

# National Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2019 Results

# **Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust**

Published June 2020

Case Mix Adjusted scores

## **Executive Summary**

#### **Cancer Dashboard Questions**

The following seven questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England:

Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good





Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment



Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who would support them through their treatment



Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS



Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with respect and dignity while in hospital



Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after leaving hospital



Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during treatment

## **Questions Outside Expected Range**

	Case	Case Mix Adjusted Scores  Lower Upper			
	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q10. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	83%	72%	81%	77%	
Q54. GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	98%	94%	97%	95%	

## Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2019 is the ninth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2019 survey involved 143 NHS Trusts. Out of 111,366 people, 67,858 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 61%.

## Methodology

## Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2019. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between December 2019 and March 2020.

As in the previous four years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

#### Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

#### Scoring methodology

Fifty-two questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q61), scores are presented as the percentage of positive responses out of all scored responses. For Q61, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's presented score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

#### **Statistical significance**

In the reporting of 2019 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. Thirty-seven scored questions in 2019 have been compared with those of 2018 and a statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the scored questions that are comparable beyond 2018, statistically significant change over the five years has also been reported where identified. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by sampling variation.

#### **Suppression**

#### **Question-level suppression**

For scores where the base size per question is <21, the score will be suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (\*). The base size will include neutral response options.

#### **Double suppression**

If any group within a particular sub-group breakdown (such as the tumour group breakdown) has <21 responses, then the figure for this particular group is suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (\*). If there is only one group within the sub-group breakdown that has <21 respondents, and is therefore suppressed, the group with the next lowest number of respondents is also supressed and replaced with an asterisk (\*) (regardless if it is greater than or less than 21).

## **Understanding the results**

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results and previous year's results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

## **Expected range charts**

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (in grey) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

### **Comparability tables**

The comparability tables show the 2018 and 2019 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. If there is a significant change from 2018 and 2019 or overall from 2015 to 2019, an arrow will be presented for the direction of change. The adjusted 2019 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

#### **Tumour type tables**

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. The national score for that tumour group is also shown. Unadjusted scores for the same tumour type across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

#### Year on year charts

The year on year charts show five columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last five years (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019) for each scored question.

#### **Notes on specific questions**

Following the development phase of the 2019 survey, several changes were made to the questionnaire. Six scored questions were amended (Q5, Q18, Q30, Q35, Q56 and Q60) and one non-scored question (Q29) was amended that impacted the comparability of questions Q30 to Q41. Of all questions changed or impacted by change, only Q60 is presented with historical comparisons; though the results should be interpreted with caution.

#### Unadjusted data and case-mix adjusted data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

## **Further information**

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2019 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2019 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at <a href="www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>. For all other outputs at National, Trust, CCG and Cancer Alliance level, please see the PDF reports, Excel tables and dashboards at <a href="www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>.

## **Response Rate**

## **Overall Response Rate**

917 patients responded out of a total of 1,407 patients, resulting in a response rate of 65%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Trust	1,517	1,407	917	65%
National	119,855	111,366	67,858	61%

## **Respondents by Survey Type**

	Number of Respondents
Online	84
Paper	833
Phone	0
Translation Service	0

## **Respondents by Tumour Group**


	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	3
Breast	192
Colorectal / LGT	135
Gynaecological	45
Haematological	131
Head and Neck	19
Lung	42
Prostate	122
Sarcoma	3
Skin	9
Upper Gastro	31
Urological	71
Other	114

## **Respondents by Age and Gender**


Respondents year of birth has been used to determine age. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the Trust was as follows:

	Age 16-24	Age 25-34	Age 35-44	Age 45-54	Age 55-64	Age 65-74	Age 75-84	Age 85+	Total
Male	1	3	5	22	81	183	113	22	430
Female	1	5	11	59	111	170	106	24	487
Total	2	8	16	81	192	353	219	46	917


## **Expected Range Charts**



## **Expected Range Charts**



## **Expected Range Charts**



# **Comparability Tables**

Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Change Overall. Indicates significant change overall
(2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

			Unadiuct	ted Score			Caco	Лix Adjuste	ad Coorac	
	2010					Classia		Lower	Upper	Nationa
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score		Expected Range	Score
SEEING YOUR GP										
Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they needed to go to hospital	574	80%	581	76%			76%	76%	82%	79%
Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	778	85%	793	83%			83%	81%	86%	84%
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS										
Q5. Received all the information needed about the test	**	**	691	96%			95%	93%	97%	95%
Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	693	88%	705	88%			88%	86%	90%	88%
Q7. Test results explained in completely understandable way	700	77%	703	79%			78%	77%	83%	80%
FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG WITH YOU										
Q10. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	747	84%	767	83%			83%	72%	81%	77%
Q11. Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	781	85%	802	86%			86%	83%	88%	86%
Q12. Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	787	75%	806	72%			71%	70%	76%	73%
Q13. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	704	75%	718	73%			73%	71%	78%	74%
DECIDING THE BEST TREATMENT FOR YOU										
Q14. Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	716	82%	716	85%			85%	81%	86%	83%
Q15. Patient felt possible side effects were definitely explained in an understandable way	766	72%	773	73%			73%	70%	76%	73%
Q16. Patient definitely given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	760	66%	771	67%			67%	64%	71%	67%
Q17. Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	732	58%	740	57%			57%	53%	60%	57%
Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment	**	**	785	82%			82%	78%	84%	81%
CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST (CNS)										
Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who would support them through their treatment	748	92%	769	92%			92%	89%	95%	92%
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS	584	80%	616	82%			82%	81%	89%	85%
Q21. Patient got understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	561	87%	605	87%			87%	85%	90%	87%

## **Comparability Tables**

Q36. Patient always given enough privacy when discussing

Q37. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their inpatient visit

Q38. Hospital staff definitely did everything they could to help

Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with respect and

condition or treatment

dignity while in hospital

control pain

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper

and Lower Expected Ranges
Adjusted Score above Upper

17, 2018	3 and 20	19).			Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range				
		Unadjust	ed Score	·S		Case N	⁄lix Adjuste	ed Scores	
2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
629	88%	646	91%			91%	85%	91%	88%
541	84%	535	85%			85%	81%	87%	84%
392	58%	414	62%			63%	57%	69%	63%
364	86%	371	82%			81%	78%	86%	82%
387	95%	356	97%			97%	94%	98%	96%
385	79%	364	79%			78%	75%	83%	79%
**	**	361	82%			82%	80%	87%	84%
**	**	364	83%			83%	80%	88%	84%
**	**	305	69%			69%	67%	77%	72%
**	**	363	74%			74%	69%	79%	74%
**	**	362	57%			58%	57%	71%	64%
**	**	361	74%			74%	63%	78%	71%
	2018 629 541 392 364 385 **  **  **	2018 2018	2018 2018 2019 n  629 88% 646  541 84% 535  392 58% 414  364 86% 371  387 95% 356  385 79% 364  ** ** 361  ** ** 363  ** ** 362	Unadjusted Score  2018   2018   2019   2019   Score  629   88%   646   91%   541   84%   535   85%   392   58%   414   62%   364   86%   371   82%    387   95%   356   97%   385   79%   364   79%    **   **   361   82%    **   **   364   83%    **   **   363   74%    **   **   362   57%	Unadjusted Scores  2018   2018   2019   2019   Change 2018-2019  629   88%   646   91%    541   84%   535   85%    392   58%   414   62%    364   86%   371   82%    385   79%   364   79%    **   **   361   82%    **   **   364   83%    **   **   363   74%    **   **   362   57%	Unadjusted Scores    2018	Unadjusted Scores    2018	Unadjusted Scores    2018	Unadjusted Scores   Case Mix Adjusted Score   Case Mix Adjusted

\*\*

\*\*

361

276

311

364

83%

51%

81%

85%

83%

51%

81%

85%

81%

46%

79%

84%

88%

58%

87%

91%

85%

52%

83%

88%

## National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2019 Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust

## **Comparability Tables**

 Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

\*\* No score available for 2018.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper

and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score above Upper
Expected Range

			Jnadjust	ed Score	S		Case N	1ix Adjuste	ed Scores	
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
<b>HOSPITAL CARE AS A DAY PATIENT / OUTPATIEN</b>	<b>IT</b>									
Q43. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	611	72%	623	73%			72%	67%	74%	71%
Q44. Cancer doctor had the right documents at patient's last outpatient appointment	699	96%	717	97%		<b>A</b>	97%	94%	97%	96%
Q46. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	214	82%	219	87%			87%	82%	91%	86%
Q47. Patient completely given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	166	51%	180	56%			57%	53%	67%	60%
Q49. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	422	82%	453	86%			86%	81%	88%	84%
Q50. Patient given enough information about whether chemotherapy was working in a completely understandable way	388	67%	415	66%			66%	63%	72%	68%

HOME CARE AND SUPPORT								
Q51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all the information needed to help care at home	653	59%	648	58%	58%	56%	64%	60%
Q52. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	309	49%	369	48%	48%	45%	59%	52%
Q53. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	166	40%	189	43%	43%	37%	53%	45%

CARE FROM YOUR GENERAL PRACTICE									
Q54. GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	625	95%	684	98%		98%	94%	97%	95%
Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during treatment	550	63%	585	58%	•	58%	54%	62%	58%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE								
Q56. Different people treating and caring for patient always work well together to give best possible care	**	**	769	71%	71%	69%	76%	73%
Q57. Patient given a care plan	568	33%	599	34%	34%	33%	42%	38%
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good or very good	769	88%	799	89%	89%	86%	92%	89%
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about right	770	74%	790	76%	76%	62%	76%	69%
Q60. Someone discussed with patient whether they would like to take part in cancer research	749	30%	751	27%	27%	21%	39%	30%
Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	762	8.8	778	8.8	8.8	8.7	8.9	8.8

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses. n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they needed to go to hospital  Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary    Variable	78% * * 90% 82% 69% 76% 74% 83% 74% 79% 78% 83% * 94% 79% 78% 83%																
Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they needed to go to hospital    National   59%   94%   75%   77%   67%   79%   71%   82%   71%   90%   74%   83%   74%   74%   72%   74%   72%   74%	78% * * 90% 82% 69% 76% 32% 71% 90% 74% 83% 74% 79% 33% * 94% 79% 78% 83%	state								EEING YOUR GP							
National   59%   94%   75%   77%   67%   79%   71%   82%   71%   90%   74%   83%   74%	32% 71% 90% 74% 83% 74% 79% 33% * * 94% 79% 78% 83%	Pro	Lung	Head and Neck	Haematological	Gynaecological	Colorectal / LGT	Breast	Brain / CNS								
Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary    Trust   *   93%   81%   77%   79%   *   83%   83%   *   *   94%   79%   78%   79%   7	33% * * 94% 79% 78% 83%	78% *	66% 78%	*	63%	64%	68%	98%	*	Trust	Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they						
National   79%   89%   83%   81%   82%   81%   84%   86%   69%   85%   79%   70%	35 /0	82% 71%	71% 82%	79%	67%	77%	75%	94%	59%	National	needed to go to hospital						
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS  Tumour Group  Very 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	36%   69%   85%   79%   85%   79%   84%	83% *	83% 83%	*	79%	77%	81%	93%	*	Trust	Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as						
Value   Valu		86% 69%	84% 86%	81%	82%	81%	83%	89%	79%	National	necessary						
Value   Valu	r Group	ur Group	Tumour Gr						,		DIAGNOSTIC TESTS						
National 93% 95% 95% 93% 95% 95% 93% 95% 95% 93% 96% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95					Haematological	Gynaecological	Colorectal / LGT	Breast	Brain / CNS	Q5. Received all the information needed about Trust							
Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right  Trust  * 93% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95	96% * * 97% 98% 95% 96%	96% *	95% 96%	*	92%	93%	97%	97%	*	Trust							
done was about right National 84% 91% 88% 86% 89% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 86% 86% 86% 89% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 86% 86% 86% 89% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 86% 86% 86% 89% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 86% 86% 86% 89% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 86% 86% 86% 89% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 86% 86% 86% 89% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 86% 86% 86% 89% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 86% 86% 86% 89% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 86% 86% 86% 89% 88% 87% 81% 87% 81% 87% 86% 86% 89% 88% 87% 81% 87% 81% 87% 86% 86% 89% 88% 87% 81% 87% 81% 87% 86% 86% 89% 88% 87% 81% 87% 81% 87% 81% 87% 81% 87% 81% 81% 81% 81% 81% 81% 81% 81% 81% 81	95%   93%   96%   95%   95%   95%   95%	95% 93%	95% 95%	93%	95%	93%	95%	95%	93%	National	the test						
Technical 047/0 917/0 007/0 007/0 007/0 017/0 017/0 017/0 017/0 017/0 00	35% * * 90% 83% 84% 88%	85% *	82% 85%	*					*	Trust	Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be						
Q7. Test results explained in completely  Trust	87%         81%         87%         84%         87%         86%         88%	87% 81%	87% 87%	88%	89%	86%	88%	91%	84%	National	done was about right						
	35% * * 72% 70% 75% 79%	85% *	84% 85%	*	75%	74%	86%	80%	*	Trust	Q7. Test results explained in completely						
understandable way National 71% 83% 82% 77% 77% 79% 80% 80% 84% 75% 80% 76% 3	80%   78%   84%   75%   80%   76%   80%	80% 78%	80% 80%	79%	77%	77%	82%	83%	71%	National	understandable way						
FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG WITH YOU  Tumour Group	r Group	ur Group	Tumour Gr						U	/ITH YC	FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG V						
Brain / CNS Breast Colorectal / LGT Gynaecological Head and Neck Lung Prostate Sarcoma Skin Upper Gastro Urological	Sarcoma Skin Upper Gastro Urological Other	Prostate Sarcoma	Lung	Head and Neck	Haematological	Gynaecological	Colorectal / LGT	Breast	Brain / CNS								
Q to. Fatient told they could bring a fairing	30% * * 93% 79% 86% 83%	80% *	85% 80%	*				92%	*	Trust	Q10. Patient told they could bring a family						
Itadoria 6576 6276 7176 7176 7176 7576 7576 7576 7576 75		79% 73%	77% 79%	71%					85%	National	member or friend when first told they had cancer						
d 1. I deficient they were told sensitively that																	
they had cancer National 79% 89% 87% 82% 84% 87% 83% 86% 84% 89% 81% 84% 83% 8											they had cancer						
Q12.1 attent competely understood the	77% * * 79% 73% 66% 72%																
explanation of what was wrong  National 66% 77% 79% 73% 60% 78% 76% 79% 67% 80% 70% 77% 70%											explanation of what was wrong						
Q13. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had    Trust   *   78%   79%   56%   76%   *   77%   84%   *   *   68%   70%   56%   70%   7			77% 84%	*	76%	56%	79%	78%			13. Patient given easy to understand written						

Q21. Patient got understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

than 21 responses.			II.a.	iiiuicat	es triat	. tilele	were i	io respi	onden	13 101 1	iat tui	nour g	oup.		
DECIDING THE BEST TREATMENT FOR	YOU							Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q14. Patient felt that treatment options were	Trust	*	88%	88%	94%	90%	*	88%	80%	*	*	77%	77%	77%	85%
completely explained	National	85%	85%	85%	85%	82%	87%	84%	83%	83%	89%	81%	83%	79%	83%
Q15. Patient felt possible side effects were	Trust	*	71%	80%	71%	70%	*	73%	71%	*	*	76%	69%	72%	73%
definitely explained in an understandable way	National	69%	74%	76%	75%	69%	73%	74%	73%	73%	77%	72%	71%	70%	73%
Q16. Patient definitely given practical advice and	Trust	*	72%	78%	66%	65%	*	78%	53%	*	*	63%	60%	63%	67%
support in dealing with side effects of treatment	National	63%	70%	70%	69%	65%	70%	69%	65%	66%	71%	66%	63%	64%	67%
Q17. Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	Trust	*	55%	62%	55%	59%	*	67%	57%	*	*	54%	58%	48%	57%
that could affect them in the future	National	62%	57%	59%	56%	51%	64%	56%	66%	54%	66%	53%	56%	52%	57%
Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they	Trust	*	83%	84%	83%	84%	*	88%	85%	*	*	83%	73%	77%	82%
wanted in decisions about care and treatment	National	79%	81%	83%	81%	80%	81%	81%	84%	81%	87%	79%	79%	78%	81%
CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST (CNS)								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who	Trust	*	97%	91%	97%	93%	*	93%	91%	*	*	96%	86%	84%	92%
would support them through their treatment	National	95%	95%	92%	95%	92%	91%	94%	91%	91%	91%	93%	85%	89%	92%
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to	Trust	*	87%	90%	66%	81%	*	74%	81%	*	*	79%	81%	73%	82%
contact their CNS	National	86%	84%	88%	85%	87%	86%	86%	80%	86%	90%	85%	83%	83%	85%

SUPPORT FOR PEOPLE WITH CANCER		Tumour Group														
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All	
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about support or self-help groups for people with	Trust	*	96%	93%	89%	93%	*	94%	90%	*	*	92%	67%	86%	91%	
cancer	National	92%	92%	88%	87%	86%	88%	87%	91%	86%	90%	88%	81%	83%	88%	
Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information	Trust	*	88%	91%	77%	90%	*	93%	81%	*	*	86%	72%	80%	85%	
about the impact cancer could have on day to day activities	National	84%	86%	85%	82%	84%	84%	83%	88%	81%	86%	83%	78%	79%	84%	
Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting	Trust	*	66%	62%	*	77%	*	86%	29%	*	*	57%	33%	59%	62%	
financial help or possible benefits	National	78%	68%	61%	66%	61%	67%	72%	55%	64%	60%	64%	47%	59%	63%	
Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get	Trust	*	76%	83%	*	94%	*	*	74%	n.a.	*	*	67%	85%	82%	
free prescriptions	National	82%	81%	83%	79%	87%	84%	86%	80%	78%	71%	84%	73%	81%	82%	

86% 87% 85% 91% \*

80% 86%

National 82% 87% 89% 86% 89% 88% 86% 87% 87% 93% 86% 87% 86% 87%

\* \* 79% 90% 84% 87%

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

OPERATIONS								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q27. Beforehand, patient had all the information	Trust	n.a.	98%	98%	100%	*	*	*	96%	*	*	*	94%	*	97%
needed about the operation	National	96%	97%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Q28. Afterwards, staff completely explained how	Trust	n.a.	82%	84%	84%	*	*	*	73%	*	*	*	59%	*	79%
operation had gone in understandable way	National	76%	79%	83%	79%	78%	79%	79%	78%	80%	82%	79%	76%	77%	79%
HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient	Trust	*	91%	81%	76%	88%	*	*	86%	*	*	*	83%	85%	82%
as if patient wasn't there	National	81%	86%	81%	83%	84%	83%	81%	88%	86%	86%	81%	83%	82%	84%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all	Trust	*	79%	85%	77%	84%	*	*	92%	*	*	*	79%	76%	83%
doctors treating them	National	82%	83%	85%	83%	82%	87%	83%	89%	86%	85%	81%	85%	80%	84%
Q32. Patient's family or someone close definitely	Trust	*	73%	74%	68%	64%	*	*	69%	n.a.	*	*	66%	48%	69%
felt able to talk to a doctor	National	67%	72%	73%	72%	74%	75%	74%	72%	71%	74%	73%	71%	69%	72%
Q33. Patient had confidence and trust in all the	Trust	*	79%	74%	80%	68%	*	*	75%	*	*	*	88%	64%	74%
ward nurses treating them	National	72%	73%	72%	71%	77%	75%	77%	79%	74%	75%	73%	77%	69%	74%
Q34. Patient thought there were always or nearly	Trust	*	68%	57%	54%	44%	*	*	64%	*	*	*	58%	56%	57%
always enough nurses on duty to care for them	National	68%	64%	62%	63%	63%	65%	68%	72%	65%	70%	65%	66%	60%	64%
Q35. All hospital staff asked patient what name	Trust	*	69%	79%	68%	68%	*	*	74%	*	*	*	78%	67%	74%
they prefer to be called by	National	68%	62%	74%	65%	72%	71%	76%	72%	74%	70%	78%	76%	69%	71%
Q36. Patient always given enough privacy when	Trust	*	83%	83%	84%	84%	*	*	86%	*	*	*	87%	71%	83%
discussing condition or treatment	National	78%	84%	85%	81%	86%	87%	84%	88%	84%	84%	84%	85%	82%	85%
Q37. Patient definitely found hospital staff to	Trust	*	52%	56%	*	43%	*	*	52%	*	*	*	55%	44%	51%
discuss worries or fears during their inpatient visit	National	45%	51%	55%	51%	56%	52%	49%	53%	54%	51%	53%	49%	46%	52%
Q38. Hospital staff definitely did everything they	Trust	*	83%	90%	*	75%	*	*	88%	*	*	*	76%	79%	81%
could to help control pain	National	85%	83%	84%	82%	82%	80%	84%	85%	83%	85%	82%	81%	82%	83%
Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with	Trust	*	87%	92%	81%	80%	*	*	81%	*	*	*	95%	82%	85%
respect and dignity while in hospital	National	85%	87%	87%	85%	89%	87%	88%	91%	89%	89%	88%	90%	86%	88%
Q40. Patient given clear written information about what should or should not do after leaving	Trust	*	91%	98%	85%	78%	*	*	84%	*	n.a.	*	87%	79%	87%
hospital	National	80%	89%	86%	86%	83%	87%	82%	91%	85%	90%	82%	87%	83%	86%
Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after	Trust	*			96%		*		100%		*	*		85%	
leaving hospital	National	94%	95%	95%	93%	96%	93%	92%	96%	94%	95%	92%	92%	93%	94%

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

HOSPITAL CARE AS A DAY PATIENT /	Tumour Group														
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q43. Patient definitely found hospital staff to	Trust	*	74%	75%	68%	79%	*	79%	71%	*	*	72%	68%	69%	73%
discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	National	66%	68%	73%	70%	73%	72%	70%	74%	72%	72%	71%	67%	68%	71%
Q44. Cancer doctor had the right documents at	Trust	*	95%	97%	95%	97%	*	100%	98%	*	*	96%	96%	93%	97%
patient's last outpatient appointment	National	94%	96%	96%	96%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	96%
Q46. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about radiotherapy	Trust	n.a.	95%	74%	*	*	*	*	77%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	87%
treatment	National	91%	88%	83%	88%	84%	86%	86%	88%	88%	84%	86%	83%	84%	86%
Q47. Patient completely given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was	Trust	n.a.	57%	*	*	*	*	*	44%	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	56%
working	National	56%	60%	57%	61%	62%	63%	59%	60%	67%	57%	52%	59%	59%	60%
Q49. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about chemotherapy	Trust	*	84%	87%	84%	85%	*	85%	*	*	n.a.	92%	81%	86%	86%
treatment	National	80%	82%	86%	87%	85%	79%	84%	86%	86%	90%	84%	85%	85%	84%
Q50. Patient given enough information about whether chemotherapy was working in a	Trust	*	59%	59%	68%	76%	*	65%	*	*	n.a.	63%	*	62%	66%
completely understandable way	National	54%	62%	64%	68%	75%	57%	67%	66%	71%	79%	61%	68%	69%	68%


HOME CARE AND SUPPORT								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all the information needed to	Trust	*	52%	74%	46%	64%	*	65%	50%	*	*	44%	57%	49%	58%
help care at home	National	58%	58%	63%	57%	62%	67%	59%	61%	62%	65%	60%	59%	55%	60%
Q52. Patient definitely given enough support	Trust	*	43%	64%	*	41%	*	*	33%	*	*	*	55%	43%	48%
from health or social services during treatment	National	42%	52%	60%	45%	51%	59%	50%	48%	53%	57%	54%	48%	51%	52%
Q53. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	Trust	*	43%	52%	*	32%	*	*	32%	n.a.	*	*	*	*	43%
from health or social services after treatment	National	39%	41%	53%	39%	43%	56%	40%	46%	48%	59%	47%	44%	44%	45%

CARE FROM YOUR GENERAL PRACTICE										Tumour Group									
			Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers				
Q54. GP given enough information about	Trust	*	98%	99%	95%	96%	*	97%	98%	*	*	96%	96%	98%	98%				
patient's condition and treatment	National	91%	96%	95%	95%	96%	94%	94%	96%	94%	96%	93%	95%	94%	95%				
Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during	Trust	*	54%	59%	66%	51%	*	65%	62%	*	*	63%	57%	55%	58%				
treatment	National	55%	58%	59%	56%	56%	59%	56%	64%	56%	65%	59%	59%	55%	58%				


\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.


n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q56. Different people treating and caring for	Trust	*	73%	79%	67%	73%	*	76%	65%	*	*	57%	73%	69%	71%
patient always work well together to give best possible care	National	60%	73%	73%	69%	75%	73%	73%	75%	70%	79%	69%	74%	68%	73%
OE7 Patient given a care plan	Trust	*	34%	36%	31%	30%	*	42%	33%	*	*	39%	22%	37%	34%
Q57. Patient given a care plan	National	36%	41%	40%	34%	36%	39%	36%	40%	34%	44%	36%	33%	31%	38%
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good	Trust	*	92%	94%	77%	95%	*	93%	84%	*	*	90%	77%	86%	89%
or very good	National	85%	90%	88%	87%	91%	90%	90%	88%	88%	90%	86%	85%	87%	89%
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about	Trust	*	79%	82%	74%	65%	*	78%	78%	*	*	68%	67%	79%	76%
right	National	58%	68%	73%	66%	66%	71%	71%	76%	68%	73%	66%	75%	64%	69%
Q60. Someone discussed with patient whether	Trust	*	40%	17%	29%	24%	*	22%	32%	*	*	29%	17%	28%	27%
they would like to take part in cancer research	National	42%	30%	32%	31%	33%	21%	34%	31%	36%	20%	36%	21%	32%	30%
Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from	Trust	*	9.0	8.9	8.3	9.0	*	8.9	8.5	*	*	8.6	8.4	8.6	8.8
very poor to very good	National	8.6	8.9	8.8	8.7	8.9	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.9	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.8






















- \* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
- \*\* No score available for these years.

























































\*\* No score available for these years.




















- \* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
- \*\* No score available for these years.



















\*\* No score available for these years.































\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

