

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2017 Results

NHS Dorset Clinical Commissioning Group

Published September 2018

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Quality Health on behalf of NHS England



Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2017 is the seventh iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development.

The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Quality Health, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

Full national results and other reports are available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Further details on the survey methodology and changes to the 2017 survey can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

This report

The report shows how this CCG scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual CCGs to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement.

Note that responses for questions with 1-20 respondents have been suppressed. This is to protect patient confidentiality and because uncertainty around the result is too great.

Data tables

The data tables presented in this report show the following for each question:

Column 1 shows the number of respondents for 2016 to this question

Column 2 shows the unadjusted 2016 score for this CCG

Column 3 shows the number of respondents for 2017 to this question

Column 4 shows the unadjusted 2017 score for this CCG

Column 5 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased compared with the last survey (2016)

Column 6 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

Column 7 shows the case-mix adjusted 2017 score for this CCG

Column 8 shows the lower limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the top of the pale blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 9 shows the upper limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the bottom of the dark blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 10 shows the national average score for this question.

Data tables (continued)

Results for individual response options are presented in the detailed data tables available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Confidence Intervals for unadjusted and case-mix adjusted data are provided in these tables.

Expected ranges and 95% confidence intervals highlight the uncertainty around the results. The size of the expected ranges and confidence intervals will be different for each question, and depends on the number of respondents and the range of their responses.

For further details on case-mix adjustment and the scoring methodology used, please refer to the Annex towards the end of this report.

Comparability charts

For the 2017 survey, we have adopted the CQC standard for reporting comparative performance, based on calculation of "expected ranges". This means that CCGs will be flagged as outliers only if there is statistical evidence that their scores deviate (positively or negatively) from the range of scores that would be expected for CCGs of the same size.

The comparability charts in this report show a bar with these expected ranges (in grey), higher than expected (in dark blue), and lower than expected (in pale blue). A black dot represents the actual score of this CCG.

The same colour convention has been used in Column 6 of the data tables.

For further details on expected ranges, please refer to the technical document at: www.ncpes.co.uk

Tumour group tables

The final set of tables in this report show the scores for each question for each of the 13 tumour groups, with a comparative national score for that tumour group.

These breakdowns are intended as additional information for CCGs to understand the differences between the experiences of patients with different types of cancer. The numbers are generally relatively small and may not be statistically significant. They should therefore be treated with some caution.

Notes on specific questions

Questions used solely to direct respondents to different parts of the survey (questions 4, 24, 27, 40, 43, 46) and other demographic and information questions are not reported.

How to use the data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the CCG.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with case-mix adjusted confidence intervals are presented in the detailed data tables at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

These should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than the results for another CCG.

Response rates

Numbers of respondents by tumour group, age and gender can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

Executive Summary

8.9 The average rating given by respondents when asked to rate their care on a scale of zero (very poor) to 10 (very good)

The following questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England*:

- 79% of respondents said that they were definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment
- **92%** of respondents said that they were given the name of a Clinical Nurse Specialist who would support them through their treatment
- 90% of respondents said that it had been 'quite easy' or 'very easy' to contact their Clinical Nurse Specialist
- 91% of respondents said that, overall, they were always treated with dignity and respect while they were in hospital
- 95% of respondents said that hospital staff told them who to contact if they were worried about their condition or treatment after they left hospital
- 63% of respondents said that they thought the GPs and nurses at their general practice definitely did everything they could to support them while they were having cancer treatment.

Detailed results for these and other questions are set out in the sections that follow.


The questions were selected in discussion with the national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group and reflect four key patient experience domains: provision of information; involvement in decisions; care transition; interpersonal relations, respect and dignity. The figures presented above are all case-mix adjusted.

^{*} www.cancerdata.nhs.uk/dashboard

Questions which scored outside expected range

			2017	Case-mix A	diusted	
Questi	on	Number of respondents for this CCG	2017 Score for this CCG	Lower limit of expected range	Upper limit of expected range	National Average Score
Clinica	al Nurse Specialist					
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	1,103	90%	84%	89%	86%
Q19	Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	1,069	90%	86%	90%	88%
Suppo	ort for people with cancer					
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	659	65%	53%	63%	58%
Hospi	tal care as an inpatient					
Q28	Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	811	87%	80%	85%	82%
Q30	Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	668	77%	70%	77%	73%
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	812	71%	63%	70%	66%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	805	76%	62%	75%	69%
Q35	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	583	58%	48%	57%	53%
Hospi	tal care as a day patient / outpatient					
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	973	75%	68%	74%	71%
Q42	Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	1,176	97%	95%	97%	96%
Home	care and support					
Q50	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	780	62%	46%	60%	53%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	407	51%	40%	50%	45%
Your o	overall NHS care					
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	1,309	66%	58%	65%	62%
Q56	Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	1,350	93%	88%	91%	90%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	1,344	79%	63%	74%	69%
Q59	Patient`s average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	1,330	8.9	8.7	8.9	8.8

Seeing your GP



			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score	es		201	7 Case	Mix Adiu	sted
		20	16	20	17	C	Change			.,.	
	Question Saw GP once / twice before being		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017 Score		2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	915	79%	1,007	78%			78%	74%	79%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	1,214	85%	1,348	85%			85%	82%	86%	84%


Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Diagnostic Tests



			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score		201	7 Case	Mix Adiu	sted	
		20	16	20	17	Ω	_	201	7 Gusc	iviix 7 taja	olou
	Question Received all the information needed about the test		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q5	Received all the information needed about the test	1,060	96%	1,160	95%			95%	93%	96%	95%
Q6	The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	1,071	91%	1,164	90%			90%	86%	90%	88%
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	1,065	79%	1,167	81%			80%	77%	82%	79%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Finding out what was wrong with you




		Unadjusted Scores 2016 2017						201	7 Case	Mix Adju	ısted
		20	16	20	17	C		201	7 0000	iviix 7 taja	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	1,145	78%	1,254	80%			81%	73%	82%	77%
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	1,212	86%	1,343	85%			85%	83%	87%	85%
Q10	Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	1,235	73%	1,364	74%			74%	71%	76%	73%
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	1,043	71%	1,173	72%			72%	71%	76%	73%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 1 of 2)




			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score		201	7 Casa	Mix Adju	etad	
		20	16	20	17	0		201	7 Oasc	IVIIX Auju	Sicu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	1,048	83%	1,192	83%			83%	81%	85%	83%
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable way	1,170	71%	1,303	73%			73%	71%	76%	73%
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	1,167	68%	1,301	69%			68%	64%	69%	67%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 2 of 2)




			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score		201	7 Case	Mix Adjusted		
		2016 2017 Change								.,.	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	hange 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q15	Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	1,074	52%	1,226	54%			55%	53%	58%	56%
Q16	Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	1,195	79%	1,320	80%			79%	76%	81%	79%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Clinical Nurse Specialist



			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score		2017 Case Mix		Miv Adiu	eted	
		20	16	20	17	C		201	7 Case	iviix Auju	isieu
			Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q17	, ,	1,203	92%	1,315	92%			92%	89%	94%	91%
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	1,003	90%	1,103	91%			90%	84%	89%	86%
Q19	Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	954	93%	1,069	91%			90%	86%	90%	88%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017) (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Support for people with cancer




		Unadjusted Scores 2016 2017						201	7 Case I	Mix Adju	sted
		20	16	20	17	Ω				viix 7 taja	0.00
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	891	86%	1,038	86%			86%	83%	88%	86%
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	765	81%	879	84%			84%	80%	85%	82%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	589	56%	659	65%	1		65%	53%	63%	58%
Q23	Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	513	78%	580	84%			84%	78%	85%	81%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Operations




			L	Inadjust	ed Score	s		2017 Case Mix Adjuste			sted
		20)16	20	17	C					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q25	Beforehand had all the information needed about the operation	696	96%	722	97%			97%	95%	97%	96%
Q26	Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way	696	80%	719	78%			77%	76%	82%	79%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 3)




			L	Jnadjust	ed Score		201	7 Case	Mix Adju	isted	
		20	16	20	17	Ω		201	7 0000	wiix 7 taja	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q28	Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	762	88%	811	88%			87%	80%	85%	82%
Q29	Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	762	88%	819	88%			87%	83%	87%	85%
Q30	Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	625	77%	668	77%			77%	70%	77%	73%
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	765	78%	813	78%			78%	73%	78%	76%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 3)




			L	Jnadjust	ed Score		201	7 Case	Mix Adju	ested	
		20	16	20	17	C		201	7 0030	IVIIX Aaja	3100
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	762	71%	812	71%			71%	63%	70%	66%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	756	73%	805	76%			76%	62%	75%	69%
Q34	Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	762	88%	816	87%			86%	83%	88%	86%
Q35	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	531	56%	583	58%			58%	48%	57%	53%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 3 of 3)




			L	Jnadjust	ed Score		2017 Case Mix A		Mix Adiu	sted	
		20)16	20	17	Ω	_		, oaco	viist s taja	olou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q36	Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain	653	86%	696	86%			86%	82%	87%	84%
Q37	Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	762	90%	818	91%			91%	87%	91%	89%
Q38	Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge	727	86%	753	87%			87%	84%	89%	86%
Q39	Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	752	96%	799	96%			95%	93%	96%	94%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 1 of 2)




		Unadjusted Scores						2017 Case Mix Adjuste			stad
		20	16	20	17	C		201	7 Oasc	iviix Auju	3icu
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	870	75%	973	75%			75%	68%	74%	71%
Q42	Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	1,042	97%	1,176	97%			97%	95%	97%	96%
Q44	Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	307	85%	341	87%			87%	83%	90%	87%
Q45	Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	259	64%	289	59%			60%	54%	65%	59%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 2 of 2)



		Unadjusted Scores						2017 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		20	2016 2017 Ω			201	,				
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q47	Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	568	83%	712	83%			83%	81%	87%	84%
Patient given understandable Q48 information about whether chemotherapy was working		523	68%	658	70%			71%	64%	72%	68%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Home care and support




		Unadjusted Scores						2017 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		20	16	20	17	C		201	2017 0000 11111/1 (10)		
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	981	62%	1,076	61%			61%	56%	62%	59%
Q50	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	708	63%	780	63%			62%	46%	60%	53%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	395	51%	407	52%			51%	40%	50%	45%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Care from your general practice




		Unadjusted Scores					2017 Case Mix Adjusted			sted	
		20	2016 2017 <u>O</u>								
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q52	GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	981	95%	1,041	95%			95%	94%	97%	95%
Q53 Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient		819	66%	856	63%			63%	56%	65%	60%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Your overall NHS care (Part 1 of 2)



			Unadjusted Scores					2017 Case Mix Adjuste			stad
		20	16	20	17	C		201	7 Case	iviix Auju	Sieu
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	1,188	65%	1,309	66%			66%	58%	65%	62%
Q55	Patient given a care plan	962	32%	1,060	33%			34%	32%	38%	35%
Q56	Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	1,224	91%	1,350	93%			93%	88%	91%	90%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	1,219	79%	1,344	78%		1	79%	63%	74%	69%


Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score


↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 2 of 2)







		Unadjusted Scores						2017 Case Mix Adjusted			
		20	16	2017		0		,,,,,,,			
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q59	Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	1,200	8.9	1,330	8.9			8.9	8.7	8.9	8.8

- Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
 ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
 (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
 - * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Comparisons by tumour group for this CCG

The following tables show the unadjusted CCG and the national percentage scores for each question broken down by tumour group. Where a cell in the table contains an asterisk this indicates that the number of patients in that group was below 21 and too small to display. Where a cell in the table contains "n.a." this indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

Seeing your GP

	Q1. Saw (twice before told had the hospital	_	Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National		
Brain / CNS	*	68%	*	82%		
Breast	93%	94%	93%	90%		
Colorectal / LGT	69%	72%	79%	82%		
Gynaecological	81%	76%	91%	81%		
Haematological	64%	64%	85%	82%		
Head and Neck	89%	77%	85%	79%		
Lung	73%	68%	83%	83%		
Prostate	89%	79%	92%	87%		
Sarcoma	*	67%	*	67%		
Skin	88%	90%	88%	86%		
Upper Gastro	66%	72%	82%	79%		
Urological	88%	82%	86%	86%		
Other	73%	72%	75%	79%		
All Cancers	78%	77%	85%	84%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Diagnostic tests

		ived all the on needed test		ing for the done was	Q7. Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$} National		This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	95%	*	86%	*	77%	
Breast	94%	95%	94%	92%	81%	83%	
Colorectal / LGT	95%	96%	85%	88%	79%	82%	
Gynaecological	95%	93%	92%	86%	77%	76%	
Haematological	96%	94%	89%	89%	80%	76%	
Head and Neck	90%	91%	89%	86%	69%	77%	
Lung	97%	95%	91%	88%	83%	78%	
Prostate	95%	94%	96%	87%	87%	81%	
Sarcoma	*	91%	*	79%	*	75%	
Skin	97%	95%	91%	87%	91%	84%	
Upper Gastro	93%	93%	93%	84%	84%	75%	
Urological	ogical 95% 94%		90%	88%	77%	79%	
Other	98%	95%	84%	87%	83%	77%	
All Cancers 95% 95%		90%	88%	81%	79%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Finding out what was wrong with you

	Q8. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer		were told	y that they	Q10. Pation complete understoo explanati was wron	ly od the on of what	Q11. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	83%	*	79%	*	65%	*	65%	
Breast	89%	84%	89%	89%	76%	78%	73%	77%	
Colorectal / LGT	84%	82%	85%	86%	75%	79%	68%	72%	
Gynaecological	83%	71%	81%	82%	80%	73%	74%	71%	
Haematological	70%	72%	85%	83%	62%	59%	75%	76%	
Head and Neck	77%	73%	88%	85%	70%	74%	73%	65%	
Lung	84%	77%	81%	83%	77%	75%	62%	65%	
Prostate	85%	79%	84%	85%	84%	79%	88%	82%	
Sarcoma	*	70%	*	82%	*	67%	*	59%	
Skin	79%	66%	90%	88%	87%	81%	86%	83%	
Upper Gastro	83%	78%	77%	80%	78%	73%	67%	66%	
Urological	75%	73%	83%	83%	83%	77%	79%	73%	
Other	72%	75%	90%	82%	71%	71%	57%	64%	
All Cancers	80%	77%	85%	85%	74%	73%	72%	73%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Deciding the best treatment for you

	Q12. Pation treatment were comexplained	pletely	effects ex	sible side cplained in standable	support i	advice and n dealing effects of
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$} National		This CCG ^{\$} National		This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	81%	*	75%	*	65%
Breast	82%	84%	78%	75%	72%	70%
Colorectal / LGT	85%	86%	73%	77%	72%	71%
Gynaecological	80%	84%	67%	76%	70%	67%
Haematological	79%	80%	68%	70%	62%	65%
Head and Neck	89%	84%	52%	69%	75%	67%
Lung	88%	84%	73%	75%	72%	69%
Prostate	88%	83%	73%	73%	68%	65%
Sarcoma	*	78%	*	71%	*	63%
Skin	89%	88%	88%	77%	78%	73%
Upper Gastro	83%	82%	74%	71%	76%	65%
Urological	88% 82%		80%	71%	64%	62%
Other	82%	80%	74%	72%	62%	64%
All Cancers 83% 83%		73%	73%	69%	67%	

	side effec	told about ets that ect them in	Q16. Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National		
Brain / CNS	*	55%	*	75%		
Breast	58%	57%	81%	79%		
Colorectal / LGT	54%	59%	81%	81%		
Gynaecological	55%	54%	81%	79%		
Haematological	54%	50%	75%	77%		
Head and Neck	50%	58%	79%	77%		
Lung	49%	54%	83%	79%		
Prostate	53%	64%	83%	81%		
Sarcoma	*	53%	*	77%		
Skin	63%	66%	90%	86%		
Upper Gastro	51%	52%	82%	77%		
Urological	51%	53%	82%	76%		
Other	51%	51%	77%	75%		
All Cancers	54%	56%	80%	79%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Clinical Nurse Specialist

	Q17. Pation the name CNS who support the through the treatment thr	of the would hem heir	Q18. Pation it easy to their CNS	contact	Q19. Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$} National		This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	96%	*	85%	*	87%	
Breast	93%	95%	91%	86%	92%	88%	
Colorectal / LGT	95%	92%	91%	88%	90%	89%	
Gynaecological	100%	94%	92%	85%	91%	87%	
Haematological	88%	91%	91%	88%	91%	88%	
Head and Neck	94%	89%	85%	88%	100%	88%	
Lung	94%	94%	94%	87%	87%	87%	
Prostate	89%	90%	86%	84%	92%	88%	
Sarcoma	*	89%	*	82%	*	87%	
Skin	93%	90%	100%	88%	93%	93%	
Upper Gastro	98%	92%	90%	86%	92%	87%	
Urological	83% 83%		85%	85%	84%	88%	
Other	91%	89%	92%	85%	91%	86%	
All Cancers	92% 91%		91%	86%	91%	88%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Support for people with cancer

	Q20. Hos gave info about sup groups	rmation	Q21. Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities Q22. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help		Q23. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	88%	*	82%	*	74%	*	78%
Breast	90%	90%	87%	86%	74%	62%	83%	81%
Colorectal / LGT	84%	86%	83%	83%	53%	55%	84%	84%
Gynaecological	91%	85%	81%	81%	67%	59%	88%	77%
Haematological	82%	84%	83%	83%	61%	59%	86%	86%
Head and Neck	91%	84%	86%	82%	*	61%	81%	82%
Lung	92%	85%	89%	80%	83%	69%	86%	85%
Prostate	87%	89%	87%	85%	44%	49%	89%	79%
Sarcoma	*	79%	*	74%	*	53%	*	74%
Skin	93%	87%	96%	83%	*	56%	*	62%
Upper Gastro	78%	84%	88%	82%	69%	61%	82%	84%
Urological	69%	78%	83%	74%	*	39%	86%	71%
Other	87%	82%	74%	78%	69%	57%	81%	81%
All Cancers	86%	86%	84%	82%	65%	58%	84%	81%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Operations

			Q26. Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National		
Brain / CNS	*	93%	*	76%		
Breast	97%	97%	75%	79%		
Colorectal / LGT	96%	96%	75%	83%		
Gynaecological	98%	96%	94%	80%		
Haematological	91%	93%	87%	75%		
Head and Neck	100%	96%	*	77%		
Lung	92%	95%	74%	78%		
Prostate	94%	96%	82%	78%		
Sarcoma	*	94%	*	78%		
Skin	100%	96%	88%	84%		
Upper Gastro	*	96%	*	78%		
Urological	98%	95%	69%	76%		
Other	98%	95%	80%	78%		
All Cancers	97%	96%	78%	79%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 2)

		r nurses lk in front as if they	Q29. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them		Q30. Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor		Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	75%	*	84%	*	67%	*	71%
Breast	95%	89%	91%	87%	79%	76%	82%	78%
Colorectal / LGT	79%	78%	84%	86%	70%	73%	74%	71%
Gynaecological	85%	86%	96%	86%	90%	74%	75%	72%
Haematological	81%	81%	86%	81%	74%	75%	78%	75%
Head and Neck	68%	81%	87%	84%	*	73%	78%	72%
Lung	81%	76%	81%	82%	84%	75%	77%	76%
Prostate	90%	86%	88%	90%	80%	75%	81%	81%
Sarcoma	*	81%	*	81%	*	69%	*	70%
Skin	*	89%	*	90%	*	79%	*	83%
Upper Gastro	84%	74%	82%	83%	94%	71%	74%	71%
Urological	91%	80%	87%	86%	65%	69%	87%	78%
Other	94%	80%	91%	81%	76%	71%	79%	72%
All Cancers	88%	82%	88%	85%	77%	73%	78%	76%

	Q32. Alwa always er nurses or	-	Q33. All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by		Q34. Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment		Q35. Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	64%	*	68%	*	81%	*	46%
Breast	76%	70%	67%	64%	88%	87%	67%	56%
Colorectal / LGT	66%	62%	71%	71%	81%	85%	44%	53%
Gynaecological	71%	65%	80%	65%	82%	82%	63%	52%
Haematological	65%	63%	83%	69%	88%	86%	54%	55%
Head and Neck	65%	63%	73%	68%	91%	85%	*	53%
Lung	71%	69%	88%	72%	88%	84%	55%	49%
Prostate	76%	71%	76%	69%	90%	89%	50%	53%
Sarcoma	*	61%	*	65%	*	83%	*	48%
Skin	*	76%	*	71%	*	89%	*	58%
Upper Gastro	76%	63%	95%	76%	87%	84%	67%	50%
Urological	73%	69%	89%	72%	89%	85%	57%	46%
Other	64%	62%	81%	69%	87%	83%	53%	48%
All Cancers	71%	66%	76%	69%	87%	86%	58%	53%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 2)

	Q36. Hos definitely everythin control pa	did g to help	Q37. Always treated with respect and dignity by staff		Q38. Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge		Q39. Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	85%	*	84%	*	79%	*	93%
Breast	87%	87%	93%	90%	93%	92%	98%	96%
Colorectal / LGT	81%	85%	88%	87%	86%	84%	93%	94%
Gynaecological	87%	84%	93%	87%	87%	87%	93%	93%
Haematological	82%	82%	86%	90%	80%	80%	97%	96%
Head and Neck	91%	82%	96%	87%	78%	85%	91%	92%
Lung	97%	85%	94%	89%	78%	83%	91%	92%
Prostate	89%	86%	92%	91%	91%	89%	96%	95%
Sarcoma	*	85%	*	87%	*	77%	*	92%
Skin	*	87%	*	93%	*	91%	*	96%
Upper Gastro	91%	82%	92%	87%	77%	82%	100%	94%
Urological	89%	82%	96%	89%	87%	86%	98%	91%
Other	81%	83%	93%	88%	80%	81%	93%	93%
All Cancers	86%	84%	91%	89%	87%	86%	96%	94%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient

	Q41. Pation able to dispersion worries on with staff visit	scuss r fears	Q42. Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them		Q44. Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment		Q45. Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	67%	*	97%	*	91%	*	59%
Breast	79%	71%	97%	96%	88%	88%	58%	59%
Colorectal / LGT	77%	74%	99%	96%	81%	85%	*	58%
Gynaecological	81%	69%	98%	96%	*	85%	*	65%
Haematological	68%	73%	98%	97%	*	83%	*	60%
Head and Neck	70%	70%	100%	96%	76%	86%	*	64%
Lung	76%	70%	96%	95%	94%	86%	67%	58%
Prostate	80%	74%	99%	96%	*	88%	*	59%
Sarcoma	*	70%	*	95%	*	81%	*	53%
Skin	64%	72%	97%	96%	*	77%	*	70%
Upper Gastro	74%	70%	100%	95%	*	86%	*	56%
Urological	83%	66%	96%	96%	*	84%	*	54%
Other	68%	68%	94%	95%	97%	87%	58%	59%
All Cancers	75%	71%	97%	96%	87%	87%	59%	59%

	Q47. Before patient had informatic about chemother treatments	ad all on needed erapy	Q48. Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	83%	*	63%	
Breast	84%	83%	67%	62%	
Colorectal / LGT	84%	84%	69%	66%	
Gynaecological	85%	86%	66%	67%	
Haematological	80%	84%	71%	75%	
Head and Neck	*	78%	*	58%	
Lung	87%	85%	79%	69%	
Prostate	85%	86%	80%	69%	
Sarcoma	*	79%	*	67%	
Skin	*	87%	*	81%	
Upper Gastro	79%	84%	69%	64%	
Urological	86%	84%	*	69%	
Other	84%	85%	71%	69%	
All Cancers	83%	84%	70%	68%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Home care and support

	gave fam someone the inforn	close all nation help with	Q50. Pation definitely enough series from heal social series	given upport th or rvices	Q51. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$} National		This CCG ^{\$}	National	
Brain / CNS	*	57%	*	49%	*	44%	
Breast	61%	59%	65%	53%	52%	42%	
Colorectal / LGT	58%	62%	66%	62%	54%	52%	
Gynaecological	61%	57%	61%	47%	39%	38%	
Haematological	58%	61%	63%	52%	42%	45%	
Head and Neck	66%	63%	*	56%	*	50%	
Lung	55%	58%	64%	51%	54%	42%	
Prostate	67%	60%	60%	50%	58%	44%	
Sarcoma	*	57%	*	49%	*	43%	
Skin	66%	67%	*	61%	*	59%	
Upper Gastro	69%	59%	61%	53%	*	45%	
Urological	64%	58%	59%	48%	*	45%	
Other	61%	56%	61%	53%	66%	45%	
All Cancers	61%	59%	63%	53%	52%	45%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Care from your general practice

	Q52. GP of enough in about pat condition treatment	nformation ient`s and	Q53. Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient		
Cancer type	This CCG \$ National		This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	94%	*	52%	
Breast	96%	96%	65%	61%	
Colorectal / LGT	96%	95%	56%	60%	
Gynaecological	95%	95%	66%	56%	
Haematological	93%	96%	61%	58%	
Head and Neck	92%	93%	59%	60%	
Lung	95%	95%	63%	60%	
Prostate	99%	96%	67%	67%	
Sarcoma	*	94%	*	55%	
Skin	96%	96%	*	69%	
Upper Gastro	95%	93%	56%	60%	
Urological	98%	95%	62%	62%	
Other	95%	95%	62%	58%	
All Cancers	95%	95%	63%	60%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Your overall NHS care

	Q54. Hos communi always w together		Q55. Patient given a care plan		Q56. Overall the administration of the care was very good / good		Q57. Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	53%	*	35%	*	85%	*	68%
Breast	67%	62%	37%	38%	94%	91%	80%	68%
Colorectal / LGT	60%	61%	34%	38%	92%	89%	80%	71%
Gynaecological	63%	58%	42%	31%	93%	89%	76%	67%
Haematological	68%	63%	30%	34%	95%	92%	77%	65%
Head and Neck	63%	59%	38%	35%	94%	88%	69%	68%
Lung	71%	63%	26%	33%	92%	90%	80%	71%
Prostate	67%	66%	32%	36%	91%	89%	81%	74%
Sarcoma	*	55%	*	30%	*	87%	*	65%
Skin	77%	70%	53%	44%	95%	89%	88%	75%
Upper Gastro	70%	57%	27%	34%	97%	87%	79%	68%
Urological	73%	63%	32%	30%	91%	87%	84%	75%
Other	61%	57%	29%	30%	93%	88%	72%	65%
All Cancers	66%	62%	33%	35%	93%	90%	78%	69%

	Q58. Taki cancer re discusse patient		Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	33%	*	8.5	
Breast	30%	31%	9.1	8.9	
Colorectal / LGT	21%	30%	8.7	8.8	
Gynaecological	14%	36%	8.8	8.8	
Haematological	30%	33%	9.0	8.9	
Head and Neck	38%	18%	8.7	8.7	
Lung	49%	36%	9.3	8.7	
Prostate	31%	35%	9.0	8.8	
Sarcoma	*	39%	*	8.6	
Skin	8%	18%	9.0	8.9	
Upper Gastro	21%	34%	9.0	8.7	
Urological	20%	20%	9.0	8.7	
Other	27%	33%	8.8	8.7	
All Cancers	27%	31%	8.9	8.8	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Annex

Methodology

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2017.

The patients included in the sample had relevant cancer ICD10 codes (C00-99 excluding C44 and C84, and D05) in the first diagnosis field of their patient records, applied to their patient files by the relevant NHS Trust, and were alive at the point at which fieldwork commenced. Deceased checks were undertaken on up to three occasions during fieldwork, to ensure that questionnaires were not sent to patients who had died since their treatment.

Trust samples were checked rigorously for duplicates and patient lists were also deduplicated nationally to ensure that patients did not receive multiple copies of questionnaires.

The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2017 and March 2018.

The survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete online. A Freephone helpline was available for respondents to ask questions about the survey, to enable them to complete their questionnaires over the phone, and to provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

The Health Research Authority supported the survey by granting Section 251 approval.

Further information

Further information on survey methodology, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Redevelopment of the 2017 survey

There have been no changes to the questionnaire compared to 2016.

Official Statistics

The 2017 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

Annex (continued)

Scoring methodologies

49 of the 50 questions relating directly to patient experience have been summarised as the score of the percentage of patients who reported a positive experience. For example:

question 6 asks: "Overall, how did you feel about the length of time you had to wait for your test to be done?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("It was about right")

question 11 asks: "When you were told you had cancer, were you given written information about the type of cancer you had?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("Yes, and it was easy to understand").

Neutral responses, such as "Don't know / I can't remember" and "I did not need an explanation" are not included in the denominator when calculating the score.

Where options do not provide any information on positive/negative patient experience (e.g. "Don't know / can't remember"), they are excluded from the score.

The other question (question 59) asks respondents to rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10. Scores have been given as an average on this scale.

A copy of the detailed scoring methodology for the 2017 questionnaire is available at: www.ncpes.co.uk

Further details on the scoring methodology can be found in the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Case-mix adjustment

As in 2016, case-mix adjusted findings are being presented alongside unadjusted results for CCGs. Case-mix adjustment allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a CCG is performing given their patient population.

The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Annex (continued)

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2017 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'.

Each of the 52 scored questions in 2017 have been compared with those of 2016 and statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the 49 scored questions that are comparable across 2015, 2016 and 2017, overall statistically significant change over the three years has also been reported where identified.

'Statistically significant' means that you can be very confident that any change between scores is real and not due to chance.

For further details on statistical significance, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Response Rates

	Sample Size	Excluded	Adjusted Sample	Not Returned	Blank / Refused	Completed	Response Rate
National	118,305	7,856	110,449	38,132	3,245	69,072	63%
11J	2,138	145	1,993	546	66	1,381	69%

Respondents by tumour group

The tables below show the numbers of patients from each tumour group and the age and gender distribution of these patients.

T O	Number of			
Tumour Group	respondents*			
	тооронастко			
Brain / CNS	7			
Breast	332			
Gynaecological	72			
Colorectal / LGT	177			
Lung	77			
Skin	40			
Haematological	229			
Upper Gastro	68			
Other	158			
Urological	67			
Prostate	107			
Sarcoma	14			
Head and Neck	33			

^{*} These figures may not match the numerator for all questions in the 'Comparisons by tumour group' section of this report, because not all questions were answered by all respondents.

Respondents by age and gender

The questionnaire asked respondents to give their year of birth. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the CCG was as follows:

	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	85+	Total
Male	2	5	6	35	105	252	151	26	582
Female	0	9	23	98	178	272	176	43	799
Total	2	14	29	133	283	524	327	69	1,381



Quality Health is a specialist health and social care survey organisation, working for public, private and not-for-profit sectors, in the UK and overseas.

Quality Health works with all acute hospitals in England, all independent providers of hospital care, and all Health Boards in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Quality Health is an approved contractor for the Care Quality Commission's patient survey programmes, NHS England's National Staff Survey programme, and the national Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs).

Further information on Quality Health is available at:

www.quality-health.co.uk

Further information on the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk