

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2019 Results

NHS Herts Valleys CCG

Published June 2020

Case Mix Adjusted scores

Executive Summary

Cancer Dashboard Questions

The following seven questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England:

Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good





Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment



Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who would support them through their treatment



Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS



Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with respect and dignity while in hospital



Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after leaving hospital



Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during treatment

Questions Outside Expected Range

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who would support them through their treatment	95%	90%	94%	92%
Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help or possible benefits	73%	58%	68%	63%
Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	87%	78%	86%	82%

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q12. Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	69%	70%	77%	73%
Q15. Patient felt possible side effects were definitely explained in an understandable way	69%	69%	76%	73%
Q17. Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	52%	53%	61%	57%
Q27. Beforehand, patient had all the information needed about the operation	94%	94%	98%	96%
Q28. Afterwards, staff completely explained how operation had gone in understandable way	74%	75%	83%	79%

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2019 NHS Herts Valleys CCG

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	cores	
	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q47. Patient completely given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	51%	53%	67%	60%
Q49. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	79%	80%	88%	84%
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good or very good	85%	86%	91%	89%
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about right	61%	64%	75%	69%

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2019 is the ninth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2019 survey involved 143 NHS Trusts. Out of 111,366 people, 67,858 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 61%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2019. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between December 2019 and March 2020.

As in the previous four years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a CCG is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

Scoring methodology

Fifty-two questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q61), scores are presented as the percentage of positive responses out of all scored responses. For Q61, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's presented score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2019 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. Thirty-seven scored questions in 2019 have been compared with those of 2018 and a statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the scored questions that are comparable beyond 2018, statistically significant change over the five years has also been reported where identified. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by sampling variation.

Suppression

Question-level suppression

For scores where the base size per question is <21, the score will be suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (*). The base size will include neutral response options.

Double suppression

If any group within a particular sub-group breakdown (such as the tumour group breakdown) has <21 responses, then the figure for this particular group is suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (*). If there is only one group within the sub-group breakdown that has <21 respondents, and is therefore suppressed, the group with the next lowest number of respondents is also supressed and replaced with an asterisk (*) (regardless if it is greater than or less than 21).

Understanding the results

This report shows how this CCG scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results and previous year's results. It is aimed at helping individual CCGs to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (in grey) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this CCG.

CCGs whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the CCG performs better than what CCGs of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the CCG's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2018 and 2019 unadjusted scores for this CCG for each scored question. If there is a significant change from 2018 and 2019 or overall from 2015 to 2019, an arrow will be presented for the direction of change. The adjusted 2019 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. The national score for that tumour group is also shown. Unadjusted scores for the same tumour type across different CCGs may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show five columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last five years (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019) for each scored question.

Notes on specific questions

Following the development phase of the 2019 survey, several changes were made to the questionnaire. Six scored questions were amended (Q5, Q18, Q30, Q35, Q56 and Q60) and one non-scored question (Q29) was amended that impacted the comparability of questions Q30 to Q41. Of all questions changed or impacted by change, only Q60 is presented with historical comparisons; though the results should be interpreted with caution.

Unadjusted data and case-mix adjusted data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the CCG. Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2019 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2019 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at www.ncpes.co.uk. For all other outputs at National, Trust, CCG and Cancer Alliance level, please see the PDF reports, Excel tables and dashboards at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Response Rate

Overall Response Rate

717 patients responded out of a total of 1,199 patients, resulting in a response rate of 60%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
CCG	1,285	1,199	717	60%
National	119,855	111,366	67,858	61%

Respondents by Survey Type

	Number of Respondents
Online	87
Paper	630
Phone	0
Translation Service	0

Respondents by Tumour Group


	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	3
Breast	164
Colorectal / LGT	67
Gynaecological	39
Haematological	113
Head and Neck	20
Lung	29
Prostate	95
Sarcoma	6
Skin	22
Upper Gastro	30
Urological	59
Other	70

Respondents by Age and Gender


Respondents year of birth has been used to determine age. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the CCG was as follows:

	Age 16-24	Age 25-34	Age 35-44	Age 45-54	Age 55-64	Age 65-74	Age 75-84	Age 85+	Total
Male	2	4	8	16	57	134	94	20	335
Female	5	2	18	59	97	114	68	19	382
Total	7	6	26	75	154	248	162	39	717


Expected Range Charts



Expected Range Charts



Expected Range Charts



Comparability Tables

* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

** No score available for 2018.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper

						Expecte	d Range		
		Unadjust	ed Score		Case N				
2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score

	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Expected Range	Expected Range	Score
SEEING YOUR GP										
Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they needed to go to hospital	545	77%	507	81%			81%	75%	82%	79%
Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	705	83%	702	85%			85%	81%	87%	84%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS								
Q5. Received all the information needed about the test	**	**	584	95%	95%	93%	97%	95%
Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	618	86%	606	89%	90%	85%	91%	88%
Q7. Test results explained in completely understandable way	617	78%	606	79%	80%	77%	83%	80%

FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG WITH YOU										
Q10. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	638	72%	635	74%		76%	72%	82%	77%	
Q11. Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	705	82%	709	84%		84%	83%	88%	86%	
Q12. Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	718	72%	710	69%		69%	70%	77%	73%	
Q13. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	611	70%	642	76%		76%	71%	78%	74%	

DECIDING THE BEST TREATMENT FOR YOU										
Q14. Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	621	81%	598	81%		82%	80%	86%	83%	
Q15. Patient felt possible side effects were definitely explained in an understandable way	688	69%	685	69%		69%	69%	76%	73%	
Q16. Patient definitely given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	684	65%	679	67%		68%	64%	71%	67%	
Q17. Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	650	53%	639	51%		52%	53%	61%	57%	
Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment	**	**	699	80%		80%	78%	84%	81%	

CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST (CNS)									
Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who would support them through their treatment	709	92%	672	94%	A	95%	90%	94%	92%
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS	590	82%	579	82%		82%	82%	88%	85%
Q21. Patient got understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	572	87%	553	86%		86%	85%	90%	87%

Comparability Tables

Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

enange overall				ge over	-		aria Lov	vei Expect	.cu nange.	,
** No score available for 2018. (2015, 2016, 2	017, 2018	3 and 20	19).					ed Score a ed Range	bove Upp	er
			Unadjust	ted Score	?S		Case N	Ліх Adjuste	ed Scores	
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score
SUPPORT FOR PEOPLE WITH CANCER								·		
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about support or self-help groups for people with cancer	566	90%	583	90%		A	90%	85%	91%	88%
Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to day activities	493	83%	490	87%		A	87%	81%	87%	84%
Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help opossible benefits	or 367	65%	385	72%	•	A	73%	58%	68%	63%
Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescription	s 360	82%	366	87%			87%	78%	86%	82%
OPERATIONS										
Q27. Beforehand, patient had all the information needed abou the operation	t 375	94%	350	94%			94%	94%	98%	96%
Q28. Afterwards, staff completely explained how operation had gone in understandable way	d 377	77%	347	73%			74%	75%	83%	79%
HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT										
Q30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient as if patient wasn't there	**	**	359	84%			82%	80%	87%	84%
024 5 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11										

HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT								
Q30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient as if patient wasn't there	**	**	359	84%	82%	80%	87%	84%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	**	**	358	84%	83%	80%	88%	84%
Q32. Patient's family or someone close definitely felt able to talk to a doctor	**	**	286	74%	74%	67%	77%	72%
Q33. Patient had confidence and trust in all the ward nurses treating them	**	**	357	72%	72%	70%	79%	74%
Q34. Patient thought there were always or nearly always enough nurses on duty to care for them	**	**	358	70%	69%	59%	69%	64%
Q35. All hospital staff asked patient what name they prefer to be called by	**	**	355	71%	73%	63%	79%	71%
Q36. Patient always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	**	**	357	84%	84%	81%	88%	85%
Q37. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their inpatient visit	**	**	256	51%	52%	46%	58%	52%
Q38. Hospital staff definitely did everything they could to help control pain	**	**	317	86%	86%	79%	87%	83%
Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	**	**	360	89%	89%	84%	91%	88%
Q40. Patient given clear written information about what should or should not do after leaving hospital	**	**	330	88%	88%	82%	90%	86%
Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after leaving hospital	**	**	346	93%	93%	92%	97%	94%

Comparability Tables

Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper

** No score available for 2018. (2015, 2016, 201								d Score al d Range		
			Unadjust	ed Score	S		Case N	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
HOSPITAL CARE AS A DAY PATIENT / OUTPATIEN	IT									
Q43. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	511	66%	526	66%			67%	67%	74%	71%
Q44. Cancer doctor had the right documents at patient's last outpatient appointment	614	95%	604	97%		•	97%	94%	97%	96%
Q46. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	183	84%	201	82%			82%	82%	91%	86%
Q47. Patient completely given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	134	63%	174	51%			51%	53%	67%	60%
Q49. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	356	82%	337	79%			79%	80%	88%	84%
Q50. Patient given enough information about whether chemotherapy was working in a completely understandable way	323	67%	313	65%			66%	62%	73%	68%
HOME CARE AND SUPPORT										
Q51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all the information needed to help care at home	556	56%	576	61%			62%	56%	64%	60%
Q52. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	358	42%	332	48%		•	48%	45%	59%	52%
Q53. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	220	38%	208	44%			43%	38%	52%	45%

CARE FROM YOUR GENERAL PRACTICE									
Q54. GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	638	95%	613	96%		96%	94%	97%	95%
Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during treatment	474	53%	438	58%	•	58%	53%	63%	58%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE									
Q56. Different people treating and caring for patient always work well together to give best possible care	**	**	679	69%		70%	69%	76%	73%
Q57. Patient given a care plan	552	26%	552	33%		34%	34%	42%	38%
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good or very good	710	88%	711	84%		85%	86%	91%	89%
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about right	710	57%	702	60%		61%	64%	75%	69%
Q60. Someone discussed with patient whether they would like to take part in cancer research	673	28%	687	32%	A :	31%	22%	38%	30%
Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	707	8.6	691	8.7		8.7	8.7	8.9	8.8

·															
SEEING YOUR GP								Tumo	ur Gro	oup					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they	CCG	n.a.	96%	90%	72%	70%	*	*	83%	*	*	71%	78%	80%	81%
needed to go to hospital	National	59%	94%	75%	77%	67%	79%	71%	82%	71%	90%	74%	83%	74%	79%
Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as	CCG	*	92%	89%	71%	81%	*	90%	85%	*	86%	83%	86%	78%	859
necessary	National	79%	89%	83%	81%	82%	81%	84%	86%	69%	85%	79%	85%	79%	849
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS								Tumo	ur Gro	oup					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q5. Received all the information needed about	CCG	*	96%	97%	87%	93%	*	96%	99%	*	*	96%	92%	100%	95%
the test	National	93%	95%	95%	93%	95%	93%	95%	95%	93%	96%	95%	95%	95%	95%
Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be	CCG	*	94%	95%	70%	90%	*	86%	95%	*	*	77%	85%	90%	899
done was about right	National	84%	91%	88%	86%	89%	88%	87%	87%	81%	87%	84%	87%	86%	889
Q7. Test results explained in completely	CCG	*	84%	79%	73%	79%	*	71%	78%	*	*	72%	74%	84%	799
understandable way	National	71%	83%	82%	77%	77%	79%	80%	80%	78%	84%	75%	80%	76%	80%
FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG W	ITH YO	U						Tumo	ur Gro	oup					
Nation		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q10. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	CCG National	* 85%	83% 82%	84% 82%	74% 71%	65% 71%	* 71%	85% 77%	70% 79%	* 73%	* 69%	71% 76%	66% 73%		
Q11. Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	CCG National	*	85%	88%	81%		*	86% 83%		* 84%		83% 81%			
Q12. Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	CCG National	* 66%			71% 73%		* 78%	79% 76%		* 67%	73% 80%	72% 70%			
Q13. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	CCG National	*	81%	72%	64%	80%	*	72%	85%	*	*	83%	69%	69%	769

DECIDING THE BEST TREATMENT FOR	YOU							Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	₩ A
Q14. Patient felt that treatment options were	CCG	*	84%	83%	92%	87%	*	81%	74%	*	*	81%	67%	76%	81%
completely explained	National	85%	85%	85%	85%	82%	87%	84%	83%	83%	89%	81%	83%	79%	83%
Q15. Patient felt possible side effects were	CCG	*	68%	80%	53%	71%	*	72%	67%	*	*	69%	56%	74%	69%
definitely explained in an understandable way	National	69%	74%	76%	75%	69%	73%	74%	73%	73%	77%	72%	71%	70%	73%
Q16. Patient definitely given practical advice and	CCG	*	72%	69%	54%	67%	*	63%	61%	*	*	63%	62%	81%	67%
support in dealing with side effects of treatment	National	63%	70%	70%	69%	65%	70%	69%	65%	66%	71%	66%	63%	64%	679
Q17. Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	CCG	*	48%	57%	46%	51%	*	50%	54%	*	*	48%	50%	54%	519
that could affect them in the future	National	62%	57%	59%	56%	51%	64%	56%	66%	54%	66%	53%	56%	52%	57%
Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they	CCG	*	83%	83%	70%	81%	*	86%	81%	*	77%	73%	79%	80%	80%
wanted in decisions about care and treatment	National	79%	81%	83%	81%	80%	81%	81%	84%	81%	87%	79%	79%	78%	81%
CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST (CNS)								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	naecological	aematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All

CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST (CNS)								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who	CCG	*	98%	90%	94%	94%	*	100%	92%	*	90%	93%	89%	100%	94%
would support them through their treatment	National	95%	95%	92%	95%	92%	91%	94%	91%	91%	91%	93%	85%	89%	92%
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to	CCG	*	74%	91%	84%	85%	*	80%	75%	*	*	89%	87%	83%	82%
contact their CNS	National	86%	84%	88%	85%	87%	86%	86%	80%	86%	90%	85%	83%	83%	85%
Q21. Patient got understandable answers to	CCG	*	83%	92%	83%	85%	*	78%	85%	*	*	89%	89%	92%	86%
important questions all or most of the time	National	82%	87%	89%	86%	89%	88%	86%	87%	87%	93%	86%	87%	86%	87%

SUPPORT FOR PEOPLE WITH CANCER								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about support or self-help groups for people with	CCG	*	98%	91%	85%	89%	*	88%	90%	*	*	77%	94%	86%	90%
cancer	National	92%	92%	88%	87%	86%	88%	87%	91%	86%	90%	88%	81%	83%	88%
Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to	CCG	*	90%	86%	81%	89%	*	*	93%	*	*	*	77%	86%	87%
day activities	National	84%	86%	85%	82%	84%	84%	83%	88%	81%	86%	83%	78%	79%	84%
Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting	CCG	*	87%	70%	*	83%	*	68%	63%	*	*	*	65%	55%	72%
financial help or possible benefits	National	78%	68%	61%	66%	61%	67%	72%	55%	64%	60%	64%	47%	59%	63%
Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get	CCG	*	91%	89%	*	91%	*	*	86%	*	*	*	64%	89%	87%
free prescriptions	National	82%	81%	83%	79%	87%	84%	86%	80%	78%	71%	84%	73%	81%	82%


OPERATIONS								Tumo	ur Gro	oup					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q27. Beforehand, patient had all the information	CCG	*	96%	95%	92%	*	*	*	100%	*	*	*	89%	88%	94%
needed about the operation	National	96%	97%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Q28. Afterwards, staff completely explained how	CCG	*	77%	86%	54%	*	*	*	62%	*	*	*	66%	78%	73%
operation had gone in understandable way	National	76%	79%	83%	79%	78%	79%	79%	78%	80%	82%	79%	76%	77%	79%
HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT								Tumo	ur Gro	oup					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient	CCG	*	93%	79%		82%	*	*	94%	*	*	*	75%	86%	
as if patient wasn't there	National	81%	86%	81%	83%	84%	83%	81%	88%	86%	86%	81%	83%	82%	84%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all	CCG	*		90%			*	*	92%	*	*	*	84%	76%	
doctors treating them	National			85%			87%			86%				80%	
Q32. Patient's family or someone close definitely felt able to talk to a doctor	CCG	*			67%		*	*	76%	*	*	*		70%	
Test able to talk to a doctor	National	6/% *		73%	72%		75%	/4%	72%	71%	74%	73%		69%	
Q33. Patient had confidence and trust in all the ward nurses treating them	CCG			77%			750/		87%					72%	
_	National CCG	/2% *	73%	72%	71% 67%		75%	77%	79% 78%	74%	75%	73%		69% 45%	
Q34. Patient thought there were always or nearly always enough nurses on duty to care for them	National			62%		63%	65%		72%					60%	
	CCG	*		82%	58%		*	*	71%	*	*	*		62%	
Q35. All hospital staff asked patient what name they prefer to be called by	National	68%	62%			72%	71%	76%	72%	74%	70%	78%	76%	69%	
O26 Patient always given enough privacy when	CCG	*		87%			*	*	86%	*	*	*		83%	
Q36. Patient always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	National	78%	84%	85%	81%	86%	87%	84%	88%	84%	84%	84%	85%	82%	
Q37. Patient definitely found hospital staff to	CCG	*	47%	72%	*	50%	*	*	44%	*	*	*	45%	*	51%
discuss worries or fears during their inpatient visit	National	45%	51%	55%	51%	56%	52%	49%	53%	54%	51%	53%	49%	46%	52%
Q38. Hospital staff definitely did everything they	CCG	*	88%	83%	75%	84%	*	*	89%	*	*	*	93%	87%	86%
could to help control pain	National	85%	83%	84%	82%	82%	80%	84%	85%	83%	85%	82%	81%	82%	83%
Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with	CCG	*	93%	90%	88%	86%	*	*	92%	*	*	*	81%	83%	89%
respect and dignity while in hospital	National	85%	87%	87%	85%	89%	87%	88%	91%	89%	89%	88%	90%	86%	88%
Q40. Patient given clear written information about what should or should not do after leaving	CCG	*	93%	91%	83%	90%	*	*	90%	*	*	*	90%	85%	88%
hospital	National	80%	89%	86%	86%	83%	87%	82%	91%	85%	90%	82%	87%	83%	86%
Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after	CCG National	* 94%			91% 93%			* 92%	94%	* 94%	* 95%	* 92%		88% 93%	
leaving hospital	ivational	J 4 /0	0/ در	0/ (0/ دو	JU /0	0/ در	JZ /0	JU /0	J -1 /0	0/ 6	JZ /0	JZ /0	0/ در	J -1 /0

HOSPITAL CARE AS A DAY PATIENT /	OUTPA	TIEN	Т					Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q43. Patient definitely found hospital staff to	CCG	*	67%	68%	50%	68%	*	*	64%	*	*	*	68%	78%	66%
discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	National	66%	68%	73%	70%	73%	72%	70%	74%	72%	72%	71%	67%	68%	71%
Q44. Cancer doctor had the right documents at	CCG	*	95%	100%	97%	97%	*	100%	94%	*	*	100%	100%	100%	97%
patient's last outpatient appointment	National	94%	96%	96%	96%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	96%
Q46. Beforehand patient completely had	CCG	*	86%	*	*	*	*	*	88%	*	*	*	*	*	82%
all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	National	91%	88%	83%	88%	84%	86%	86%	88%	88%	84%	86%	83%	84%	86%
Q47. Patient completely given understandable	CCG	*	46%	*	*	*	*	*	55%	*	n.a.	*	*	*	51%
information about whether radiotherapy was working	National	56%	60%	57%	61%	62%	63%	59%	60%	67%	57%	52%	59%	59%	60%
Q49. Beforehand patient completely had all	CCG	*	70%	79%	73%	79%	*	*	90%	n.a.	*	*	71%	94%	79%
information needed about chemotherapy treatment	National	80%	82%	86%	87%	85%	79%	84%	86%	86%	90%	84%	85%	85%	84%
Q50. Patient given enough information about	CCG	*	57%	56%	71%	72%	*	*	75%	n.a.	*	*	68%	73%	65%
whether chemotherapy was working in a completely understandable way	National	54%	62%	64%	68%	75%	57%	67%	66%	71%	79%	61%	68%	69%	68%


HOME CARE AND SUPPORT Tumour Group															
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all the information needed to help care at home	CCG	*	63%	61%	46%	73%	*	52%	67%	*	*	64%	60%	53%	61%
	National	58%	58%	63%	57%	62%	67%	59%	61%	62%	65%	60%	59%	55%	60%
Q52. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	CCG	*	61%	47%	*	51%	*	*	38%	*	*	*	*	46%	48%
	National	42%	52%	60%	45%	51%	59%	50%	48%	53%	57%	54%	48%	51%	52%
from health or social convises after treatment	CCG	*	58%	52%	*	63%	*	*	35%	*	*	*	*	*	44%
	National	39%	41%	53%	39%	43%	56%	40%	46%	48%	59%	47%	44%	44%	45%


CARE FROM YOUR GENERAL PRACTICE									Tumour Group								
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers		
Q54. GP given enough information about	CCG	*	99%	98%	89%	96%	*	96%	95%	*	*	85%	100%	92%	96%		
patient's condition and treatment	National	91%	96%	95%	95%	96%	94%	94%	96%	94%	96%	93%	95%	94%	95%		
Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during		*	66%	63%	46%	61%	*	*	57%	*	*	*	47%	58%	58%		
treatment	National	55%	58%	59%	56%	56%	59%	56%	64%	56%	65%	59%	59%	55%	58%		

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumour Group											
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All			
Q56. Different people treating and caring for	CCG	*	73%	67%	53%	81%	*	67%	67%	*	73%	50%	63%	72%	69%			
patient always work well together to give best possible care	National	60%	73%	73%	69%	75%	73%	73%	75%	70%	79%	69%	74%	68%	73%			
Q57. Patient given a care plan	CCG	*	37%	33%	17%	40%	*	17%	35%	*	*	32%	33%	20%	33%			
	National	36%	41%	40%	34%	36%	39%	36%	40%	34%	44%	36%	33%	31%	38%			
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good or very good	CCG	*	85%	84%	79%	88%	*	93%	84%	*	86%	86%	79%	80%	84%			
	National	85%	90%	88%	87%	91%	90%	90%	88%	88%	90%	86%	85%	87%	89%			
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about right	CCG	*	55%	65%	31%	59%	*	46%	78%	*	64%	55%	66%	57%	60%			
	National	58%	68%	73%	66%	66%	71%	71%	76%	68%	73%	66%	75%	64%	69%			
Q60. Someone discussed with patient whether they would like to take part in cancer research	CCG	*	31%	41%	56%	35%	*	17%	20%	*	14%	34%	23%	45%	32%			
	National	42%	30%	32%	31%	33%	21%	34%	31%	36%	20%	36%	21%	32%	30%			
Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	CCG	*	8.8	8.6	8.5	8.9	*	8.3	8.7	*	9.0	8.3	8.6	8.7	8.7			
	National	8.6	8.9	8.8	8.7	8.9	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.9	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.8			






















- Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
- ** No score available for these years.














































































- Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
- ** No score available for these years.
















- * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
- ** No score available for these years.






























* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
** No score available for these years.
The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

