

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2018 Results

Hampshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Published September 2019

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Quality Health on behalf of NHS England



Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2018 is the eighth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development.

The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Quality Health, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

Full national results and other reports are available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports

Further details on the survey methodology and changes to the 2018 survey can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

This report

The report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement.

Note that responses for questions with 1-20 respondents have been suppressed. This is to protect patient confidentiality and because uncertainty around the result is too great.

Data tables

The data tables presented in this report show the following for each question:

Column 1 shows the number of respondents for 2017 to this question

Column 2 shows the unadjusted 2017 score for this Trust

Column 3 shows the number of respondents for 2018 to this question

Column 4 shows the unadjusted 2018 score for this Trust

Column 5 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased compared with the last survey (2017)

Column 6 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

Column 7 shows the case-mix adjusted 2018 score for this Trust

Column 8 shows the lower limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this Trust (the top of the pale blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 9 shows the upper limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this Trust (the bottom of the dark blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 10 shows the national average score for this question.

Data tables (continued)

The number of respondents and unadjusted scores in columns 1 to 4 in the data tables come from regression models used to perform comparisons between results in different years. For further details on these comparisons, please refer to the technical document at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

		Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10
					ed Score	es		201	8 Case	Mix Adju	ısted
		20	17	20)18	င္က	0				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	490	76%	467	77%			75%	74%	81%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	650	85%	653	85%			85%	81%	86%	84%

Results for individual response options are presented in the detailed data tables available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/local-reports-2018/data-tables-2018

Confidence Intervals for unadjusted and case-mix adjusted data are provided in these tables.

Expected ranges and 95% confidence intervals highlight the uncertainty around the results. The size of the expected ranges and confidence intervals will be different for each question, and depends on the number of respondents and the range of their responses.

For further details on case-mix adjustment and the scoring methodology used, please refer to the Annex towards the end of this report.

Comparability charts

For the 2018 survey, we have adopted the CQC standard for reporting comparative performance, based on calculation of "expected ranges". Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (below the lower limit of the expected range) are positive (negative) outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher (lower) than the national mean. They perform better (worse) than what Trusts of the same size are expected to perform.

The comparability charts in this report show a bar with these expected ranges (in grey), higher than expected (in dark blue), and lower than expected (in pale blue). A black dot represents the actual score of this Trust.

Comparability charts (continued)

The same colour convention has been used in Column 7 of the data tables.

For further details on expected ranges, please refer to the technical document at: www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Tumour group tables

The final set of tables in this report show the scores for each question for each of the 13 tumour groups. The national score for that tumour group is also shown.

These breakdowns are intended as additional information for Trusts to understand the differences between the experiences of patients with different types of cancer. Scores for some tumour sites with small numbers (less than 21 respondents) are not presented as they have low precision. Scores in these tables should therefore be treated with some caution.

Scores are unadjusted mean scores. No measure of dispersion is presented. Scores for the same tumour and different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document at: www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Year on Year Charts

The Year on Year charts in this report show four columns representing the unadjusted scores¹ of the last four years (2015, 2016, 2017 & 2018) for each question. These charts have been designed to provide a visual comparison to better help the Trusts understand their performance and areas for improvement.

Notes on specific questions

Questions used solely to direct respondents to different parts of the survey (questions 4, 24, 27, 40, 43, 46) and other demographic and information questions are not reported.

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

¹The unadjusted scores in the columns come from regression models used to perform comparisons between results in different years. For further details on these comparisons, please refer to the technical document at:

How to use the data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with case-mix adjusted confidence intervals are presented in the detailed data tables at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/local-reports-2018/data-tables-2018

These should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than the results for another Trust.

Response rates

Numbers of respondents by tumour group, age and gender can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

Executive Summary

8.8 The average rating given by respondents when asked to rate their care on a scale of zero (very poor) to 10 (very good)

The following questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England:

- **80%** of respondents said that they were definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment
- 87% of respondents said that they were given the name of a Clinical Nurse Specialist who would support them through their treatment
- 89% of respondents said that it had been 'quite easy' or 'very easy' to contact their Clinical Nurse Specialist
- 90% of respondents said that, overall, they were always treated with dignity and respect while they were in hospital
- 95% of respondents said that hospital staff told them who to contact if they were worried about their condition or treatment after they left hospital
- **57%** of respondents said that they thought the GPs and nurses at their general practice definitely did everything they could to support them while they were having cancer treatment.


Detailed results for these and other questions are set out in the sections that follow.

The questions were selected in discussion with the national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group and reflect four key patient experience domains: provision of information; involvement in decisions; care transition; interpersonal relations, respect and dignity. The figures presented above are all case-mix adjusted.

Questions which scored outside expected range

						9
			2018 (Case-mix A	djusted	
Questi	ion	Number of respondents for this Trust	2018 Score for this Trust	Lower limit of expected range	Upper limit of expected range	National Average Score
Findin	ng out what was wrong with you					
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	598	71%	73%	82%	78%
Clinic	al Nurse Specialist					
Q17	Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	647	87%	88%	94%	91%
Hospi	tal care as an inpatient					
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	381	84%	71%	80%	75%
Home	care and support					
Q50	Patient definitely given enough support from health or	242	44%	44%	61%	53%
Your	overall NHS care					

Seeing your GP



			ι	Inadjuste	ed Score		201	8 Case	e Mix Adjusted		
		20)17	20	18	C					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	490	76%	467	77%			75%	74%	81%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	650	85%	653	85%			85%	81%	86%	84%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Diagnostic Tests




			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score		2018 Case Mix Adjust			sted	
		20	17	20	18	Ω		201	o oaso	iviix 7 taja	olou
	Question		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q5	Received all the information needed about the test	563	96%	578	96%			95%	93%	96%	94%
Q6	The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	565	89%	581	86%			86%	85%	90%	88%
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way		78%	583	81%			80%	76%	82%	79%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Finding out what was wrong with you



			L	Inadjusto	ed Score		201	8 Case	Mix Adju	sted	
		20)17	20	18	C			0 0000	viix 7 taja	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	603	70%	598	71%			71%	73%	82%	78%
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	654	86%	669	84%			85%	82%	87%	85%
Q10	Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	658	73%	669	73%			72%	70%	77%	74%
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	571	73%	575	71%			71%	70%	78%	74%

- Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
 ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
 (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
 - * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 1 of 2)




			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score		201	8 Casa	se Mix Adjusted		
		20)17	20	18	C		201	o oasc	iviix Aaja	3100
	Question		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	567	82%	588	82%			83%	80%	86%	83%
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable way	639	71%	652	73%			74%	70%	77%	73%
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	639	68%	633	67%			67%	63%	71%	67%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 2 of 2)




			L	Jnadjusto	ed Score		201	8 Case	Mix Adju	sted	
		2017 2018 Chan Chan									
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q15	Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	587	52%	607	53%			56%	52%	60%	56%
Q16	Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	648	80%	664	80%			80%	75%	82%	79%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Clinical Nurse Specialist




			L	Jnadjuste	ed Score	s		2018 Case Mix			stad
		20)17	20	18		201	o oasc	IVIIX Aaju	3100	
	Question Patient given the name of the CNS		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q17	Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	641	90%	647	87%			87%	88%	94%	91%
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	546	91%	511	89%			89%	82%	89%	85%
Q19	Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	515	92%	494	90%			90%	85%	91%	88%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Support for people with cancer




			L	Inadjust	ed Score		2018 Case Mix Adjuste			sted	
		20)17	20	18				viix 7 taja		
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	453	86%	480	84%			83%	82%	91%	86%
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	423	83%	422	83%			83%	79%	86%	83%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	262	53%	275	54%			54%	52%	69%	60%
Q23	Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	283	83%	298	78%			79%	76%	86%	81%

- Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

 ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

 (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
 - * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Operations



			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score		201	8 Case	sted		
		20)17	20	18	C					
	125		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q25	Beforehand had all the information needed about the operation	331	95%	346	97%			97%	94%	98%	96%
Q26	Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way	331	81%	348	79%			79%	74%	83%	79%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 3)



			ι	Inadjust	ed Score		201	8 Case	Mix Adju	sted	
		20	17	20	18	Ω					0.00
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q28	Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	385	86%	380	85%			83%	78%	86%	82%
Q29	Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	385	87%	381	87%			87%	82%	89%	85%
Q30	Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	319	77%	323	75%			76%	69%	78%	74%
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	385	79%	381	83%			84%	71%	80%	75%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 3)




			L	Jnadjuste	ed Score		201	8 Casa	Mix Adju	stad	
		20)17	20	18	C		201	U Case	IVIIX Auju	Sieu
	Question Always / poorly always anough		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	380	74%	376	70%			71%	61%	73%	67%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	382	72%	372	73%			75%	60%	79%	69%
Q34	Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	385	86%	380	83%			84%	82%	89%	86%
Q35	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	281	60%	255	56%			57%	47%	59%	53%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 3 of 3)




		Unadjusted Scores						2018 Case Mix Adjuste			stod
		20)17	20)18	C		201	o Case	iviix Auju	sieu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q36	Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain	336	85%	320	87%			86%	80%	88%	84%
Q37	Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	384	90%	378	90%			90%	85%	92%	89%
Q38	Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge	353	90%	357	87%			87%	83%	91%	87%
Q39	Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	361	96%	363	95%			95%	92%	97%	94%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 1 of 2)




		Unadjusted Scores					201	8 Case	Mix Adju	sted	
		20)17	20	18	C			o odoo	iviix 7 taja	olou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	464	73%	462	72%			72%	66%	75%	71%
Q42	Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	563	95%	582	97%			97%	94%	97%	96%
Q44	Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	130	86%	149	87%			86%	81%	92%	86%
Q45	Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	114	52%	125	61%			62%	51%	68%	60%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 2 of 2)




		Unadjusted Scores					2018 Case Mix Adjusted			sted	
		20	2017 2018 0			olou					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q47	Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	314	83%	346	85%			85%	80%	88%	84%
Q48	Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working	280	68%	316	69%			70%	63%	73%	68%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Home care and support




		Unadjusted Scores						2018 Case Mix Adjust			stad
		20)17	20	18	C		201	o Case	iviix Auju	Sieu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	527	64%	543	62%			63%	56%	64%	60%
Q50	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	256	49%	242	45%			44%	44%	61%	53%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	150	43%	157	38%			39%	37%	53%	45%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Care from your general practice



		Unadjusted Scores						2018 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		2017 2018 Ω			2010 Gaod Mix riajaoto			olou			
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q52	GP given enough information about patient`s condition and treatment	510	95%	501	94%			93%	93%	97%	95%
Q53	Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient	400	64%	407	57%			57%	54%	64%	59%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 1 of 2)





			l	Inadjuste	ed Score	es		204	0.0	N 4: A =1:	-tl
		20)17		18			201	8 Case	Mix Adju	stea
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	637	67%	653	62%			63%	58%	65%	61%
Q55	Patient given a care plan	498	25%	518	27%			28%	31%	40%	35%
Q56	Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	656	92%	669	90%			90%	85%	91%	88%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	654	75%	666	73%		1	75%	62%	76%	69%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 2 of 2)







		Unadjusted Scores						2018 Case Mix Adjusted			
		2017 2018			20.0 0000 11 10,0000			olou			
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q59	Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	650	8.9	654	8.8			8.8	8.7	8.9	8.8

- Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
 ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)
 (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
 - * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Comparisons by tumour group for this Trust

The following tables show the unadjusted Trust and the national percentage scores for each question broken down by tumour group. Where a cell in the table contains an asterisk this indicates that the number of patients in that group was below 21 and too small to display. Where a cell in the table contains "n.a." this indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

Seeing your GP

	Q1. Saw (twice before told had the hospital	_	they were	nt thought e seen as necessary	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	58%	n.a.	73%	
Breast	86%	94%	87%	90%	
Colorectal / LGT	66%	72%	80%	83%	
Gynaecological	*	75%	85%	79%	
Haematological	67%	64%	88%	81%	
Head and Neck	*	79%	*	80%	
Lung	72%	71%	82%	82%	
Prostate	91%	81%	89%	85%	
Sarcoma	*	66%	*	71%	
Skin	*	90%	*	86%	
Upper Gastro	82%	72%	78%	78%	
Urological	82%	81%	84%	85%	
Other	80%	73%	86%	79%	
All Cancers	77%	77%	85% 84%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Diagnostic tests

	Q5. Receinformation about the	tion needed time waiting for the explana results i about right understand			explanati results in	n complete on of test ndable way
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	92%	n.a.	83%	n.a.	71%
Breast	96%	95%	88%	91%	79%	82%
Colorectal / LGT	99%	96%	85%	87%	84%	81%
Gynaecological	91%	94%	83%	85%	82%	77%
Haematological	96%	95%	90%	88%	79%	77%
Head and Neck	*	92%	*	85%	*	80%
Lung	89%	94%	89%	87%	70%	79%
Prostate	98%	94%	86%	86%	90%	79%
Sarcoma	*	94%	*	79%	*	74%
Skin	*	96%	*	90%	*	83%
Upper Gastro	93%	94%	78%	83%	85%	75%
Urological	90%	94%	86%	87%	79%	79%
Other	96%	95%	82%	86%	84%	76%
All Cancers	96%	94%	86%	88%	81%	79%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Finding out what was wrong with you

	could brii member o when firs	Q8. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer		y that they	Q10. Pation complete understoo explanation was wron	ly od the on of what	Q11. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$ National T		This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	85%	n.a.	77%	n.a.	59%	n.a.	63%	
Breast	75%	82%	89%	89%	73%	77%	71%	78%	
Colorectal / LGT	85%	82%	88%	86%	86%	79%	61%	73%	
Gynaecological	63%	72%	75%	82%	93%	73%	68%	70%	
Haematological	68%	73%	85%	83%	58%	61%	83%	76%	
Head and Neck	*	72%	*	86%	*	76%	*	67%	
Lung	63%	79%	90%	82%	79%	76%	67%	67%	
Prostate	76%	78%	79%	85%	84%	78%	73%	82%	
Sarcoma	*	70%	*	79%	*	61%	*	57%	
Skin	*	71%	*	90%	*	80%	*	84%	
Upper Gastro	70%	79%	71%	80%	71%	73%	67%	66%	
Urological	54%	74%	80%	82%	74%	77%	61%	73%	
Other	68%	76%	81%	82%	63%	70%	69%	65%	
All Cancers	71%	78%	84%	85%	73%	74%	71%	74%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Deciding the best treatment for you

	Q12. Patic treatment were com explained	pletely	effects ex	sible side xplained in standable	support i	advice and n dealing effects of
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	81%	n.a.	70%	n.a.	64%
Breast	83%	84%	69%	75%	64%	69%
Colorectal / LGT	82%	85%	81%	76%	65%	70%
Gynaecological	80%	85%	71%	75%	71%	67%
Haematological	84%	81%	74%	70%	74%	66%
Head and Neck	*	85%	*	74%	*	70%
Lung	78%	84%	69%	74%	72%	69%
Prostate	90%	82%	77%	72%	63%	65%
Sarcoma	*	79%	*	72%	*	62%
Skin	*	89%	*	80%	*	74%
Upper Gastro	83%	81%	74%	72%	73%	68%
Urological	67%	82%	76%	71%	59%	62%
Other	85%	80%	75%	72%	65%	64%
All Cancers	82%	83%	73%	73%	67%	67%

	side effec	told about ets that ect them in	Q16. Pation definitely in decision care and	involved
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	61%	n.a.	70%
Breast	48%	56%	76%	79%
Colorectal / LGT	61%	58%	83%	80%
Gynaecological	56%	55%	75%	79%
Haematological	51%	51%	82%	77%
Head and Neck	*	62%	*	79%
Lung	69%	56%	86%	79%
Prostate	58%	64%	87%	81%
Sarcoma	*	52%	*	75%
Skin	*	66%	*	87%
Upper Gastro	56%	54%	82%	76%
Urological	46%	53%	68%	77%
Other	56%	53%	90%	76%
All Cancers	53%	56%	80%	79%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Clinical Nurse Specialist

	Q17. Pation the name CNS who support the through the treatment	of the would hem heir	Q18. Patient found it easy to contact their CNS		Q19. Get understar answers important all or mos time	to t questions
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	94%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	84%
Breast	83%	95%	86%	85%	89%	88%
Colorectal / LGT	95%	92%	91%	88%	98%	89%
Gynaecological	82%	94%	95%	85%	*	88%
Haematological	86%	92%	93%	88%	89%	89%
Head and Neck	*	90%	*	87%	*	88%
Lung	86%	93%	90%	87%	*	88%
Prostate	91%	90%	85%	82%	88%	87%
Sarcoma	*	87%	*	84%	*	87%
Skin	*	91%	*	89%	*	91%
Upper Gastro	96%	93%	88%	85%	96%	87%
Urological	79%	84%	83% 82%		81%	87%
Other	94%	88%	89%	85%	89%	87%
All Cancers	87%	91%	89%	85%	90%	88%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Support for people with cancer

	Q20. Hos gave info about sup groups	rmation	Q21. Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities		Q22. Hos gave info getting fii help	rmation on	Q23. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	85%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	70%	n.a.	79%
Breast	90%	91%	83%	86%	50%	65%	79%	82%
Colorectal / LGT	80%	86%	85%	84%	59%	58%	73%	83%
Gynaecological	77%	85%	*	82%	*	61%	*	77%
Haematological	82%	86%	85%	84%	53%	62%	88%	87%
Head and Neck	*	86%	*	83%	*	60%	*	82%
Lung	95%	86%	*	81%	*	71%	*	85%
Prostate	87%	89%	88%	85%	*	51%	*	79%
Sarcoma	*	79%	*	71%	*	56%	n.a.	75%
Skin	*	89%	*	84%	n.a.	60%	*	72%
Upper Gastro	*	84%	*	81%	*	63%	*	84%
Urological	57%	79%	*	75%	*	44%	*	68%
Other	73%	82%	80%	78%	53%	58%	75%	80%
All Cancers	84%	86%	83%	83%	54%	60%	78%	81%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Operations

			how oper gone in	f explained ration had ndable way
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	94%	n.a.	70%
Breast	98%	96%	80%	79%
Colorectal / LGT	93%	96%	80%	83%
Gynaecological	*	96%	*	81%
Haematological	*	94%	*	77%
Head and Neck	*	95%	*	78%
Lung	*	95%	*	78%
Prostate	*	95%	*	75%
Sarcoma	*	94%	*	78%
Skin	*	97%	*	84%
Upper Gastro	*	95%	*	80%
Urological	93%	95%	64%	76%
Other	100%	95%	85%	78%
All Cancers	97%	96%	79%	79%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 2)

		r nurses lk in front as if they	Q29. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them		Q30. Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor		Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	73%	n.a.	77%	n.a.	66%	n.a.	67%
Breast	91%	89%	87%	86%	80%	77%	82%	77%
Colorectal / LGT	80%	77%	86%	86%	69%	73%	83%	71%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	86%	*	72%	*	73%
Haematological	94%	81%	81%	81%	87%	74%	88%	76%
Head and Neck	*	79%	*	86%	*	75%	*	74%
Lung	*	77%	*	83%	*	74%	*	76%
Prostate	*	86%	*	89%	*	73%	*	80%
Sarcoma	*	80%	*	84%	*	72%	*	68%
Skin	n.a.	89%	n.a.	90%	n.a.	81%	n.a.	87%
Upper Gastro	*	74%	*	82%	*	73%	*	71%
Urological	64%	80%	89%	87%	59%	70%	86%	78%
Other	83%	80%	90%	82%	83%	71%	77%	72%
All Cancers	85%	82%	87%	85%	75%	74%	83%	75%

	Q32. Alwa always er nurses or	_	Q33. All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by		patient what name enough privacy able to continuous they preferred to be when discussing worries		when discussing condition or		Q35. Pation able to disperse of worries of with staff visit	scuss r fears
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National		
Brain / CNS	n.a.	57%	n.a.	68%	n.a.	77%	n.a.	40%		
Breast	71%	71%	68%	64%	79%	87%	58%	56%		
Colorectal / LGT	73%	62%	77%	71%	86%	85%	62%	54%		
Gynaecological	*	67%	*	67%	*	84%	*	51%		
Haematological	65%	64%	83%	71%	77%	86%	65%	55%		
Head and Neck	*	66%	*	69%	*	87%	*	55%		
Lung	*	70%	*	74%	*	85%	*	52%		
Prostate	*	73%	*	69%	*	88%	*	51%		
Sarcoma	*	64%	*	66%	*	85%	*	46%		
Skin	n.a.	80%	n.a.	72%	n.a.	91%	n.a.	59%		
Upper Gastro	*	61%	*	75%	*	84%	*	51%		
Urological	64%	69%	78%	73%	89%	85%	*	47%		
Other	73%	62%	69%	68%	83%	82%	54%	48%		
All Cancers	70%	67%	73%	69%	83%	86%	56%	53%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 2)

	Q36. Hos definitely everythin control pa	did g to help	Q37. Always treated with respect and dignity by staff		Q38. Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge		Q39. Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	82%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	94%
Breast	90%	86%	88%	89%	90%	92%	99%	96%
Colorectal / LGT	86%	85%	88%	87%	84%	84%	95%	94%
Gynaecological	*	83%	*	87%	*	88%	*	95%
Haematological	95%	83%	93%	90%	82%	81%	94%	95%
Head and Neck	*	83%	*	87%	*	88%	*	93%
Lung	*	84%	*	89%	*	84%	*	92%
Prostate	*	84%	*	91%	*	90%	*	95%
Sarcoma	*	80%	*	85%	*	81%	*	94%
Skin	n.a.	88%	n.a.	93%	n.a.	91%	n.a.	96%
Upper Gastro	*	82%	*	86%	*	82%	*	94%
Urological	75%	81%	89%	89%	88%	86%	97%	91%
Other	89%	81%	96%	87%	87%	83%	91%	92%
All Cancers	87%	84%	90%	89%	87%	87%	95%	94%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient

	Q41. Pation able to dispersion worries on with staff visit	scuss r fears	Q42. Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them		Q44. Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment		information needed about radiotherapy treatment radiotherapy working	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	64%	n.a.	94%	n.a.	88%	n.a.	47%
Breast	69%	70%	94%	96%	86%	88%	59%	61%
Colorectal / LGT	82%	73%	100%	96%	*	84%	*	57%
Gynaecological	76%	71%	100%	96%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	61%
Haematological	73%	74%	98%	96%	*	83%	*	59%
Head and Neck	*	73%	*	96%	*	86%	*	61%
Lung	*	69%	92%	95%	*	85%	*	56%
Prostate	76%	73%	95%	95%	*	87%	*	61%
Sarcoma	*	63%	*	94%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	65%
Skin	*	74%	*	97%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	72%
Upper Gastro	*	70%	96%	95%	*	82%	*	53%
Urological	58%	67%	97%	95%	*	82%	*	55%
Other	69%	68%	98%	95%	*	85%	*	60%
All Cancers	72%	71%	97%	96%	87%	86%	61%	60%

	Q47. Before patient had informatic about chemother treatment	ad all on needed erapy	Q48. Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working			
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National		
Brain / CNS	n.a.	79%	n.a.	50%		
Breast	82%	83%	59%	64%		
Colorectal / LGT	89%	85%	69%	64%		
Gynaecological	*	* 86%		68%		
Haematological	89%	85%	81%	75%		
Head and Neck	*	79%	*	54%		
Lung	*	84%	*	67%		
Prostate	*	85%	*	68%		
Sarcoma	*	83%	*	67%		
Skin	n.a.	86%	n.a.	79%		
Upper Gastro	90%	84%	57%	61%		
Urological	*	82%	*	65%		
Other	88%	85%	74%	70%		
All Cancers	85%	84%	69%	68%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Home care and support

	Q49. Hos gave fami someone the inforn needed to care at ho	ily or close all nation help with	Q50. Pation definitely enough s from heal social ser	given upport th or vices	Q51. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	60%	n.a.	50%	n.a.	48%	
Breast	57%	59%	37%	54%	26%	42%	
Colorectal / LGT	64%	63%	53%	60%	48%	52%	
Gynaecological	69%	59%	*	47%	*	38%	
Haematological	70%	63%	48%	52%	42%	44%	
Head and Neck	*	63%	*	56%	*	53%	
Lung	*	60%	*	52%	*	43%	
Prostate	67%	60%	*	46%	*	40%	
Sarcoma	*	55%	*	49%	*	45%	
Skin	*	67%	n.a.	60%	n.a.	59%	
Upper Gastro	62%	60%	*	53%	*	48%	
Urological	54%	59%	* 47%		*	44%	
Other	70%	56%	63%	52%	*	44%	
All Cancers	62%	60%	45%	53%	38%	45%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Care from your general practice

	Q52. GP of enough in about pat condition treatment	nformation ient`s and	Q53. Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient			
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National		
Brain / CNS	n.a.	88%	n.a.	51%		
Breast	95%	96%	57%	59%		
Colorectal / LGT	96%	95%	48%	58%		
Gynaecological	*	* 95%		57%		
Haematological	92%	95%	60%	58%		
Head and Neck	*	93%	*	58%		
Lung	*	94%	*	58%		
Prostate	95%	95%	67%	64%		
Sarcoma	*	95%	*	53%		
Skin	*	97%	n.a.	67%		
Upper Gastro	86%	94%	*	58%		
Urological	92%	95%	50%	61%		
Other	96%	94%	69%	56%		
All Cancers	94%	95%	57%	59%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Your overall NHS care

	Q54. Hos communi always we together		Q55. Patient given a care plan		Q56. Overall the administration of the care was very good / good		Q57. Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	45%	n.a.	33%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	59%
Breast	58%	61%	27%	39%	92%	90%	75%	68%
Colorectal / LGT	69%	61%	32%	38%	86%	88%	69%	72%
Gynaecological	46%	58%	29%	31%	86%	87%	69%	69%
Haematological	69%	64%	26%	35%	94%	91%	82%	66%
Head and Neck	*	61%	*	37%	*	88%	*	71%
Lung	63%	62%	14%	31%	93%	89%	69%	71%
Prostate	72%	65%	21%	36%	91%	87%	80%	75%
Sarcoma	*	54%	*	28%	*	86%	*	64%
Skin	*	71%	*	42%	*	91%	*	73%
Upper Gastro	50%	59%	38%	35%	79%	86%	64%	68%
Urological	57%	62%	19%	30%	79%	85%	60%	75%
Other	57%	57%	30%	30%	88%	87%	71%	63%
All Cancers	62%	61%	27%	35%	90%	88%	73%	69%


	Q58. Taki cancer re discussed patient		Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good		
Cancer type	This Trust \$	National	This Trust \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	39%	n.a.	8.4	
Breast	25%	31%	8.8	8.9	
Colorectal / LGT	33%	33%	9.0	8.8	
Gynaecological	33%	37%	8.7	8.8	
Haematological	31%	32%	8.9	8.9	
Head and Neck	*	23%	*	8.8	
Lung	56%	35%	8.8	8.8	
Prostate	48%	33%	9.0	8.8	
Sarcoma	*	40%	*	8.6	
Skin	*	16%	*	9.0	
Upper Gastro	38%	35%	8.5	8.7	
Urological	10%	21%	8.5	8.7	
Other	38%	32%	8.8	8.7	
All Cancers	31%	31%	8.8	8.8	


^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Year on Year Scores

The charts below present the unadjusted scores for this Trust from 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018.


Seeing your GP






^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Diagnostic tests










^{*} This question was not asked on the 2015 survey

^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Finding out what was wrong with you








^{*} This question was not asked on the 2015 survey


^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.


Finding out what was wrong with you (continued)




^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Deciding the best treatment for you









^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.


Deciding the best treatment for you (continued)







^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Clinical Nurse Specialist










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Support for people with cancer









^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.


Support for people with cancer (continued)



^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Operations








^{*} This question was not asked on the 2015 survey

^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient









^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (continued)










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (continued)









^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (continued)










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (continued)










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Home care and support









^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.


Care from your general practice







^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (continued)







^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed for this year because there are less than 21 respondents.

Annex

Methodology

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2018.

The patients included in the sample had relevant cancer ICD10 codes (C00-99 excluding C44 and C84, and D05) in the first diagnosis field of their patient records, applied to their patient files by the relevant NHS Trust, and were alive at the point at which fieldwork commenced. Deceased checks were undertaken on up to three occasions during fieldwork, to ensure that questionnaires were not sent to patients who had died since their treatment.

Trust samples were checked rigorously for duplicates and patient lists were also deduplicated nationally to ensure that patients did not receive multiple copies of questionnaires.

The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2018 and March 2019.

The survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete online. A Freephone helpline was available for respondents to ask questions about the survey, to enable them to complete their questionnaires over the phone, and to provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

The Health Research Authority supported the survey by granting Section 251 approval.

Further information

Further information on survey methodology, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports

Redevelopment of the 2018 survey

There have been no changes to the questionnaire compared to 2017.

Official Statistics

The 2018 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

Annex (continued)

Scoring methodologies

51 of the 52 questions relating directly to patient experience have been summarised as the score of the percentage of patients who reported a positive experience. For example:

- question 6 asks: "Overall, how did you feel about the length of time you had to wait for your test to be done?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("It was about right")
- question 11 asks: "When you were told you had cancer, were you given written information about the type of cancer you had?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("Yes, and it was easy to understand").

Neutral responses, such as "Don't know / I can't remember" and "I did not need an explanation" are not included in the denominator when calculating the score.

Where options do not provide any information on positive/negative patient experience (e.g. "Don't know / can't remember"), they are excluded from the score.

The other question (question 59) asks respondents to rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10. Scores have been given as an average on this scale.

A copy of the detailed scoring methodology for the 2018 questionnaire is available at: www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Further details on the scoring methodology can be found in the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Case-mix adjustment

As in 2017, case-mix adjusted findings are being presented alongside unadjusted results for Trusts. Case-mix adjustment allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population.

The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Annex (continued)

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2018 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'.

Each of the 52 scored questions in 2018 have been compared with those of 2017 and statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the 49 scored questions that are comparable across 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018, overall statistically significant change over the four years has also been reported where identified.

'Statistically significant' means that you can be very confident that any change between scores is real and not due to chance.

For further details on statistical significance, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Response Rates

	Sample Size	Excluded	Adjusted Sample	Not Returned	Blank / Refused	Completed	Response Rate
National	123,512	8,445	115,067	37,171	4,079	73,817	64%
RN5	1,075	61	1,014	285	48	681	67%

Respondents by tumour group

The tables below show the numbers of patients from each tumour group and the age and gender distribution of these patients.

Tumour Group	Number of respondents*			
Brain / CNS	0			
Breast	216			
Colorectal / LGT	81			
Gynaecological	29			
Haematological	126			
Head and Neck	4			
Lung	29			
Prostate	47			
Sarcoma	5			
Skin	2			
Upper Gastro	28			
Urological	46			
Other	68			

^{*} These figures may not match the numerator for all questions in the 'Comparisons by tumour group' section of this report, because not all questions were answered by all respondents.

Respondents by age and gender

The questionnaire asked respondents to give their year of birth. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the Trust was as follows:

	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	85+	Total
Male	0	2	3	14	52	97	86	14	268
Female	0	3	22	51	114	129	78	16	413
Total	0	5	25	65	166	226	164	30	681



Quality Health is a specialist health and social care survey organisation, working for public, private and not-for-profit sectors, in the UK and overseas.

Quality Health works with all acute hospitals in England, all independent providers of hospital care, and all Health Boards in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Quality Health is an approved contractor for the Care Quality Commission's patient survey programmes, NHS England's National Staff Survey programme, and the national Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs).

Further information on Quality Health is available at:

www.quality-health.co.uk

Further information on the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk