88%	*	59%
Sarcoma	n.a.	70%	n.a.	95%	n.a.	81%	n.a.	53%
Skin	*	72%	*	96%	n.a.	77%	n.a.	70%
Upper Gastro	n.a.	70%	n.a.	95%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	56%
Urological	*	66%	*	96%	*	84%	*	54%
Other	*	68%	*	95%	*	87%	*	59%
All Cancers	67%	71%	98%	96%	91%	87%	*	59%

	Q47. Before patient had informatic about chemothed treatment	ad all on needed erapy	Q48. Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	83%	n.a.	63%	
Breast	*	83%	*	62%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	84%	*	66%	
Gynaecological	*	86%	*	67%	
Haematological	*	84%	*	75%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	78%	n.a.	58%	
Lung	*	85%	*	69%	
Prostate	n.a.	86%	n.a.	69%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	79%	n.a.	67%	
Skin	n.a.	87%	n.a.	81%	
Upper Gastro	n.a.	84%	n.a.	64%	
Urological	*	84%	*	69%	
Other	*	85%	*	69%	
All Cancers	77%	84%	56%	68%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Home care and support

	Q49. Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home		Q50. Pation definitely enough series from heal social series	given upport th or rvices	Q51. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	57%	n.a.	49%	n.a.	44%	
Breast	*	59%	*	53%	*	42%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	62%	*	62%	*	52%	
Gynaecological	*	57%	*	47%	*	38%	
Haematological	50%	61%	*	52%	*	45%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	63%	n.a.	56%	n.a.	50%	
Lung	*	58%	*	51%	*	42%	
Prostate	*	60%	*	50%	n.a.	44%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	57%	n.a.	49%	n.a.	43%	
Skin	*	67%	*	61%	n.a.	59%	
Upper Gastro	*	59%	*	53%	*	45%	
Urological	*	58%	*	48%	*	45%	
Other	*	56%	*	53%	*	45%	
All Cancers	55%	59%	70%	53%	71%	45%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Care from your general practice

	Q52. GP of enough in about pat condition treatment	nformation ient`s and	Q53. Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient		
Cancer type	This CCG \$ National		This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	94%	n.a.	52%	
Breast	100%	96%	71%	61%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	95%	*	60%	
Gynaecological	*	95%	*	56%	
Haematological	96%	96%	*	58%	
Head and Neck	n.a.	93%	n.a.	60%	
Lung	*	95%	*	60%	
Prostate	*	96%	*	67%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	94%	n.a.	55%	
Skin	*	96%	*	69%	
Upper Gastro	*	93%	*	60%	
Urological	*	95%	*	62%	
Other	*	95%	*	58%	
All Cancers	99%	95%	72%	60%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Your overall NHS care

	Q54. Hos communi always w together	-	Q55. Patient given a care plan		Q56. Overall the administration of the care was very good / good		Q57. Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	53%	n.a.	35%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	68%
Breast	72%	62%	*	38%	97%	91%	68%	68%
Colorectal / LGT	*	61%	*	38%	*	89%	*	71%
Gynaecological	*	58%	*	31%	*	89%	*	67%
Haematological	60%	63%	19%	34%	85%	92%	77%	65%
Head and Neck	n.a.	59%	n.a.	35%	n.a.	88%	n.a.	68%
Lung	*	63%	*	33%	*	90%	*	71%
Prostate	*	66%	*	36%	*	89%	*	74%
Sarcoma	n.a.	55%	n.a.	30%	n.a.	87%	n.a.	65%
Skin	*	70%	*	44%	*	89%	*	75%
Upper Gastro	*	57%	n.a.	34%	*	87%	*	68%
Urological	*	63%	*	30%	*	87%	*	75%
Other	*	57%	*	30%	*	88%	*	65%
All Cancers	72%	62%	31%	35%	92%	90%	70%	69%

	Q58. Taki cancer re discusse patient		Q59. Patient`s average rating of care scored from very poor to very good		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	33%	n.a.	8.5	
Breast	7%	31%	9.1	8.9	
Colorectal / LGT	*	30%	*	8.8	
Gynaecological	* 36%		*	8.8	
Haematological	36%	33%	8.9	8.9	
Head and Neck	n.a.	18%	n.a.	8.7	
Lung	*	36%	*	8.7	
Prostate	*	35%	*	8.8	
Sarcoma	n.a.	39%	n.a.	8.6	
Skin	*	18%	*	8.9	
Upper Gastro	*	34%	*	8.7	
Urological	*	20%	*	8.7	
Other	*	33%	*	8.7	
All Cancers	23%	31%	9.0	8.8	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Annex

Methodology

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2017.

The patients included in the sample had relevant cancer ICD10 codes (C00-99 excluding C44 and C84, and D05) in the first diagnosis field of their patient records, applied to their patient files by the relevant NHS Trust, and were alive at the point at which fieldwork commenced. Deceased checks were undertaken on up to three occasions during fieldwork, to ensure that questionnaires were not sent to patients who had died since their treatment.

Trust samples were checked rigorously for duplicates and patient lists were also deduplicated nationally to ensure that patients did not receive multiple copies of questionnaires.

The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2017 and March 2018.

The survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete online. A Freephone helpline was available for respondents to ask questions about the survey, to enable them to complete their questionnaires over the phone, and to provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

The Health Research Authority supported the survey by granting Section 251 approval.

Further information

Further information on survey methodology, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Redevelopment of the 2017 survey

There have been no changes to the questionnaire compared to 2016.

Official Statistics

The 2017 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

Annex (continued)

Scoring methodologies

49 of the 50 questions relating directly to patient experience have been summarised as the score of the percentage of patients who reported a positive experience. For example:

question 6 asks: "Overall, how did you feel about the length of time you had to wait for your test to be done?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("It was about right")

question 11 asks: "When you were told you had cancer, were you given written information about the type of cancer you had?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("Yes, and it was easy to understand").

Neutral responses, such as "Don't know / I can't remember" and "I did not need an explanation" are not included in the denominator when calculating the score.

Where options do not provide any information on positive/negative patient experience (e.g. "Don't know / can't remember"), they are excluded from the score.

The other question (question 59) asks respondents to rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10. Scores have been given as an average on this scale.

A copy of the detailed scoring methodology for the 2017 questionnaire is available at: www.ncpes.co.uk

Further details on the scoring methodology can be found in the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Case-mix adjustment

As in 2016, case-mix adjusted findings are being presented alongside unadjusted results for CCGs. Case-mix adjustment allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a CCG is performing given their patient population.

The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Annex (continued)

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2017 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'.

Each of the 52 scored questions in 2017 have been compared with those of 2016 and statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the 49 scored questions that are comparable across 2015, 2016 and 2017, overall statistically significant change over the three years has also been reported where identified.

'Statistically significant' means that you can be very confident that any change between scores is real and not due to chance.

For further details on statistical significance, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Response Rates

	Sample Size	Excluded	Adjusted Sample	Not Returned	Blank / Refused	Completed	Response Rate
National	118,305	7,856	110,449	38,132	3,245	69,072	63%
10C	191	10	181	63	10	108	60%

Respondents by tumour group

The tables below show the numbers of patients from each tumour group and the age and gender distribution of these patients.

Tumour Group	Number of respondents*			
Brain / CNS	0			
Breast	29			
Gynaecological	2			
Colorectal / LGT	14			
Lung	6			
Skin	2			
Haematological	26			
Upper Gastro	1			
Other	10			
Urological	9			
Prostate	9			
Sarcoma	0			
Head and Neck	0			

^{*} These figures may not match the numerator for all questions in the 'Comparisons by tumour group' section of this report, because not all questions were answered by all respondents.

Respondents by age and gender

The questionnaire asked respondents to give their year of birth. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the CCG was as follows:

	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	85+	Total
Male	0	1	1	2	8	20	15	6	53
Female	0	0	0	7	10	22	14	2	55
Total	0	1	1	9	18	42	29	8	108



Quality Health is a specialist health and social care survey organisation, working for public, private and not-for-profit sectors, in the UK and overseas.

Quality Health works with all acute hospitals in England, all independent providers of hospital care, and all Health Boards in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Quality Health is an approved contractor for the Care Quality Commission's patient survey programmes, NHS England's National Staff Survey programme, and the national Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs).

Further information on Quality Health is available at:

www.quality-health.co.uk

Further information on the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk