

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2019 Results

NHS East Berkshire CCG

Published June 2020

Executive Summary

Case Mix Adjusted scores

Cancer Dashboard Questions

The following seven questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England:

Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good





Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment



Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who would support them through their treatment



Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS



Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with respect and dignity while in hospital



Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after leaving hospital



Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during treatment

Questions Outside Expected Range

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	cores	
	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	90%	77%	87%	82%
Q33. Patient had confidence and trust in all the ward nurses treating them	82%	69%	80%	74%
Q34. Patient thought there were always or nearly always enough nurses on duty to care for them	75%	58%	71%	64%
Q40. Patient given clear written information about what should or should not do after leaving hospital	91%	81%	91%	86%
Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after leaving hospital	98%	91%	97%	94%

	Case	Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q7. Test results explained in completely understandable way	76%	76%	84%	80%	
Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment	77%	77%	85%	81%	

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2019 NHS East Berkshire CCG

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS	78%	81%	89%	85%

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2019 is the ninth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2019 survey involved 143 NHS Trusts. Out of 111,366 people, 67,858 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 61%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2019. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between December 2019 and March 2020.

As in the previous four years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a CCG is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

Scoring methodology

Fifty-two questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q61), scores are presented as the percentage of positive responses out of all scored responses. For Q61, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's presented score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2019 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. Thirty-seven scored questions in 2019 have been compared with those of 2018 and a statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the scored questions that are comparable beyond 2018, statistically significant change over the five years has also been reported where identified. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by sampling variation.

Suppression

Question-level suppression

For scores where the base size per question is <21, the score will be suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (*). The base size will include neutral response options.

Double suppression

If any group within a particular sub-group breakdown (such as the tumour group breakdown) has <21 responses, then the figure for this particular group is suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (*). If there is only one group within the sub-group breakdown that has <21 respondents, and is therefore suppressed, the group with the next lowest number of respondents is also supressed and replaced with an asterisk (*) (regardless if it is greater than or less than 21).

Understanding the results

This report shows how this CCG scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results and previous year's results. It is aimed at helping individual CCGs to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (in grey) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this CCG.

CCGs whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the CCG performs better than what CCGs of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the CCG's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2018 and 2019 unadjusted scores for this CCG for each scored question. If there is a significant change from 2018 and 2019 or overall from 2015 to 2019, an arrow will be presented for the direction of change. The adjusted 2019 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. The national score for that tumour group is also shown. Unadjusted scores for the same tumour type across different CCGs may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show five columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last five years (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019) for each scored question.

Notes on specific questions

Following the development phase of the 2019 survey, several changes were made to the questionnaire. Six scored questions were amended (Q5, Q18, Q30, Q35, Q56 and Q60) and one non-scored question (Q29) was amended that impacted the comparability of questions Q30 to Q41. Of all questions changed or impacted by change, only Q60 is presented with historical comparisons; though the results should be interpreted with caution.

Unadjusted data and case-mix adjusted data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the CCG. Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2019 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2019 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at www.ncpes.co.uk. For all other outputs at National, Trust, CCG and Cancer Alliance level, please see the PDF reports, Excel tables and dashboards at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Response Rate

Overall Response Rate

453 patients responded out of a total of 823 patients, resulting in a response rate of 55%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
CCG	891	823	453	55%
National	119,855	111,366	67,858	61%

Respondents by Survey Type

	Number of Respondents
Online	56
Paper	397
Phone	0
Translation Service	0

Respondents by Tumour Group


	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	1
Breast	108
Colorectal / LGT	60
Gynaecological	25
Haematological	60
Head and Neck	11
Lung	29
Prostate	55
Sarcoma	5
Skin	12
Upper Gastro	11
Urological	47
Other	29

Respondents by Age and Gender


Respondents year of birth has been used to determine age. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the CCG was as follows:

	Age 16-24	Age 25-34	Age 35-44	Age 45-54	Age 55-64	Age 65-74	Age 75-84	Age 85+	Total
Male	1	0	2	16	38	87	67	18	229
Female	0	3	12	45	44	70	42	8	224
Total	1	3	14	61	82	157	109	26	453


Expected Range Charts



Expected Range Charts



Expected Range Charts



Comparability Tables

* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

** No score available for 2018.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper

Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

		ı	Jnadjust			Case N				
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
SEEING YOUR GP										
Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they needed to go to hospital	366	79%	331	78%			78%	74%	83%	79%
Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	518	83%	445	84%			84%	81%	87%	84%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS								
Q5. Received all the information needed about the test	**	**	375	95%	95%	93%	97%	95%
Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	444	89%	379	89%	89%	85%	91%	88%
Q7. Test results explained in completely understandable way	450	79%	381	75%	76%	76%	84%	80%

FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG WITH YOU										
Q10. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	459	70%	410	78%	•	•	78%	72%	82%	77%
Q11. Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	517	84%	449	84%			84%	83%	89%	86%
Q12. Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	517	74%	453	71%			71%	69%	77%	73%
Q13. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	448	78%	404	77%			77%	70%	79%	74%

DECIDING THE BEST TREATMENT FOR YOU								
Q14. Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	456	82%	409	81%	81%	80%	87%	83%
Q15. Patient felt possible side effects were definitely explained in an understandable way	497	75%	439	70%	70%	69%	77%	73%
Q16. Patient definitely given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	488	66%	438	63%	64%	63%	72%	67%
Q17. Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	462	57%	423	53%	53%	52%	61%	57%
Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment	**	**	446	77%	77%	77%	85%	81%

CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST (CNS)										
Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who would support them through their treatment	500	94%	435	94%			94%	89%	95%	92%
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS	421	77%	371	78%		•	78%	81%	89%	85%
Q21. Patient got understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	399	84%	363	87%			86%	84%	91%	87%

Comparability Tables

* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

** No score available for 2018.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

> Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

								d Range	bove opp	
			Unadjust	ed Score	S		Case N	1ix Adjuste	ed Scores	
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score
SUPPORT FOR PEOPLE WITH CANCER										
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about support or self-help groups for people with cancer	377	88%	353	87%		•	87%	85%	91%	88%
Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to day activities	333	83%	290	82%			82%	80%	88%	84%
Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help or possible benefits	250	58%	214	62%		A	62%	56%	69%	63%
Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	238	87%	221	90%		•	90%	77%	87%	82%
OPERATIONS										
Q27. Beforehand, patient had all the information needed about the operation	296	97%	228	96%			96%	94%	99%	96%
Q28. Afterwards, staff completely explained how operation had gone in understandable way	294	77%	228	75%			76%	74%	84%	79%
HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT										
O30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient as if patient	++	44	222	050/			050/	700/	000/	0.40/

HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT								
Q30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient as if patient wasn't there	**	**	232	85%	85%	79%	88%	84%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	**	**	235	84%	84%	79%	88%	84%
Q32. Patient's family or someone close definitely felt able to talk to a doctor	**	**	200	69%	70%	66%	78%	72%
Q33. Patient had confidence and trust in all the ward nurses treating them	**	**	234	82%	82%	69%	80%	74%
Q34. Patient thought there were always or nearly always enough nurses on duty to care for them	**	**	234	75%	75%	58%	71%	64%
Q35. All hospital staff asked patient what name they prefer to be called by	**	**	233	73%	74%	62%	79%	71%
Q36. Patient always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	**	**	233	87%	87%	80%	89%	85%
Q37. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their inpatient visit	**	**	171	56%	56%	44%	59%	52%
Q38. Hospital staff definitely did everything they could to help control pain	**	**	217	84%	84%	78%	88%	83%
Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	**	**	234	91%	91%	84%	92%	88%
Q40. Patient given clear written information about what should or should not do after leaving hospital	**	**	218	91%	91%	81%	91%	86%
Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after leaving hospital	**	**	232	98%	98%	91%	97%	94%

Comparability Tables

Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

** No score available for 2018.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

							- Ap c c c c	a mange		
			Unadjust	ed Score	<u>!</u> S		Case N	Лix Adjuste	ed Scores	
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nation Score
HOSPITAL CARE AS A DAY PATIENT / OUTPATIE	TV									
Q43. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	363	69%	328	66%			67%	66%	75%	71%
Q44. Cancer doctor had the right documents at patient's last outpatient appointment	433	91%	378	96%			95%	94%	98%	96%
Q46. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	164	85%	144	91%			91%	81%	92%	86%
Q47. Patient completely given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	143	62%	123	60%			61%	51%	68%	60%
Q49. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	198	80%	209	86%			86%	79%	89%	84%
Q50. Patient given enough information about whether chemotherapy was working in a completely understandable way	182	66%	198	64%			64%	61%	74%	68%
HOME CARE AND SUPPORT										
O51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all										T

HOME CARE AND SUPPORT								
Q51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all the information needed to help care at home	413	58%	363	59%	59%	55%	65%	60%
Q52. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	253	58%	250	52%	52%	44%	60%	52%
Q53. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	167	46%	163	41%	40%	37%	53%	45%

CARE FROM YOUR GENERAL PRACTICE								
Q54. GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	438	94%	393	97%	97%	93%	97%	95%
Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during treatment	330	59%	298	57%	57%	53%	64%	58%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE									
Q56. Different people treating and caring for patient always work well together to give best possible care	**	**	431	72%		73%	69%	77%	73%
Q57. Patient given a care plan	404	39%	338	40%	A	40%	32%	43%	38%
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good or very good	512	86%	445	91%		91%	86%	92%	89%
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about right	502	67%	435	69%		69%	63%	76%	69%
Q60. Someone discussed with patient whether they would like to take part in cancer research	480	27%	411	24%		23%	22%	39%	30%
Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	504	8.7	440	8.7		8.7	8.7	8.9	8.8

triari z i responses.															
SEEING YOUR GP								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they	CCG	n.a.	95%	79%	*	56%	*	*	85%	*	*	*	83%	*	78%
needed to go to hospital	National	59%	94%	75%	77%	67%	79%	71%	82%	71%	90%	74%	83%	74%	79%
Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as	CCG	*	92%	81%	72%	88%	*	93%	84%	*	*	*	80%	81%	84%
necessary	National	79%	89%	83%	81%	82%	81%	84%	86%	69%	85%	79%	85%	79%	84%
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS								Tumo	ur Gro	un					
Direction (EDID		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q5. Received all the information needed about	CCG	*	96%	96%	86%	92%	*	100%	100%	*	*	*	97%	82%	95%
the test	National	93%	95%	95%	93%	95%	93%	95%	95%	93%	96%	95%	95%	95%	95%
Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	CCG	*	89%	90%	76%	88%	*	96%	91%	*	*	*	100%	86%	89%
done was about right	National	84%	91%	88%	86%	89%	88%	87%	87%	81%	87%	84%	87%	86%	88%
Q7. Test results explained in completely	CCG	*	75%	83%	52%	67%	*	89%	77%	*	*	*	80%	55%	75%
understandable way	National	71%	83%	82%	77%	77%	79%	80%	80%	78%	84%	75%	80%	76%	80%
FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG W	/ITH VO							Tumo	ur Gro	un					
INDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG WITH YO		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q10. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	CCG National	* 85%		85% 82%	79% 71%	65% 71%	* 71%	79% 77%	85% 79%	* 73%	* 69%	* 76%	79% 73%	86% 75%	
Q11. Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	CCG National	*	92%	88%	72%	75%	*	86%	93%	*	*	*	79%	69%	84%
Q12. Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	CCG National	* 66%		75% 79%			* 78%	83% 76%		* 67%	* 80%	* 70%		59% 70%	
Q13. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	CCG National	* 66%		81% 73%			* 69%		84% 83%	* 67%	* 84%	* 67%		63% 65%	

DECIDING THE BEST TREATMENT FOR	YOU							Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	₩ Y
Q14. Patient felt that treatment options were	CCG	*	82%	79%	82%	75%	*	79%	85%	*	*	*	93%	63%	81%
completely explained	National	85%	85%	85%	85%	82%	87%	84%	83%	83%	89%	81%	83%	79%	83%
Q15. Patient felt possible side effects were	CCG	*	79%	69%	80%	67%	*	69%	73%	*	*	*	59%	54%	70%
definitely explained in an understandable way	National	69%	74%	76%	75%	69%	73%	74%	73%	73%	77%	72%	71%	70%	73%
Q16. Patient definitely given practical advice and	CCG	*	64%	61%	67%	60%	*	72%	69%	*	*	*	61%	52%	63%
support in dealing with side effects of treatment	National	63%	70%	70%	69%	65%	70%	69%	65%	66%	71%	66%	63%	64%	67%
Q17. Patient definitely told about side effects	CCG	*	57%	44%	46%	44%	*	62%	67%	*	*	*	48%	50%	53%
that could affect them in the future	National	62%	57%	59%	56%	51%	64%	56%	66%	54%	66%	53%	56%	52%	57%
Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they	CCG	*	73%	77%	72%	78%	*	79%	84%	*	*	*	86%	61%	77%
wanted in decisions about care and treatment	National	79%	81%	83%	81%	80%	81%	81%	84%	81%	87%	79%	79%	78%	81%

CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST (CNS)								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who	CCG	*	97%	98%	92%	93%	*	93%	98%	*	*	*	87%	88%	94%
would support them through their treatment	National	95%	95%	92%	95%	92%	91%	94%	91%	91%	91%	93%	85%	89%	92%
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to	CCG	*	67%	78%	82%	80%	*	95%	77%	*	*	*	88%	74%	78%
contact their CNS	National	86%	84%	88%	85%	87%	86%	86%	80%	86%	90%	85%	83%	83%	85%
Q21. Patient got understandable answers to	CCG	*	84%	91%	*	85%	*	76%	89%	*	*	*	91%	82%	87%
important questions all or most of the time	National	82%	87%	89%	86%	89%	88%	86%	87%	87%	93%	86%	87%	86%	87%

SUPPORT FOR PEOPLE WITH CANCER								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about support or self-help groups for people with	CCG	*	88%	88%	*	79%	*	88%	96%	*	*	*	94%	73%	87%
cancer	National	92%	92%	88%	87%	86%	88%	87%	91%	86%	90%	88%	81%	83%	88%
Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to	CCG	*	87%	85%	*	73%	*	*	95%	*	*	*	77%	*	82%
day activities	National	84%	86%	85%	82%	84%	84%	83%	88%	81%	86%	83%	78%	79%	84%
Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting	CCG	*	72%	48%	*	56%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
financial help or possible benefits	National	78%	68%	61%	66%	61%	67%	72%	55%	64%	60%	64%	47%	59%	63%
Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get	CCG	*	90%	89%	*	90%	*	*	96%	*	*	*	*	*	90%
free prescriptions	National	82%	81%	83%	79%	87%	84%	86%	80%	78%	71%	84%	73%	81%	82%


OPERATIONS								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q27. Beforehand, patient had all the information	CCG	*	99%	98%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	96%	*	96%
needed about the operation	National	96%	97%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Q28. Afterwards, staff completely explained how	CCG	*	81%	81%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%	*	75%
operation had gone in understandable way	National	76%	79%	83%	79%	78%	79%	79%	78%	80%	82%	79%	76%	77%	79%
HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient	CCG	*	90%	86%	*	91%	*	*	*	*	*	*	79%	*	85%
as if patient wasn't there	National	81%	86%	81%	83%	84%	83%	81%	88%	86%	86%	81%	83%	82%	84%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all	CCG	*	85%	83%	*	84%	*	*	*	*	*	*	97%	*	84%
doctors treating them	National	82%	83%	85%	83%	82%	87%	83%	89%	86%	85%	81%	85%	80%	84%
Q32. Patient's family or someone close definitely	CCG	*	68%	83%	*	62%	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%	*	69%
felt able to talk to a doctor	National	67%	72%	73%	72%	74%	75%	74%	72%	71%	74%	73%	71%	69%	72%
Q33. Patient had confidence and trust in all the	CCG	*	86%	71%	*	84%	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%	*	82%
ward nurses treating them	National	72%	73%	72%	71%	77%	75%	77%	79%	74%	75%	73%	77%	69%	74%
Q34. Patient thought there were always or nearly	CCG	*	79%	74%	*	84%	*	*	*	*	*	*	66%	*	75%
always enough nurses on duty to care for them	National	68%	64%	62%	63%	63%	65%	68%	72%	65%	70%	65%	66%	60%	64%
Q35. All hospital staff asked patient what name	CCG	*	79%	76%	*	72%	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%	*	73%
they prefer to be called by	National	68%	62%	74%	65%	72%	71%	76%	72%	74%	70%	78%	76%	69%	71%
Q36. Patient always given enough privacy when	CCG	*	86%	88%	*	97%	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%	*	87%
discussing condition or treatment	National	78%	84%	85%	81%	86%	87%	84%	88%	84%	84%	84%	85%	82%	85%
O37. Patient definitely found hospital staff to	CCG	*	51%	61%	*	63%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
discuss worries or fears during their inpatient visit	National	45%	51%	55%	51%	56%	52%	49%	53%	54%	51%	53%	49%	46%	52%
Q38. Hospital staff definitely did everything they	CCG	*	83%	93%	*	78%	*	*	*	*	*	*	96%	*	84%
could to help control pain	National	85%	83%	84%	82%	82%	80%	84%	85%	83%	85%	82%	81%	82%	83%
Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with	CCG	*	90%	88%	*	91%	*	*	*	*	*	*	97%	*	91%
respect and dignity while in hospital	National	85%	87%	87%	85%	89%	87%	88%	91%	89%	89%	88%	90%	86%	88%
Q40. Patient given clear written information	CCG	*	96%	90%	*	80%	*	*	*	*	*	*	93%	*	91%
about what should or should not do after leaving hospital	National	80%	89%	86%	86%	83%	87%	82%	91%	85%	90%	82%	87%	83%	86%
Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after	CCG	*	100%	95%		100%		*	*	*	*		100%		98%
leaving hospital	National	94%	95%	95%	93%	96%	93%	92%	96%	94%	95%	92%	92%	93%	94%

HOSPITAL CARE AS A DAY PATIENT /	OUTPA	TIEN	Т					Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q43. Patient definitely found hospital staff to	CCG	*	64%	70%	68%	64%	*	61%	74%	*	*	*	63%	55%	66%
discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	National	66%	68%	73%	70%	73%	72%	70%	74%	72%	72%	71%	67%	68%	71%
Q44. Cancer doctor had the right documents at	CCG	*	98%	91%	96%	98%	*	96%	98%	*	*	*	92%	88%	96%
patient's last outpatient appointment	National	94%	96%	96%	96%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	96%
Q46. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about radiotherapy	CCG	*	92%	*	*	*	*	*	93%	*	n.a.	*	*	*	91%
treatment	National	91%	88%	83%	88%	84%	86%	86%	88%	88%	84%	86%	83%	84%	86%
Q47. Patient completely given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was	CCG	*	60%	*	*	*	*	*	65%	*	n.a.	*	*	*	60%
working	National	56%	60%	57%	61%	62%	63%	59%	60%	67%	57%	52%	59%	59%	60%
Q49. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about chemotherapy	CCG	*	87%	90%	*	87%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	86%
treatment	National	80%	82%	86%	87%	85%	79%	84%	86%	86%	90%	84%	85%	85%	84%
Q50. Patient given enough information about whether chemotherapy was working in a	CCG	*	53%	68%	*	69%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
completely understandable way	National	54%	62%	64%	68%	75%	57%	67%	66%	71%	79%	61%	68%	69%	68%


HOME CARE AND SUPPORT		Tumour Group													
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
someone close all the information needed to	CCG	*	58%	65%	55%	64%	*	56%	60%	*	*	*	52%	50%	59%
	National	58%	58%	63%	57%	62%	67%	59%	61%	62%	65%	60%	59%	55%	60%
Q52. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	CCG	*	54%	69%	*	54%	*	*	36%	*	*	*	*	*	52%
	National	42%	52%	60%	45%	51%	59%	50%	48%	53%	57%	54%	48%	51%	52%
Q53. Patient definitely given enough support	CCG	*	34%	57%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	41%
from health or social services after treatment	National	39%	41%	53%	39%	43%	56%	40%	46%	48%	59%	47%	44%	44%	45%


CARE FROM YOUR GENERAL PRACTICE										Tumour Group								
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers			
Q54. GP given enough information about	CCG	*	98%	96%	95%	95%	*	100%	96%	*	*	*	100%	96%	97%			
patient's condition and treatment	National	91%	96%	95%	95%	96%	94%	94%	96%	94%	96%	93%	95%	94%	95%			
everything they could to support patient during	CCG	*	56%	54%	*	46%	*	62%	58%	*	*	*	72%	*	57%			
	National	55%	58%	59%	56%	56%	59%	56%	64%	56%	65%	59%	59%	55%	58%			

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumour Group											
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All			
Q56. Different people treating and caring for	CCG	*	73%	68%	63%	64%	*	86%	79%	*	*	*	77%	68%	72%			
patient always work well together to give best possible care	National	60%	73%	73%	69%	75%	73%	73%	75%	70%	79%	69%	74%	68%	73%			
Q57. Patient given a care plan	CCG	*	52%	37%	*	22%	*	48%	50%	*	*	*	42%	36%	40%			
	National	36%	41%	40%	34%	36%	39%	36%	40%	34%	44%	36%	33%	31%	38%			
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good or very good	CCG	*	94%	88%	88%	92%	*	93%	91%	*	*	*	89%	86%	91%			
	National	85%	90%	88%	87%	91%	90%	90%	88%	88%	90%	86%	85%	87%	89%			
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about right	CCG	*	69%	71%	56%	62%	*	68%	74%	*	*	*	87%	55%	69%			
	National	58%	68%	73%	66%	66%	71%	71%	76%	68%	73%	66%	75%	64%	69%			
Q60. Someone discussed with patient whether they would like to take part in cancer research	CCG	*	14%	20%	57%	35%	*	19%	22%	*	*	*	9%	38%	24%			
	National	42%	30%	32%	31%	33%	21%	34%	31%	36%	20%	36%	21%	32%	30%			
Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	CCG	*	8.7	8.6	8.7	8.6	*	8.7	8.7	*	*	*	8.7	8.3	8.7			
	National	8.6	8.9	8.8	8.7	8.9	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.9	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.8			






















- * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
- ** No score available for these years.



















** No score available for these years.



















** No score available for these years.








































- * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
- ** No score available for these years.
















- * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
- ** No score available for these years.






























* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses. ** No score available for these years. The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

