

# National Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2019 Results

# **Poole Hospital NHS Foundation Trust**

Published June 2020

Case Mix Adjusted scores

# **Executive Summary**

#### **Cancer Dashboard Questions**

The following seven questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England:

Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good





Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment



Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who would support them through their treatment



Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS



Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with respect and dignity while in hospital



Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after leaving hospital



Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during treatment

# **Questions Outside Expected Range**

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	87%	81%	87%	84%
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS	91%	80%	89%	85%
Q21. Patient got understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	92%	84%	91%	87%
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about support or self-help groups for people with cancer	93%	85%	92%	88%
Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to day activities	89%	80%	88%	84%
Q40. Patient given clear written information about what should or should not do after leaving hospital	91%	81%	90%	86%
Q43. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	76%	66%	75%	71%
Q51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all the information needed to help care at home	67%	55%	64%	60%
Q52. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	66%	45%	60%	52%
Q53. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	54%	37%	53%	45%

# National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2019 Poole Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	cores	
	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q56. Different people treating and caring for patient always work well together to give best possible care	79%	69%	77%	73%
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about right	85%	62%	77%	69%

## Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2019 is the ninth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2019 survey involved 143 NHS Trusts. Out of 111,366 people, 67,858 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 61%.

# Methodology

#### Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2019. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between December 2019 and March 2020.

As in the previous four years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

#### Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

#### Scoring methodology

Fifty-two questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q61), scores are presented as the percentage of positive responses out of all scored responses. For Q61, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's presented score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

#### Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2019 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. Thirty-seven scored questions in 2019 have been compared with those of 2018 and a statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the scored questions that are comparable beyond 2018, statistically significant change over the five years has also been reported where identified. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by sampling variation.

#### **Suppression**

#### **Question-level suppression**

For scores where the base size per question is <21, the score will be suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (\*). The base size will include neutral response options.

#### **Double suppression**

If any group within a particular sub-group breakdown (such as the tumour group breakdown) has <21 responses, then the figure for this particular group is suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (\*). If there is only one group within the sub-group breakdown that has <21 respondents, and is therefore suppressed, the group with the next lowest number of respondents is also supressed and replaced with an asterisk (\*) (regardless if it is greater than or less than 21).

# **Understanding the results**

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results and previous year's results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

#### **Expected range charts**

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (in grey) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

#### **Comparability tables**

The comparability tables show the 2018 and 2019 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. If there is a significant change from 2018 and 2019 or overall from 2015 to 2019, an arrow will be presented for the direction of change. The adjusted 2019 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

#### **Tumour type tables**

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. The national score for that tumour group is also shown. Unadjusted scores for the same tumour type across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

#### Year on year charts

The year on year charts show five columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last five years (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019) for each scored question.

#### **Notes on specific questions**

Following the development phase of the 2019 survey, several changes were made to the questionnaire. Six scored questions were amended (Q5, Q18, Q30, Q35, Q56 and Q60) and one non-scored question (Q29) was amended that impacted the comparability of questions Q30 to Q41. Of all questions changed or impacted by change, only Q60 is presented with historical comparisons; though the results should be interpreted with caution.

#### Unadjusted data and case-mix adjusted data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

#### **Further information**

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2019 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2019 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at <a href="www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>. For all other outputs at National, Trust, CCG and Cancer Alliance level, please see the PDF reports, Excel tables and dashboards at <a href="www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>.

# **Response Rate**

#### **Overall Response Rate**

563 patients responded out of a total of 855 patients, resulting in a response rate of 66%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Trust	914	855	563	66%
National	119,855	111,366	67,858	61%

## **Respondents by Survey Type**

	Number of Respondents
Online	52
Paper	511
Phone	0
Translation Service	0

# **Respondents by Tumour Group**


	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	4
Breast	87
Colorectal / LGT	77
Gynaecological	50
Haematological	82
Head and Neck	36
Lung	29
Prostate	23
Sarcoma	6
Skin	33
Upper Gastro	26
Urological	10
Other	100

## **Respondents by Age and Gender**


Respondents year of birth has been used to determine age. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the Trust was as follows:

	Age 16-24	Age 25-34	Age 35-44	Age 45-54	Age 55-64	Age 65-74	Age 75-84	Age 85+	Total
Male	1	1	3	17	44	89	66	17	238
Female	0	5	11	39	70	119	66	15	325
Total	1	6	14	56	114	208	132	32	563


# **Expected Range Charts**



# **Expected Range Charts**



# **Expected Range Charts**



# **Comparability Tables**

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

\*\* No score available for 2018.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper

and Lower Expected Ranges
Adjusted Score above Upper
Expected Range

			Unadjust	ed Score		Case N				
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score
SEEING YOUR GP										
Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they needed to go to hospital	321	80%	422	80%			81%	75%	83%	79%
Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	452	88%	547	86%			87%	81%	87%	84%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS								
Q5. Received all the information needed about the test	**	**	461	95%	95%	93%	97%	95%
Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	400	94%	471	90%	90%	85%	91%	88%
Q7. Test results explained in completely understandable way	403	84%	474	80%	80%	76%	83%	80%

FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG WITH YOU								
Q10. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	403	78%	507	79%	80%	72%	82%	77%
Q11. Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	463	82%	557	87%	87%	83%	89%	86%
Q12. Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	462	75%	556	73%	73%	70%	77%	73%
Q13. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	394	75%	481	76%	78%	71%	78%	74%

DECIDING THE BEST TREATMENT FOR YOU								
Q14. Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	405	87%	485	83%	83%	80%	87%	83%
Q15. Patient felt possible side effects were definitely explained in an understandable way	436	74%	525	73%	73%	69%	77%	73%
Q16. Patient definitely given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	436	73%	531	70%	70%	63%	72%	67%
Q17. Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	416	56%	499	55%	56%	52%	61%	57%
Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment	**	**	545	82%	82%	78%	85%	81%

CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST (CNS)										
Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who would support them through their treatment	453	96%	538	95%			95%	89%	95%	92%
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS	396	92%	475	92%			91%	80%	89%	85%
Q21. Patient got understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	389	94%	456	92%			92%	84%	91%	87%

# **Comparability Tables**

Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Change Overall.				ge overa	111		al lu Luv	vei Expeci	eu nange	3
** No score available for 2018. (2015, 2016, 201	17, 2018	and 20	19).					ed Score a d Range	bove Upp	er
			Unadjust	ted Score	?S		Case N	∕lix Adjusto	ed Scores	
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score
SUPPORT FOR PEOPLE WITH CANCER										
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about support or self-help groups for people with cancer	369	91%	425	93%		•	93%	85%	92%	88%
Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to day activities	309	89%	346	89%			89%	80%	88%	84%
Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help or possible benefits	210	66%	243	62%			61%	56%	70%	63%
Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	220	85%	231	87%		<b>A</b>	87%	77%	87%	82%
OPERATIONS										
Q27. Beforehand, patient had all the information needed about the operation	255	97%	288	94%			94%	94%	98%	96%
Q28. Afterwards, staff completely explained how operation had gone in understandable way	255	82%	288	76%			75%	75%	84%	79%
HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT										
Q30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient as if patient wasn't there	**	**	287	87%			87%	79%	88%	84%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating	**	**	287	86%			86%	79%	88%	84%

HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT								
Q30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient as if patient wasn't there	**	**	287	87%	87%	79%	88%	84%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	**	**	287	86%	86%	79%	88%	84%
Q32. Patient's family or someone close definitely felt able to talk to a doctor	**	**	225	73%	73%	66%	78%	72%
Q33. Patient had confidence and trust in all the ward nurses treating them	**	**	287	76%	77%	68%	80%	74%
Q34. Patient thought there were always or nearly always enough nurses on duty to care for them	**	**	285	67%	68%	57%	72%	64%
Q35. All hospital staff asked patient what name they prefer to be called by	**	**	283	78%	79%	63%	79%	71%
Q36. Patient always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	**	**	287	88%	88%	80%	89%	85%
Q37. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their inpatient visit	**	**	203	55%	55%	45%	59%	52%
Q38. Hospital staff definitely did everything they could to help control pain	**	**	247	85%	85%	78%	88%	83%
Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	**	**	288	89%	90%	84%	92%	88%
Q40. Patient given clear written information about what should or should not do after leaving hospital	**	**	264	90%	91%	81%	90%	86%
Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after leaving hospital	**	**	281	95%	96%	92%	97%	94%

# **Comparability Tables**

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

\*\* No score available for 2018.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

							Lxpecie	u Narige		
			Unadjust	ed Score	<u>!</u> S		Case N	Лix Adjuste	ed Scores	
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
<b>HOSPITAL CARE AS A DAY PATIENT / OUTPATIE</b>	VT									
Q43. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	334	73%	398	76%			76%	66%	75%	71%
Q44. Cancer doctor had the right documents at patient's last outpatient appointment	405	99%	501	97%			97%	94%	98%	96%
Q46. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	171	85%	183	86%			86%	81%	91%	86%
Q47. Patient completely given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	147	57%	166	59%			60%	52%	67%	60%
Q49. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	249	85%	286	85%			84%	80%	89%	84%
Q50. Patient given enough information about whether chemotherapy was working in a completely understandable way	227	71%	264	65%			65%	62%	73%	68%

HOME CARE AND SUPPORT								
Q51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all the information needed to help care at home	355	66%	424	67%	67%	55%	64%	60%
Q52. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	264	69%	323	67%	66%	45%	60%	52%
Q53. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	153	54%	192	55%	54%	37%	53%	45%

CARE FROM YOUR GENERAL PRACTICE								
Q54. GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	345	96%	423	96%	96%	93%	97%	95%
Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during treatment	286	67%	342	61%	61%	53%	64%	58%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE									
Q56. Different people treating and caring for patient always work well together to give best possible care	**	**	539	79%		79%	69%	77%	73%
Q57. Patient given a care plan	349	42%	433	35%		37%	33%	42%	38%
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good or very good	452	94%	558	91%		92%	86%	92%	89%
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about right	447	83%	554	84%	<b>A</b>	85%	62%	77%	69%
Q60. Someone discussed with patient whether they would like to take part in cancer research	418	21%	524	27%		28%	21%	40%	30%
Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	447	9.1	549	8.9		8.9	8.7	8.9	8.8

than 21 responses.															
SEEING YOUR GP								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they	Trust	*	94%	71%	79%	74%	93%	67%	95%	*	100%	82%	*	71%	80%
needed to go to hospital	National	59%	94%	75%	77%	67%	79%	71%	82%	71%	90%	74%	83%	74%	79%
Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as	Trust	*	87%	89%	82%	90%	83%	89%	91%	*	94%	92%	*	80%	86%
necessary	National	79%	89%	83%	81%	82%	81%	84%	86%	69%	85%	79%	85%	79%	849
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS								Tumo	ur Gro	un					
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Fung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q5. Received all the information needed about	Trust	*	94%	97%	88%	96%	90%	100%	100%	*	96%	96%	*	96%	95%
the test	National	93%	95%	95%	93%	95%	93%	95%	95%	93%	96%	95%	95%	95%	95%
Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be	Trust	*	93%	93%	90%	91%	84%	92%	*	*	92%	85%	*	85%	90%
done was about right	National	84%	91%	88%	86%	89%	88%	87%	87%	81%	87%	84%	87%	86%	88%
Q7. Test results explained in completely	Trust	*	86%	83%	69%	82%	77%	80%	81%	*	88%	73%	*	75%	80%
understandable way	National	71%	83%	82%	77%	77%	79%	80%	80%	78%	84%	75%	80%	76%	809
FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG W	ITH YO							Tumo	ur Gro	oup					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q10. Patient told they could bring a family	Trust	*		81%	55%			74%	*	*	84%		*	79%	
member or friend when first told they had cancer	National		82%		71%			77%		73%	69%	76%	73%	75%	
Q11. Patient felt they were told sensitively that	Trust	*			84%					*	97%		*	84%	
they had cancer	National										89%				
Q12. Patient completely understood the	Trust	*			56%					*	88%		*	77%	
explanation of what was wrong	National										80%				
Q13. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	Trust National	* 66%			43% 71%					* 67%	92% 84%	* 67%	* 74%	79% 65%	

than 21 responses.															
DECIDING THE BEST TREATMENT FOR	YOU							Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	■ V
Q14. Patient felt that treatment options were	Trust	*	87%	84%	71%	84%	93%	85%	91%	*	89%	65%	*	80%	839
completely explained	National	85%	85%	85%	85%	82%	87%	84%	83%	83%	89%	81%	83%	79%	83
Q15. Patient felt possible side effects were	Trust	*	76%	72%	63%	72%	71%	85%	74%	*	82%	62%	*	71%	73
definitely explained in an understandable way	National	69%	74%	76%	75%	69%	73%	74%	73%	73%	77%	72%	71%	70%	73
Q16. Patient definitely given practical advice and	Trust	*	80%	72%	57%	61%	56%	89%	65%	*	87%	61%	*	70%	70
support in dealing with side effects of treatment	National	63%	70%	70%	69%	65%	70%	69%	65%	66%	71%	66%	63%	64%	67
Q17. Patient definitely told about side effects hat could affect them in the future	Trust	*	58%	54%	45%	56%	61%	69%	65%	*	70%	42%	*	49%	55
hat could affect them in the future	National	62%	57%	59%	56%	51%	64%	56%	66%	54%	66%	53%	56%	52%	57
Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment	Trust	*	81%	84%	68%	83%	82%	85%	83%	*	94%	84%	*	83%	82
wanted in decisions about care and treatment	National	79%	81%	83%	81%	80%	81%	81%	84%	81%	87%	79%	79%	78%	81
CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST (CNS)								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	Ψ
Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who	Trust	*	98%	97%	98%	92%	91%	100%	100%	*	94%	100%	*	89%	95
would support them through their treatment	National	95%	95%	92%	95%	92%	91%	94%	91%	91%	91%	93%	85%	89%	92
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to	Trust	*	90%	93%	77%	98%	87%	96%	81%	*	96%	88%	*	96%	92
contact their CNS	National	86%	84%	88%	85%	87%	86%	86%	80%	86%	90%	85%	83%	83%	85
Q21. Patient got understandable answers to	Trust	*	90%	91%	81%	98%	87%	96%	*	*	100%	88%	*	96%	92
important questions all or most of the time	National	82%	87%	89%	86%	89%	88%	86%	87%	87%	93%	86%	87%	86%	87
SUPPORT FOR PEOPLE WITH CANCER	<u> </u>							Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	olorectal / LGT	aecological	matological	lead and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	rological	Other	₽

SUPPORT FOR PEOPLE WITH CANCER								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about support or self-help groups for people with	Trust	*	95%	94%	81%	89%	94%	100%	*	*	96%	95%	*	91%	93%
cancer	National	92%	92%	88%	87%	86%	88%	87%	91%	86%	90%	88%	81%	83%	88%
Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to	Trust	*	87%	91%	75%	80%	100%	*	*	*	95%	*	*	88%	89%
day activities	National	84%	86%	85%	82%	84%	84%	83%	88%	81%	86%	83%	78%	79%	84%
Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting	Trust	*	66%	69%	42%	55%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%	62%
financial help or possible benefits	National	78%	68%	61%	66%	61%	67%	72%	55%	64%	60%	64%	47%	59%	63%
Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get	Trust	*	90%	94%	*	80%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	88%	87%
free prescriptions	National	82%	81%	83%	79%	87%	84%	86%	80%	78%	71%	84%	73%	81%	82%


than 21 responses.															
OPERATIONS	_							Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q27. Beforehand, patient had all the information	Trust	*	93%	96%	97%	*	*	*	*	*	93%	*	*	91%	94%
needed about the operation	National	96%	97%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Q28. Afterwards, staff completely explained how	Trust	*	78%	80%	58%	*	*	*	*	*	86%	*	*	77%	76%
operation had gone in understandable way	National	76%	79%	83%	79%	78%	79%	79%	78%	80%	82%	79%	76%	77%	79%
HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient	Trust	*	84%	85%	75%	90%	95%	*	*	*	*	*	*	93%	87%
as if patient wasn't there	National	81%	86%	81%	83%	84%	83%	81%	88%	86%	86%	81%	83%	82%	84%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all	Trust	*	90%	85%	75%	95%	82%	*	*	*	*	*	*	84%	86%
doctors treating them	National	82%	83%	85%	83%	82%	87%	83%	89%	86%	85%	81%	85%	80%	84%
Q32. Patient's family or someone close definitely	Trust	*	76%	76%	48%	93%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	72%	73%
felt able to talk to a doctor	National	67%	72%	73%	72%	74%	75%	74%	72%	71%	74%	73%	71%	69%	72%
Q33. Patient had confidence and trust in all the	Trust	*	77%	70%	58%	85%	77%	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%	76%
ward nurses treating them	National	72%	73%	72%	71%	77%	75%	77%	79%	74%	75%	73%	77%	69%	74%
Q34. Patient thought there were always or nearly	Trust	*	71%	65%	53%	70%	71%	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%	67%
always enough nurses on duty to care for them	National	68%	64%	62%	63%	63%	65%	68%	72%	65%	70%	65%	66%	60%	64%
Q35. All hospital staff asked patient what name	Trust	*	63%	83%	72%	83%	76%	*	*	*	*	*	*	84%	78%
they prefer to be called by	National	68%	62%	74%	65%	72%	71%	76%	72%	74%	70%	78%	76%	69%	71%
Q36. Patient always given enough privacy when	Trust	*	90%	93%	74%	85%	86%	*	*	*	*	*	*	86%	88%
discussing condition or treatment	National	78%	84%	85%	81%	86%	87%	84%	88%	84%	84%	84%	85%	82%	85%
Q37. Patient definitely found hospital staff to	Trust	n.a.	48%	62%	30%	72%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%	55%
discuss worries or fears during their inpatient visit	National	45%	51%	55%	51%	56%	52%	49%	53%	54%	51%	53%	49%	46%	52%
Q38. Hospital staff definitely did everything they	Trust	n.a.	88%	88%	71%	90%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	92%	85%
could to help control pain	National	85%	83%	84%	82%	82%	80%	84%	85%	83%	85%	82%	81%	82%	83%
Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with	Trust	*	95%	87%	72%	93%	86%	*	*	*	*	*	*	93%	89%
respect and dignity while in hospital	National	85%	87%	87%	85%	89%	87%	88%	91%	89%	89%	88%	90%	86%	88%
Q40. Patient given clear written information	Trust	*	86%	92%	84%	92%	*	*	*	*	*	*	n.a.	90%	90%
about what should or should not do after leaving hospital	National	80%	89%	86%	86%	83%	87%	82%	91%	85%	90%	82%	87%	83%	86%
Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after	Trust	*				100%		*	*	*	*	*	*	95%	
leaving hospital	National	94%	95%	95%	93%	96%	93%	92%	96%	94%	95%	92%	92%	93%	94%

HOSPITAL CARE AS A DAY PATIENT /	OUTPA	TIEN	Т					Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q43. Patient definitely found hospital staff to	Trust	*	71%	76%	74%	76%	65%	88%	*	*	*	*	*	82%	76%
discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	National	66%	68%	73%	70%	73%	72%	70%	74%	72%	72%	71%	67%	68%	71%
Q44. Cancer doctor had the right documents at	Trust	*	99%	97%	98%	99%	100%	100%	95%	*	97%	87%	*	96%	97%
patient's last outpatient appointment	National	94%	96%	96%	96%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	96%
Q46. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about radiotherapy	Trust	*	87%	*	*	*	83%	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	86%
treatment	National	91%	88%	83%	88%	84%	86%	86%	88%	88%	84%	86%	83%	84%	86%
Q47. Patient completely given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was	Trust	*	63%	*	*	*	58%	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	59%
working	National	56%	60%	57%	61%	62%	63%	59%	60%	67%	57%	52%	59%	59%	60%
Q49. Beforehand patient completely had all	Trust	*	82%	81%	79%	82%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	93%	85%
information needed about chemotherapy treatment	National	80%	82%	86%	87%	85%	79%	84%	86%	86%	90%	84%	85%	85%	84%
Q50. Patient given enough information about whether chemotherapy was working in a	Trust	n.a.	53%	58%	63%	79%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	76%	65%
completely understandable way	National	54%	62%	64%	68%	75%	57%	67%	66%	71%	79%	61%	68%	69%	68%


HOME CARE AND SUPPORT								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all the information needed to	Trust	*	63%	77%	39%	72%	60%	81%	*	*	77%	71%	*	67%	67%
help care at home	National	58%	58%	63%	57%	62%	67%	59%	61%	62%	65%	60%	59%	55%	60%
Q52. Patient definitely given enough support	Trust	*	63%	80%	38%	76%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%	67%
from health or social services during treatment	National	42%	52%	60%	45%	51%	59%	50%	48%	53%	57%	54%	48%	51%	52%
Q53. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	Trust	*	52%	67%	30%	68%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%	55%
from health or social services after treatment	National	39%	41%	53%	39%	43%	56%	40%	46%	48%	59%	47%	44%	44%	45%


CARE FROM YOUR GENERAL PRACTIC	E							Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q54. GP given enough information about	Trust	*	95%	95%	92%	97%	96%	*	90%	*	100%	*	*	100%	96%
patient's condition and treatment	National	91%	96%	95%	95%	96%	94%	94%	96%	94%	96%	93%	95%	94%	95%
Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during	Trust	*	63%	61%	60%	63%	52%	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%	61%
treatment	National	55%	58%	59%	56%	56%	59%	56%	64%	56%	65%	59%	59%	55%	58%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumour Group									
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers	
Q56. Different people treating and caring for patient always work well together to give best possible care	Trust	*	82%	79%	66%	82%	71%	90%	86%	*	82%	73%	*	78%	79%	
	National	60%	73%	73%	69%	75%	73%	73%	75%	70%	79%	69%	74%	68%	73%	
Q57. Patient given a care plan	Trust	*	40%	31%	18%	20%	52%	38%	*	*	64%	29%	*	42%	35%	
	National	36%	41%	40%	34%	36%	39%	36%	40%	34%	44%	36%	33%	31%	38%	
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good or very good	Trust	*	92%	87%	86%	98%	92%	93%	96%	*	91%	88%	*	93%	91%	
	National	85%	90%	88%	87%	91%	90%	90%	88%	88%	90%	86%	85%	87%	89%	
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about right	Trust	*	90%	81%	70%	90%	74%	86%	87%	*	91%	88%	*	82%	84%	
	National	58%	68%	73%	66%	66%	71%	71%	76%	68%	73%	66%	75%	64%	69%	
Q60. Someone discussed with patient whether they would like to take part in cancer research	Trust	*	24%	36%	21%	24%	35%	43%	13%	*	13%	21%	*	33%	27%	
	National	42%	30%	32%	31%	33%	21%	34%	31%	36%	20%	36%	21%	32%	30%	
Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	Trust	*	9.0	8.8	8.1	9.1	8.9	9.4	8.8	*	9.2	8.9	*	8.9	8.9	
	National	8.6	8.9	8.8	8.7	8.9	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.9	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.8	






















- \* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
- \*\* No score available for these years.


















\*\* No score available for these years.



























































- \* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
- \*\* No score available for these years.
















- \* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
- \*\* No score available for these years.































\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

