

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2017 Results

NHS North Somerset Clinical Commissioning Group

Published September 2018

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Quality Health on behalf of NHS England



Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2017 is the seventh iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development.

The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Quality Health, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

Full national results and other reports are available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Further details on the survey methodology and changes to the 2017 survey can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

This report

The report shows how this CCG scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual CCGs to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement.

Note that responses for questions with 1-20 respondents have been suppressed. This is to protect patient confidentiality and because uncertainty around the result is too great.

Data tables

The data tables presented in this report show the following for each question:

Column 1 shows the number of respondents for 2016 to this question

Column 2 shows the unadjusted 2016 score for this CCG

Column 3 shows the number of respondents for 2017 to this question

Column 4 shows the unadjusted 2017 score for this CCG

Column 5 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased compared with the last survey (2016)

Column 6 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

Column 7 shows the case-mix adjusted 2017 score for this CCG

Column 8 shows the lower limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the top of the pale blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 9 shows the upper limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the bottom of the dark blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 10 shows the national average score for this question.

Data tables (continued)

Results for individual response options are presented in the detailed data tables available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Confidence Intervals for unadjusted and case-mix adjusted data are provided in these tables.

Expected ranges and 95% confidence intervals highlight the uncertainty around the results. The size of the expected ranges and confidence intervals will be different for each question, and depends on the number of respondents and the range of their responses.

For further details on case-mix adjustment and the scoring methodology used, please refer to the Annex towards the end of this report.

Comparability charts

For the 2017 survey, we have adopted the CQC standard for reporting comparative performance, based on calculation of "expected ranges". This means that CCGs will be flagged as outliers only if there is statistical evidence that their scores deviate (positively or negatively) from the range of scores that would be expected for CCGs of the same size.

The comparability charts in this report show a bar with these expected ranges (in grey), higher than expected (in dark blue), and lower than expected (in pale blue). A black dot represents the actual score of this CCG.

The same colour convention has been used in Column 6 of the data tables.

For further details on expected ranges, please refer to the technical document at: www.ncpes.co.uk

Tumour group tables

The final set of tables in this report show the scores for each question for each of the 13 tumour groups, with a comparative national score for that tumour group.

These breakdowns are intended as additional information for CCGs to understand the differences between the experiences of patients with different types of cancer. The numbers are generally relatively small and may not be statistically significant. They should therefore be treated with some caution.

Notes on specific questions

Questions used solely to direct respondents to different parts of the survey (questions 4, 24, 27, 40, 43, 46) and other demographic and information questions are not reported.

How to use the data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the CCG.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with case-mix adjusted confidence intervals are presented in the detailed data tables at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

These should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than the results for another CCG.

Response rates

Numbers of respondents by tumour group, age and gender can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

Executive Summary

8.7 The average rating given by respondents when asked to rate their care on a scale of zero (very poor) to 10 (very good)

The following questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England*:

- **75%** of respondents said that they were definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment
- 95% of respondents said that they were given the name of a Clinical Nurse Specialist who would support them through their treatment
- 83% of respondents said that it had been 'quite easy' or 'very easy' to contact their Clinical Nurse Specialist
- 89% of respondents said that, overall, they were always treated with dignity and respect while they were in hospital
- 93% of respondents said that hospital staff told them who to contact if they were worried about their condition or treatment after they left hospital
- 60% of respondents said that they thought the GPs and nurses at their general practice definitely did everything they could to support them while they were having cancer treatment.

Detailed results for these and other questions are set out in the sections that follow.


The questions were selected in discussion with the national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group and reflect four key patient experience domains: provision of information; involvement in decisions; care transition; interpersonal relations, respect and dignity. The figures presented above are all case-mix adjusted.

^{*} www.cancerdata.nhs.uk/dashboard

Questions which scored outside expected range

			2017	Case-mix A	djusted	
Questi	on	Number of respondents for this CCG	2017 Score for this CCG	Lower limit of expected range	Upper limit of expected range	National Average Score
Findin	ng out what was wrong with you					
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	385	80%	81%	88%	85%
Clinica	al Nurse Specialist					
Q17	Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	376	95%	88%	95%	91%
Hospi	tal care as a day patient / outpatient					
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	278	76%	65%	76%	71%

Seeing your GP



			L	Inadjust	ed Score	es		2017 Case Mix Adju			sted
		20)16	20	17	C					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	266	73%	264	80%			77%	72%	82%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	346	83%	374	84%			82%	81%	88%	84%


Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Diagnostic Tests



			l	Jnadjusto	ed Score	es		201	7 Case	Mix Adjusted	
		20)16	20	17	Ω	_	201	7 Gusc	wiix 7 taja	olou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q5	Received all the information needed about the test	306	93%	323	94%			93%	92%	97%	95%
Q6	The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	302	90%	324	86%			85%	85%	92%	88%
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	304	80%	329	80%			78%	75%	84%	79%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Finding out what was wrong with you




		Unadjusted Scores 2016 2017						201	7 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	16	20	17	C		201	7 0000	viint r taja	olou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	338	71%	358	72%			72%	72%	83%	77%
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	346	82%	385	81%			80%	81%	88%	85%
Q10	Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	359	75%	388	76%			73%	69%	78%	73%
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	306	73%	343	76%			74%	69%	78%	73%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 1 of 2)




			L	Jnadjusto	ed Score	es		201	7 Casa	Mix Adju	stad
		20)16	20	17	C		201	7 Oasc	iviix Auju	310u
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	306	81%	329	82%			81%	79%	87%	83%
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable way	337	72%	372	75%			75%	69%	78%	73%
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	346	64%	366	69%			68%	62%	72%	67%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 2 of 2)




			L	Jnadjust	ed Score	s		2017 Case Mix Adjuste			sted
		20)16	20	17	C				,-	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q15	Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	316	48%	344	54%			54%	50%	61%	56%
Q16	Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	342	77%	381	76%			75%	74%	83%	79%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Clinical Nurse Specialist



			L	Jnadjust	ed Score	es		201	7 Case I	Miv Adiu	ustod
		20)16	20	17	C		201	/ Case i	viix Auju	sieu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q17	Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	344	92%	376	95%		1	95%	88%	95%	91%
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	269	86%	320	83%			83%	82%	90%	86%
Q19	Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	263	89%	297	89%			88%	84%	92%	88%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Support for people with cancer




		Unadjusted Scores 2016 2017						2017 Case Mix Adju		Mix Adiu	sted
		20)16	20	17	C					0.00
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	269	88%	302	90%			90%	82%	90%	86%
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	221	85%	256	86%			85%	78%	87%	82%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	168	64%	158	61%			61%	50%	67%	58%
Q23	Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	154	83%	146	85%			85%	75%	88%	81%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Operations




			L	Inadjust	ed Score	es		2017 Case M		Mix Adju	sted
		20)16	20	17	C					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q25	Beforehand had all the information needed about the operation	202	96%	228	98%			98%	93%	99%	96%
Q26	Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way	199	82%	227	80%			80%	74%	84%	79%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 3)




			L	Jnadjust	ed Score	s		201	7 Case	Mix Adiu	sted
		20	16	20	17	Ω		82% 77% 87%			olou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q28	Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	193	85%	222	85%			82%	77%	87%	82%
Q29	Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	195	85%	223	87%			86%	80%	90%	85%
Q30	Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	168	70%	179	73%			72%	67%	80%	73%
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	195	74%	220	79%			78%	70%	81%	76%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 3)




			L	Inadjust	ed Score	es		201	7 Case	Mix Adiu	sted
		20)16	20	17	Ω	_	2017 Case Mix Adjusting Expected range - Expected range - T2% 60% 73% 71% 61% 77%			
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	193	69%	217	73%			72%	60%	73%	66%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	191	68%	220	70%			71%	61%	77%	69%
Q34	Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	194	88%	220	90%			90%	81%	90%	86%
Q35	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	129	50%	142	57%			57%	44%	61%	53%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 3 of 3)




		Unadjusted Scores 2016 2017						2017 Case Mix Adj		Mix Adiu	sted
		20)16	20	17	Ω			. 0000		0.00
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q36	Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain	172	86%	190	85%			83%	79%	89%	84%
Q37	Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	191	88%	222	90%			89%	85%	93%	89%
Q38	Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge	182	88%	206	87%			87%	81%	91%	86%
Q39	Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	186	95%	211	93%			93%	91%	97%	94%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 1 of 2)




			Unadjusted Scores						7 Case	Miv Adiu	stad
		20	2016 201			C		201	7 Case	iviix Auju	Sieu
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	258	74%	278	77%			76%	65%	76%	71%
Q42	Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	313	96%	341	97%			97%	94%	98%	96%
Q44	Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	80	88%	113	85%			85%	80%	93%	87%
Q45	Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	65	55%	90	52%			53%	49%	70%	59%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 2 of 2)



		Unadjusted Scores						2017 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		20	2016 2017 O			201	2017 Gude Mix Majueted				
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q47	Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	173	86%	174	82%			82%	79%	90%	84%
Patient given understandable Q48 information about whether chemotherapy was working		158	63%	161	65%			65%	61%	75%	68%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Home care and support




			ı	Inadiust	ed Score	es.		2015 20 141 141 141			
		20)16		17			201	7 Case	Mix Adju	sted
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	292	60%	310	58%			57%	54%	65%	59%
Patient definitely given enough Q50 support from health or social services during treatment		148	43%	163	46%			46%	43%	63%	53%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	109	32%	113	42%			41%	36%	54%	45%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Care from your general practice



		Unadjusted Scores					201	2017 Case Mix Adjusted			
		20)16	20	17	C			<u>, </u>		
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q52	GP given enough information about patient`s condition and treatment	288	97%	315	95%			94%	93%	98%	95%
Q53 Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient		217	53%	250	61%			60%	54%	67%	60%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Your overall NHS care (Part 1 of 2)



			L	Jnadjust	ed Score	es		201	7 Case	Mix Adiu	sted
		20	16	20	17	Ω		201	7 Gusc	iviix 7 taja	olou
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	343	58%	371	66%			65%	56%	67%	62%
Q55	Patient given a care plan	273	32%	305	37%			37%	29%	40%	35%
Q56	Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	354	88%	387	88%			88%	86%	93%	90%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	348	68%	385	72%			71%	62%	76%	69%


Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score


↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 2 of 2)







		Unadjusted Scores						2017 Case Mix Adjusted		
	20	2016 2017 O								
Question		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q59 Patient`s average rating of care scored from very poor to very goo	338	8.7	381	8.8	1		8.7	8.7	8.9	8.8

- Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
 ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
 (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
 - * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Comparisons by tumour group for this CCG

The following tables show the unadjusted CCG and the national percentage scores for each question broken down by tumour group. Where a cell in the table contains an asterisk this indicates that the number of patients in that group was below 21 and too small to display. Where a cell in the table contains "n.a." this indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

Seeing your GP

	Q1. Saw (twice before told had the hospital	_	Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary				
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National			
Brain / CNS	*	68%	*	82%			
Breast	98%	94%	90%	90%			
Colorectal / LGT	*	72%	83%	82%			
Gynaecological	*	76%	*	81%			
Haematological	71%	64%	71%	82%			
Head and Neck	*	77%	*	79%			
Lung	*	68%	*	83%			
Prostate	76%	79%	89%	87%			
Sarcoma	n.a.	67%	n.a.	67%			
Skin	*	90%	*	86%			
Upper Gastro	*	72%	*	79%			
Urological	86%	82%	85%	86%			
Other	69%	72%	71%	79%			
All Cancers	80%	77%	84%	84%			

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Diagnostic tests

	information	Received all the primation needed but the test contact to be done was about right.			Q7. Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way		
Cancer type	This CCG S National This CCG		This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	95%	*	86%	*	77%	
Breast	94%	95%	88%	92%	83%	83%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	96%	90%	88%	86%	82%	
Gynaecological	*	93%	*	86%	*	76%	
Haematological	97%	94%	82%	89%	80%	76%	
Head and Neck	*	91%	*	86%	*	77%	
Lung	*	95%	*	88%	*	78%	
Prostate	97%	94%	97%	87%	79%	81%	
Sarcoma	*	91%	*	79%	*	75%	
Skin	*	95%	*	87%	*	84%	
Upper Gastro	*	93%	*	84%	*	75%	
Urological	90%	94%	88%	88%	88%	79%	
Other	95%	95%	84%	87%	77%	77%	
All Cancers	94%	95%	86%	88%	80%	79%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Finding out what was wrong with you

	Q8. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer		were told	nt felt they ly that they er	Q10. Pation complete understoo explanati was wron	ly od the on of what	Q11. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	This CCG \$ National		National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	
Brain / CNS	*	83%	*	79%	*	65%	*	65%	
Breast	78%	84%	86%	89%	79%	78%	76%	77%	
Colorectal / LGT	71%	82%	84%	86%	76%	79%	71%	72%	
Gynaecological	*	71%	*	82%	*	73%	*	71%	
Haematological	60%	72%	67%	83%	52%	59%	72%	76%	
Head and Neck	*	73%	*	85%	*	74%	*	65%	
Lung	*	77%	*	83%	*	75%	*	65%	
Prostate	89%	79%	92%	85%	95%	79%	88%	82%	
Sarcoma	*	70%	*	82%	*	67%	*	59%	
Skin	*	66%	*	88%	*	81%	*	83%	
Upper Gastro	*	78%	*	80%	*	73%	*	66%	
Urological	60%	73%	83%	83%	83%	77%	77%	73%	
Other	71%	75%	79%	82%	77%	71%	70%	64%	
All Cancers	72%	77%	81%	85%	76%	73%	76%	73%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Deciding the best treatment for you

	Q12. Pation treatment were comexplained	pletely	effects ex	sible side plained in standable	Q14. Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$} National		This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	81%	*	75%	*	65%	
Breast	84%	84%	77%	75%	73%	70%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	86%	84%	77%	67%	71%	
Gynaecological	*	84%	*	76%	*	67%	
Haematological	75%	80%	63%	70%	63%	65%	
Head and Neck	*	84%	*	69%	*	67%	
Lung	*	84%	*	75%	*	69%	
Prostate	83%	83%	77%	73%	58%	65%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	78%	*	71%	*	63%	
Skin	*	88%	*	77%	*	73%	
Upper Gastro	*	82%	*	71%	*	65%	
Urological	82%	82%	69%	71%	63%	62%	
Other	81%	80%	78%	72%	71%	64%	
All Cancers	82%	83%	75%	73%	69%	67%	

	side effec	told about ets that ect them in	Q16. Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National		
Brain / CNS	*	55%	*	75%		
Breast	57%	57%	77%	79%		
Colorectal / LGT	*	59%	64%	81%		
Gynaecological	*	54%	*	79%		
Haematological	45%	50%	69%	77%		
Head and Neck	*	58%	*	77%		
Lung	*	54%	*	79%		
Prostate	66%	64%	84%	81%		
Sarcoma	*	53%	*	77%		
Skin	*	66%	*	86%		
Upper Gastro	*	52%	*	77%		
Urological	48%	53%	80%	76%		
Other	46%	51%	81%	75%		
All Cancers	54%	56%	76%	79%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Clinical Nurse Specialist

	Q17. Pation the name CNS who support the through the treatment	of the would hem heir	Q18. Pation it easy to their CNS	contact	Q19. Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$} National		This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	96%	*	85%	*	87%	
Breast	100%	95%	82%	86%	92%	88%	
Colorectal / LGT	96%	92%	87%	88%	95%	89%	
Gynaecological	*	94%	*	85%	*	87%	
Haematological	92%	91%	85%	88%	91%	88%	
Head and Neck	*	89%	*	88%	*	88%	
Lung	*	94%	*	87%	*	87%	
Prostate	97%	90%	93%	84%	85%	88%	
Sarcoma	*	89%	*	82%	*	87%	
Skin	*	90%	*	88%	*	93%	
Upper Gastro	*	92%	*	86%	*	87%	
Urological	84%	83%	81%	85%	93%	88%	
Other	95%	89%	83%	85%	86%	86%	
All Cancers	95%	91%	83%	86%	89%	88%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Support for people with cancer

	Q20. Hos gave info about sup groups	rmation	Q21. Hos gave info about imp cancer co on day to activities	rmation pact ould have	n gave information on getting financial		Q23. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	88%	n.a.	82%	*	74%	*	78%
Breast	97%	90%	89%	86%	65%	62%	84%	81%
Colorectal / LGT	*	86%	*	83%	*	55%	*	84%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	81%	*	59%	*	77%
Haematological	85%	84%	73%	83%	62%	59%	*	86%
Head and Neck	*	84%	*	82%	*	61%	*	82%
Lung	*	85%	*	80%	*	69%	*	85%
Prostate	89%	89%	92%	85%	*	49%	*	79%
Sarcoma	*	79%	*	74%	n.a.	53%	n.a.	74%
Skin	*	87%	*	83%	*	56%	*	62%
Upper Gastro	*	84%	*	82%	*	61%	*	84%
Urological	91%	78%	86%	74%	*	39%	*	71%
Other	88%	82%	81%	78%	65%	57%	*	81%
All Cancers	90%	86%	86%	82%	61%	58%	85%	81%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Operations

	Q25. Beforehand had all the information needed about the operation Q26. Staff expl how operation gone in understandabl			ation had
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	93%	*	76%
Breast	96%	97%	84%	79%
Colorectal / LGT	95%	96%	78%	83%
Gynaecological	*	96%	*	80%
Haematological	*	93%	*	75%
Head and Neck	*	96%	*	77%
Lung	*	95%	*	78%
Prostate	*	96%	*	78%
Sarcoma	*	94%	*	78%
Skin	*	96%	*	84%
Upper Gastro	*	96%	*	78%
Urological	100%	95%	76%	76%
Other	*	95%	*	78%
All Cancers	98%	96%	80%	79%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 2)

		r nurses lk in front as if they	trust in all doctors close de		someone initely had ity to talk	Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	75%	*	84%	*	67%	*	71%
Breast	89%	89%	90%	87%	84%	76%	85%	78%
Colorectal / LGT	81%	78%	86%	86%	*	73%	*	71%
Gynaecological	*	86%	*	86%	*	74%	*	72%
Haematological	78%	81%	78%	81%	*	75%	78%	75%
Head and Neck	*	81%	*	84%	*	73%	*	72%
Lung	*	76%	*	82%	*	75%	*	76%
Prostate	*	86%	*	90%	*	75%	*	81%
Sarcoma	*	81%	*	81%	*	69%	*	70%
Skin	*	89%	*	90%	*	79%	*	83%
Upper Gastro	*	74%	*	83%	*	71%	*	71%
Urological	88%	80%	88%	86%	65%	69%	88%	78%
Other	70%	80%	74%	81%	*	71%	64%	72%
All Cancers	85%	82%	87%	85%	73%	73%	79%	76%

	Q32. Alwa always er nurses or	_	Q33. All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by		Q34. Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment		Q35. Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	64%	*	68%	*	81%	*	46%
Breast	82%	70%	75%	64%	97%	87%	71%	56%
Colorectal / LGT	71%	62%	67%	71%	*	85%	*	53%
Gynaecological	*	65%	*	65%	*	82%	*	52%
Haematological	57%	63%	61%	69%	87%	86%	*	55%
Head and Neck	*	63%	*	68%	*	85%	*	53%
Lung	*	69%	*	72%	*	84%	*	49%
Prostate	*	71%	*	69%	*	89%	*	53%
Sarcoma	*	61%	*	65%	*	83%	*	48%
Skin	*	76%	*	71%	*	89%	*	58%
Upper Gastro	*	63%	*	76%	*	84%	*	50%
Urological	79%	69%	76%	72%	94%	85%	*	46%
Other	65%	62%	65%	69%	78%	83%	*	48%
All Cancers	73%	66%	70%	69%	90%	86%	57%	53%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 2)

	Q36. Hos definitely everythin control pa	g to help	with resp	Q37. Always treated with respect and dignity by staff about what should / should not do post discharge		Q39. Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	85%	*	84%	*	79%	*	93%
Breast	89%	87%	95%	90%	98%	92%	97%	96%
Colorectal / LGT	86%	85%	90%	87%	*	84%	*	94%
Gynaecological	*	84%	*	87%	*	87%	*	93%
Haematological	*	82%	87%	90%	68%	80%	95%	96%
Head and Neck	*	82%	*	87%	*	85%	*	92%
Lung	*	85%	*	89%	*	83%	*	92%
Prostate	*	86%	*	91%	*	89%	*	95%
Sarcoma	*	85%	*	87%	*	77%	*	92%
Skin	*	87%	*	93%	*	91%	*	96%
Upper Gastro	*	82%	*	87%	*	82%	*	94%
Urological	85%	82%	94%	89%	90%	86%	88%	91%
Other	*	83%	78%	88%	76%	81%	86%	93%
All Cancers	85%	84%	90%	89%	87%	86%	93%	94%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient

	Q41. Pation able to dispersion worries on with staff visit	scuss r fears	right note other	tor had the es and tation with	Q44. Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment		Q45. Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	67%	*	97%	*	91%	*	59%
Breast	81%	71%	98%	96%	84%	88%	51%	59%
Colorectal / LGT	*	74%	*	96%	*	85%	*	58%
Gynaecological	*	69%	*	96%	*	85%	*	65%
Haematological	76%	73%	98%	97%	*	83%	*	60%
Head and Neck	*	70%	*	96%	*	86%	*	64%
Lung	*	70%	*	95%	*	86%	*	58%
Prostate	85%	74%	97%	96%	*	88%	*	59%
Sarcoma	n.a.	70%	n.a.	95%	n.a.	81%	n.a.	53%
Skin	*	72%	*	96%	n.a.	77%	n.a.	70%
Upper Gastro	*	70%	*	95%	*	86%	*	56%
Urological	57%	66%	94%	96%	*	84%	*	54%
Other	86%	68%	97%	95%	*	87%	*	59%
All Cancers	77%	71%	97%	96%	85%	87%	52%	59%

	Q47. Before patient had informatic about chemother treatments	ad all on needed erapy	Q48. Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	83%	*	63%	
Breast	76%	83%	70%	62%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	84%	*	66%	
Gynaecological	* 86%		*	67%	
Haematological	82%	84%	77%	75%	
Head and Neck	*	78%	*	58%	
Lung	*	85%	*	69%	
Prostate	*	86%	*	69%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	79%	n.a.	67%	
Skin	*	87%	*	81%	
Upper Gastro	*	84%	*	64%	
Urological	*	84%	*	69%	
Other	*	85%	*	69%	
All Cancers	82%	84%	65%	68%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Home care and support

	Q49. Hos gave fami someone the inform needed to care at ho	ily or close all nation help with	Q50. Pation definitely enough s from heal social serion during tree	given upport th or rvices	Q51. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$} National		This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	57%	*	49%	*	44%	
Breast	60%	59%	44%	53%	40%	42%	
Colorectal / LGT	48%	62%	*	62%	*	52%	
Gynaecological	*	57%	*	47%	*	38%	
Haematological	50%	61%	48%	52%	*	45%	
Head and Neck	*	63%	*	56%	*	50%	
Lung	*	58%	*	51%	*	42%	
Prostate	59%	60%	*	50%	*	44%	
Sarcoma	n.a.	57%	n.a.	49%	n.a.	43%	
Skin	*	67%	*	61%	*	59%	
Upper Gastro	*	59%	*	53%	*	45%	
Urological	58%	58%	*	48%	*	45%	
Other	67%	56%	67%	53%	*	45%	
All Cancers	58%	59%	46%	53%	42%	45%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Care from your general practice

	Q52. GP of enough in about paticondition treatments	nformation ient`s and	Q53. Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient			
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$} National		This CCG \$	National		
Brain / CNS	*	94%	*	52%		
Breast	96%	96%	58%	61%		
Colorectal / LGT	*	95%	*	60%		
Gynaecological	*	95%	*	56%		
Haematological	93%	96%	68%	58%		
Head and Neck	*	93%	*	60%		
Lung	*	95%	*	60%		
Prostate	97%	96%	69%	67%		
Sarcoma	n.a.	94%	*	55%		
Skin	*	96%	*	69%		
Upper Gastro	*	93%	*	60%		
Urological	97%	95%	71%	62%		
Other	91%	95%	61%	58%		
All Cancers	95%	95%	61%	60%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Your overall NHS care

	Q54. Hos communi always w together	_	Q55. Patient given a care plan		Q56. Overall the administration of the care was very good / good		Q57. Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	53%	n.a.	35%	*	85%	*	68%
Breast	70%	62%	41%	38%	91%	91%	65%	68%
Colorectal / LGT	60%	61%	33%	38%	88%	89%	72%	71%
Gynaecological	*	58%	*	31%	*	89%	*	67%
Haematological	68%	63%	30%	34%	94%	92%	78%	65%
Head and Neck	*	59%	*	35%	*	88%	*	68%
Lung	*	63%	*	33%	*	90%	*	71%
Prostate	65%	66%	41%	36%	84%	89%	73%	74%
Sarcoma	*	55%	*	30%	*	87%	*	65%
Skin	*	70%	*	44%	*	89%	*	75%
Upper Gastro	*	57%	*	34%	*	87%	*	68%
Urological	76%	63%	26%	30%	83%	87%	74%	75%
Other	59%	57%	25%	30%	86%	88%	76%	65%
All Cancers	66%	62%	37%	35%	88%	90%	72%	69%

	Q58. Taki cancer re discusse patient		Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	33%	*	8.5	
Breast	28%	31%	8.8	8.9	
Colorectal / LGT	30%	30%	8.5	8.8	
Gynaecological	*	36%	*	8.8	
Haematological	25%	33%	9.0	8.9	
Head and Neck	*	18%	*	8.7	
Lung	*	36%	*	8.7	
Prostate	33%	35%	8.9	8.8	
Sarcoma	*	39%	*	8.6	
Skin	*	18%	*	8.9	
Upper Gastro	*	34%	*	8.7	
Urological	9%	20%	8.7	8.7	
Other	29%	33%	8.7	8.7	
All Cancers	25%	31%	8.8	8.8	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Annex

Methodology

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2017.

The patients included in the sample had relevant cancer ICD10 codes (C00-99 excluding C44 and C84, and D05) in the first diagnosis field of their patient records, applied to their patient files by the relevant NHS Trust, and were alive at the point at which fieldwork commenced. Deceased checks were undertaken on up to three occasions during fieldwork, to ensure that questionnaires were not sent to patients who had died since their treatment.

Trust samples were checked rigorously for duplicates and patient lists were also deduplicated nationally to ensure that patients did not receive multiple copies of questionnaires.

The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2017 and March 2018.

The survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete online. A Freephone helpline was available for respondents to ask questions about the survey, to enable them to complete their questionnaires over the phone, and to provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

The Health Research Authority supported the survey by granting Section 251 approval.

Further information

Further information on survey methodology, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Redevelopment of the 2017 survey

There have been no changes to the questionnaire compared to 2016.

Official Statistics

The 2017 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

Annex (continued)

Scoring methodologies

49 of the 50 questions relating directly to patient experience have been summarised as the score of the percentage of patients who reported a positive experience. For example:

question 6 asks: "Overall, how did you feel about the length of time you had to wait for your test to be done?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("It was about right")

question 11 asks: "When you were told you had cancer, were you given written information about the type of cancer you had?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("Yes, and it was easy to understand").

Neutral responses, such as "Don't know / I can't remember" and "I did not need an explanation" are not included in the denominator when calculating the score.

Where options do not provide any information on positive/negative patient experience (e.g. "Don't know / can't remember"), they are excluded from the score.

The other question (question 59) asks respondents to rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10. Scores have been given as an average on this scale.

A copy of the detailed scoring methodology for the 2017 questionnaire is available at: www.ncpes.co.uk

Further details on the scoring methodology can be found in the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Case-mix adjustment

As in 2016, case-mix adjusted findings are being presented alongside unadjusted results for CCGs. Case-mix adjustment allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a CCG is performing given their patient population.

The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Annex (continued)

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2017 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'.

Each of the 52 scored questions in 2017 have been compared with those of 2016 and statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the 49 scored questions that are comparable across 2015, 2016 and 2017, overall statistically significant change over the three years has also been reported where identified.

'Statistically significant' means that you can be very confident that any change between scores is real and not due to chance.

For further details on statistical significance, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Response Rates

	Sample Size	Excluded	Adjusted Sample	Not Returned	Blank / Refused	Completed	Response Rate
National	118,305	7,856	110,449	38,132	3,245	69,072	63%
11T	585	33	552	147	16	389	70%

Respondents by tumour group

The tables below show the numbers of patients from each tumour group and the age and gender distribution of these patients.

	N. I.			
Tumour Group	Number of			
- amour Group	respondents*			
Brain / CNS	1			
Breast	116			
Gynaecological	11			
Colorectal / LGT	26			
Lung	18			
Skin	20			
Haematological	52			
Upper Gastro	10			
Other	43			
Urological	46			
Prostate	37			
Sarcoma	1			
Head and Neck	8			

^{*} These figures may not match the numerator for all questions in the 'Comparisons by tumour group' section of this report, because not all questions were answered by all respondents.

Respondents by age and gender

The questionnaire asked respondents to give their year of birth. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the CCG was as follows:

	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	85+	Total
Male	0	0	0	4	25	73	52	6	160
Female	1	1	4	22	60	84	50	7	229
Total	1	1	4	26	85	157	102	13	389



Quality Health is a specialist health and social care survey organisation, working for public, private and not-for-profit sectors, in the UK and overseas.

Quality Health works with all acute hospitals in England, all independent providers of hospital care, and all Health Boards in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Quality Health is an approved contractor for the Care Quality Commission's patient survey programmes, NHS England's National Staff Survey programme, and the national Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs).

Further information on Quality Health is available at:

www.quality-health.co.uk

Further information on the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk