

# National Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2019 Results

# Homerton University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

Published June 2020

#### Case Mix Adjusted scores

# **Executive Summary**

#### **Cancer Dashboard Questions**

The following seven questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England:

Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good





Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment



Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who would support them through their treatment



Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS



Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with respect and dignity while in hospital



Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after leaving hospital



Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during treatment

#### **Ouestions Outside Expected Range**

Questions outside Expected hange				
	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q10. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	48%	63%	90%	77%
Q11. Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	75%	96%	86%
Q14. Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	70%	72%	95%	83%
Q21. Patient got understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	76%	76%	99%	87%
Q33. Patient had confidence and trust in all the ward nurses treating them	53%	57%	91%	74%
Q40. Patient given clear written information about what should or should not do after leaving hospital	72%	72%	100%	86%
$\ensuremath{Q43}.$ Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	40%	54%	87%	71%
Q56. Different people treating and caring for patient always work well together to give best possible care	55%	59%	86%	73%
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good or very good	79%	79%	98%	89%

# Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2019 is the ninth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2019 survey involved 143 NHS Trusts. Out of 111,366 people, 67,858 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 61%.

# Methodology

#### Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2019. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between December 2019 and March 2020.

As in the previous four years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

#### Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

#### Scoring methodology

Fifty-two questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q61), scores are presented as the percentage of positive responses out of all scored responses. For Q61, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's presented score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

#### **Statistical significance**

In the reporting of 2019 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. Thirty-seven scored questions in 2019 have been compared with those of 2018 and a statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the scored questions that are comparable beyond 2018, statistically significant change over the five years has also been reported where identified. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by sampling variation.

#### **Suppression**

#### **Question-level suppression**

For scores where the base size per question is <21, the score will be suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (\*). The base size will include neutral response options.

#### **Double suppression**

If any group within a particular sub-group breakdown (such as the tumour group breakdown) has <21 responses, then the figure for this particular group is suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (\*). If there is only one group within the sub-group breakdown that has <21 respondents, and is therefore suppressed, the group with the next lowest number of respondents is also supressed and replaced with an asterisk (\*) (regardless if it is greater than or less than 21).

# **Understanding the results**

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results and previous year's results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

#### **Expected range charts**

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (in grey) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

#### **Comparability tables**

The comparability tables show the 2018 and 2019 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. If there is a significant change from 2018 and 2019 or overall from 2015 to 2019, an arrow will be presented for the direction of change. The adjusted 2019 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

#### **Tumour type tables**

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. The national score for that tumour group is also shown. Unadjusted scores for the same tumour type across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

#### Year on year charts

The year on year charts show five columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last five years (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019) for each scored question.

#### **Notes on specific questions**

Following the development phase of the 2019 survey, several changes were made to the questionnaire. Six scored questions were amended (Q5, Q18, Q30, Q35, Q56 and Q60) and one non-scored question (Q29) was amended that impacted the comparability of questions Q30 to Q41. Of all questions changed or impacted by change, only Q60 is presented with historical comparisons; though the results should be interpreted with caution.

#### Unadjusted data and case-mix adjusted data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

## **Further information**

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2019 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2019 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at <a href="https://www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>. For all other outputs at National, Trust, CCG and Cancer Alliance level, please see the PDF reports, Excel tables and dashboards at <a href="https://www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>.

# **Response Rate**

### **Overall Response Rate**

43 patients responded out of a total of 91 patients, resulting in a response rate of 47%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Trust	101	91	43	47%
National	119,855	111,366	67,858	61%

## **Respondents by Survey Type**

	Number of Respondents
Online	3
Paper	40
Phone	0
Translation Service	0

# **Respondents by Tumour Group**


	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	0
Breast	12
Colorectal / LGT	15
Gynaecological	0
Haematological	1
Head and Neck	0
Lung	2
Prostate	2
Sarcoma	0
Skin	1
Upper Gastro	3
Urological	6
Other	1

# **Respondents by Age and Gender**


Respondents year of birth has been used to determine age. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the Trust was as follows:

	Age 16-24	Age 25-34	Age 35-44	Age 45-54	Age 55-64	Age 65-74	Age 75-84	Age 85+	Total
Male	0	0	0	1	6	4	2	2	15
Female	0	1	2	6	9	5	2	3	28
Total	0	1	2	7	15	9	4	5	43


# **Expected Range Charts**



# **Expected Range Charts**



# **Expected Range Charts**



# **Comparability Tables**

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

\*\* No score available for 2018.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper

Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

			Unadjust	ed Score		Case N					
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
SEEING YOUR GP											
Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they needed to go to hospital	17	*	35	80%			80%	65%	92%	79%	
Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	25	68%	43	79%			81%	73%	95%	84%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS								
Q5. Received all the information needed about the test	**	**	37	92%	93%	88%	100%	95%
Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	20	*	38	87%	88%	78%	98%	88%
Q7. Test results explained in completely understandable way	22	73%	39	74%	77%	67%	92%	80%

FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG WITH YOU								
Q10. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	24	75%	40	52%	48%	63%	90%	77%
Q11. Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	25	84%	43	74%	74%	75%	96%	86%
Q12. Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	25	72%	43	84%	84%	60%	87%	73%
Q13. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	22	59%	40	73%	75%	61%	88%	74%

DECIDING THE BEST TREATMENT FOR YOU								
Q14. Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	23	83%	37	70%	70%	72%	95%	83%
Q15. Patient felt possible side effects were definitely explained in an understandable way	23	78%	41	73%	72%	59%	86%	73%
Q16. Patient definitely given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	23	74%	42	57%	56%	53%	82%	67%
Q17. Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	23	65%	40	60%	57%	41%	72%	57%
Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment	**	**	43	74%	78%	69%	93%	81%

CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST (CNS)								
Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who would support them through their treatment	23	87%	42	86%	86%	84%	100%	92%
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS	20	*	33	79%	79%	72%	97%	85%
Q21. Patient got understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	18	*	33	73%	76%	76%	99%	87%

# **Comparability Tables**

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.



Q37. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their inpatient visit

Q38. Hospital staff definitely did everything they could to help

Q40. Patient given clear written information about what should

Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about

Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with respect and

control pain

dignity while in hospital

or should not do after leaving hospital

condition or treatment after leaving hospital

Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score above Upper

** No score available for 2018. (2015, 2016, 201	17, 2018	and 201	9).				Adjuste Expecte	d Score a d Range	bove Upp	er
			Unadjust	ed Score	S		Case N	1ix Adjuste	ed Scores	
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
SUPPORT FOR PEOPLE WITH CANCER										
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about support or self-help groups for people with cancer	17	*	36	89%		•	90%	77%	99%	88%
Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to day activities	19	*	29	83%			84%	71%	97%	84%
Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help or possible benefits	15	*	23	48%			47%	43%	83%	63%
Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	15	*	21	67%			71%	65%	98%	82%
OPERATIONS										
Q27. Beforehand, patient had all the information needed about the operation	23	100%	33	97%		•	98%	90%	100%	96%
Q28. Afterwards, staff completely explained how operation had gone in understandable way	23	70%	34	76%			78%	66%	93%	79%
HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT										
Q30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient as if patient wasn't there	**	**	26	88%			92%	69%	98%	84%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	**	**	26	85%			86%	70%	98%	84%
Q32. Patient's family or someone close definitely felt able to talk to a doctor	**	**	23	57%			56%	54%	90%	72%
Q33. Patient had confidence and trust in all the ward nurses treating them	**	**	26	50%			53%	57%	91%	74%
Q34. Patient thought there were always or nearly always enough nurses on duty to care for them	**	**	26	69%			72%	45%	83%	64%
Q35. All hospital staff asked patient what name they prefer to be called by	**	**	26	54%			57%	52%	89%	71%
Q36. Patient always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	**	**	25	92%			93%	70%	99%	85%

20

20

26

25

25

85%

72%

92%

87%

72%

93%

75%

72%

85%

100%

100%

100%

\*\*

\*\*

\*\*

**52**%

83%

88%

86%

94%

# **Comparability Tables**

Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

\*\* No score available for 2018.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

or	▼	significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change over
		(2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

· ·	5
Adjusted Score abov Expected Range	e Upper

							Expecte	d Range		
			Unadjust	ed Score			Case N	∕lix Adjuste	ed Scores	
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
<b>HOSPITAL CARE AS A DAY PATIENT / OUTPATIE</b>	NT									
Q43. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	18	*	29	38%			40%	54%	87%	71%
Q44. Cancer doctor had the right documents at patient's last outpatient appointment	20	*	33	97%			97%	89%	100%	96%
Q46. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	9	*	11	*			*			86%
Q47. Patient completely given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	9	*	11	*			*			60%
Q49. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	2	*	16	*			*			84%
Q50. Patient given enough information about whether chemotherapy was working in a completely understandable way	2	*	13	*			*			68%
HOME CARE AND SUPPORT										
Q51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all the information needed to help care at home	18	*	37	51%			52%	44%	76%	60%
Q52. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	15	*	22	41%			40%	31%	74%	52%
Q53. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	10	*	14	*			*			45%
CARE FROM YOUR GENERAL PRACTICE										
Q54. GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	23	83%	40	95%			96%	89%	100%	95%
Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during treatment	20	*	37	59%			60%	42%	74%	58%
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE										
Q56. Different people treating and caring for patient always work well together to give best possible care	**	**	42	52%			55%	59%	86%	73%
Q57. Patient given a care plan	18	*	33	48%			40%	21%	54%	38%
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good or very good	24	88%	43	79%			79%	79%	98%	89%
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about right	23	65%	43	56%			55%	54%	85%	69%
Q60. Someone discussed with patient whether they would like to take part in cancer research	20	*	41	32%			30%	14%	47%	30%
Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	23	8.7	40	8.2			8.4	8.4	9.2	8.8

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

·															
SEEING YOUR GP								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	₩ Y
Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	80%
needed to go to hospital	National	59%	94%	75%	77%	67%	79%	71%	82%	71%	90%	74%	83%	74%	79%
Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	79%
necessary	National	79%	89%	83%	81%	82%	81%	84%	86%	69%	85%	79%	85%	79%	84%
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	₩.
Q5. Received all the information needed about	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	92%
the test	National	93%	95%	95%	93%	95%	93%	95%	95%	93%	96%	95%	95%	95%	95%
Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	879
done was about right	National	84%	91%	88%	86%	89%	88%	87%	87%	81%	87%	84%	87%	86%	88%
Q7. Test results explained in completely	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	74%
understandable way	National	71%	83%	82%	77%	77%	79%	80%	80%	78%	84%	75%	80%	76%	80%
FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG W	/ITH YO	)						Tumo	ur Gro	un					
THE SOLUTION WAS WROTEN															

FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG W	/ITH YO	U						Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
10. Patient told they could bring a family Trust		n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	52%
member or friend when first told they had cancer	National	85%	82%	82%	71%	71%	71%	77%	79%	73%	69%	76%	73%	75%	77%
Q11. Patient felt they were told sensitively that	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	74%
they had cancer	National	79%	89%	87%	82%	84%	87%	83%	86%	84%	89%	81%	84%	83%	86%
Q12. Patient completely understood the	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	84%
explanation of what was wrong	National	66%	77%	79%	73%	60%	78%	76%	79%	67%	80%	70%	77%	70%	73%
Q13. Patient given easy to understand written	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	73%
information about the type of cancer they had	National	66%	78%	73%	71%	76%	69%	67%	83%	67%	84%	67%	74%	65%	74%

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

DECIDING THE BEST TREATMENT FOR	YOU							Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
4. Patient felt that treatment options were		n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	70%
npletely explained  Nation		85%	85%	85%	85%	82%	87%	84%	83%	83%	89%	81%	83%	79%	83%
Q15. Patient felt possible side effects were	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	73%
definitely explained in an understandable way	National	69%	74%	76%	75%	69%	73%	74%	73%	73%	77%	72%	71%	70%	73%
Q16. Patient definitely given practical advice and	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	57%
support in dealing with side effects of treatment	National	63%	70%	70%	69%	65%	70%	69%	65%	66%	71%	66%	63%	64%	67%
Q17. Patient definitely told about side effects	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	60%
that could affect them in the future	National	62%	57%	59%	56%	51%	64%	56%	66%	54%	66%	53%	56%	52%	57%
Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	74%
wanted in decisions about care and treatment	National	79%	81%	83%	81%	80%	81%	81%	84%	81%	87%	79%	79%	78%	81%

CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST (CNS)								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	86%
would support them through their treatment	National	95%	95%	92%	95%	92%	91%	94%	91%	91%	91%	93%	85%	89%	92%
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	79%
contact their CNS	National	86%	84%	88%	85%	87%	86%	86%	80%	86%	90%	85%	83%	83%	85%
Q21. Patient got understandable answers to	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	73%
important questions all or most of the time	National	82%	87%	89%	86%	89%	88%	86%	87%	87%	93%	86%	87%	86%	87%

SUPPORT FOR PEOPLE WITH CANCER								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about upport or self-help groups for people with		n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	89%
cancer	National	92%	92%	88%	87%	86%	88%	87%	91%	86%	90%	88%	81%	83%	88%
Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	83%
day activities	National	84%	86%	85%	82%	84%	84%	83%	88%	81%	86%	83%	78%	79%	84%
Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	48%
financial help or possible benefits	National	78%	68%	61%	66%	61%	67%	72%	55%	64%	60%	64%	47%	59%	63%
Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	67%
free prescriptions	National	82%	81%	83%	79%	87%	84%	86%	80%	78%	71%	84%	73%	81%	82%

leaving hospital

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

than 21 responses.				n.a.	muicat	es mai	there	were n	o resp	onden	וא וטו נו	nat tur	nour g	roup.	
OPERATIONS								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q27. Beforehand, patient had all the information	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	97%
needed about the operation	National	96%	97%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Q28. Afterwards, staff completely explained how	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	76%
operation had gone in understandable way	National	76%	79%	83%	79%	78%	79%	79%	78%	80%	82%	79%	76%	77%	79%
HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT								Tumo	ur Gro	nun					
HOSFITAL CARE AS AN INFATIENT						_		Tarrio	ar Gre	Т					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	88%
as if patient wasn't there	National	81%	86%	81%	83%	84%	83%	81%	88%	86%	86%	81%	83%	82%	84%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	85%
doctors treating them	National	82%	83%	85%	83%	82%	87%	83%	89%	86%	85%	81%	85%	80%	84%
Q32. Patient's family or someone close definitely	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	57%
felt able to talk to a doctor	National	67%	72%	73%	72%	74%	75%	74%	72%	71%	74%	73%	71%	69%	72%
Q33. Patient had confidence and trust in all the	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	50%
ward nurses treating them	National	72%	73%	72%	71%	77%	75%	77%	79%	74%	75%	73%	77%	69%	74%
Q34. Patient thought there were always or nearly	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	69%
always enough nurses on duty to care for them	National	68%	64%	62%	63%	63%	65%	68%	72%	65%	70%	65%	66%	60%	64%
Q35. All hospital staff asked patient what name	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	54%
they prefer to be called by	National	68%	62%	74%	65%	72%	71%	76%	72%	74%	70%	78%	76%	69%	71%
Q36. Patient always given enough privacy when	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	92%
discussing condition or treatment	National	78%	84%	85%	81%	86%	87%	84%	88%	84%	84%	84%	85%	82%	
Q37. Patient definitely found hospital staff to	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	*
discuss worries or fears during their inpatient visit	National	45%	51%		51%	56%	52%	49%	53%	54%	51%	53%		46%	
Q38. Hospital staff definitely did everything they	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*
could to help control pain	National	85%	83%	84%	82%	82%	80%	84%	85%	83%	85%	82%			
Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	Trust National	n.a.	* 87%	* 87%	n.a. 85%	n.a. 89%	n.a. 87%	* 88%	n.a. 91%	n.a. 89%	n.a.	* 88%	* 90%	* 86%	85% 88%
Q40. Patient given clear written information	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	72%
about what should or should not do after leaving hospital	National			86%	86%		87%			85%		82%			
Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	92%
if worried about condition or treatment after	National	94%	95%	95%	93%	96%	93%	92%	96%	94%	95%	92%	92%	93%	94%

National 94% 95% 95% 93% 96% 93% 92% 96% 94% 95% 92% 92% 93% 94%

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

HOSPITAL CARE AS A DAY PATIENT /	OUTPA	TIEN	Т					Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q43. Patient definitely found hospital staff to	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	38%
discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	National	66%	68%	73%	70%	73%	72%	70%	74%	72%	72%	71%	67%	68%	71%
44. Cancer doctor had the right documents at Trust		n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	97%
patient's last outpatient appointment	National	94%	96%	96%	96%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	96%
Q46. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about radiotherapy	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*
treatment	National	91%	88%	83%	88%	84%	86%	86%	88%	88%	84%	86%	83%	84%	86%
Q47. Patient completely given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*
working	National	56%	60%	57%	61%	62%	63%	59%	60%	67%	57%	52%	59%	59%	60%
Q49. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about chemotherapy	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*
treatment	National	80%	82%	86%	87%	85%	79%	84%	86%	86%	90%	84%	85%	85%	84%
Q50. Patient given enough information about whether chemotherapy was working in a	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*
completely understandable way	National	54%	62%	64%	68%	75%	57%	67%	66%	71%	79%	61%	68%	69%	68%

HOME CARE AND SUPPORT								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all the information needed to	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	51%
help care at home	National	58%	58%	63%	57%	62%	67%	59%	61%	62%	65%	60%	59%	55%	60%
Q52. Patient definitely given enough support	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	41%
from health or social services during treatment	National	42%	52%	60%	45%	51%	59%	50%	48%	53%	57%	54%	48%	51%	52%
Q53. Patient definitely given enough support	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	*	n.a.	*
from health or social services after treatment	National	39%	41%	53%	39%	43%	56%	40%	46%	48%	59%	47%	44%	44%	45%


CARE FROM YOUR GENERAL PRACTIC	E							Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q54. GP given enough information about	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	n.a.	95%
patient's condition and treatment	National	91%	96%	95%	95%	96%	94%	94%	96%	94%	96%	93%	95%	94%	95%
Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	n.a.	*	*	*	59%
treatment	National	55%	58%	59%	56%	56%	59%	56%	64%	56%	65%	59%	59%	55%	58%

# **Tumour Type Tables**


\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q56. Different people treating and caring for	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	52%
patient always work well together to give best possible care	National	60%	73%	73%	69%	75%	73%	73%	75%	70%	79%	69%	74%	68%	73%
Q57. Patient given a care plan	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	48%
Q37. Fatient given a care plan	National	36%	41%	40%	34%	36%	39%	36%	40%	34%	44%	36%	33%	31%	38%
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	79%
or very good	National	85%	90%	88%	87%	91%	90%	90%	88%	88%	90%	86%	85%	87%	89%
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	56%
right	National	58%	68%	73%	66%	66%	71%	71%	76%	68%	73%	66%	75%	64%	69%
Q60. Someone discussed with patient whether	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	32%
they would like to take part in cancer research	National	42%	30%	32%	31%	33%	21%	34%	31%	36%	20%	36%	21%	32%	30%
Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from	Trust	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	n.a.	*	*	n.a.	*	*	*	*	8.2
very poor to very good	National	8.6	8.9	8.8	8.7	8.9	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.9	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.8





















\*\* No score available for these years.












































2019

2018 \*

# **Year on Year Charts**

2015


2016 \*

0%





2017 \*
















\*\* No score available for these years.







