

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2019 Results

Somerset, Wiltshire, Avon and Gloucestershire

Published June 2020

Case Mix Adjusted scores

Executive Summary

Cancer Dashboard Questions

The following seven questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England:

Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good





Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment



Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who would support them through their treatment



Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS



Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with respect and dignity while in hospital



Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after leaving hospital



Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during treatment

Questions Outside Expected Range

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	90%	87%	89%	88%
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about support or self-help groups for people with cancer	92%	85%	91%	88%
Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to day activities	87%	82%	86%	84%
Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help or possible benefits	71%	59%	66%	63%
Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	85%	80%	84%	82%
Q37. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their inpatient visit	55%	49%	55%	52%
Q54. GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	96%	94%	96%	95%
Q56. Different people treating and caring for patient always work well together to give best possible care	76%	70%	75%	73%
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about right	74%	66%	73%	69%

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2019 is the ninth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2019 survey involved 143 NHS Trusts. Out of 111,366 people, 67,858 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 61%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2019. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between December 2019 and March 2020.

As in the previous four years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Cancer Alliance is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

Scoring methodology

Fifty-two questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q61), scores are presented as the percentage of positive responses out of all scored responses. For Q61, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's presented score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2019 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. Thirty-seven scored questions in 2019 have been compared with those of 2018 and a statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the scored questions that are comparable beyond 2018, statistically significant change over the five years has also been reported where identified. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by sampling variation.

Suppression

Question-level suppression

For scores where the base size per question is <21, the score will be suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (*). The base size will include neutral response options.

Double suppression

If any group within a particular sub-group breakdown (such as the tumour group breakdown) has <21 responses, then the figure for this particular group is suppressed and replaced with an asterisk (*). If there is only one group within the sub-group breakdown that has <21 respondents, and is therefore suppressed, the group with the next

lowest number of respondents is also supressed and replaced with an asterisk (*) (regardless if it is greater than or less than 21).

Understanding the results

This report shows how this Alliance scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results and previous year's results. It is aimed at helping individual Alliances to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (in grey) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Alliance.

Alliances whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Alliance performs better than what Alliances of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Alliance's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2018 and 2019 unadjusted scores for this Alliance for each scored question. If there is a significant change from 2018 and 2019 or overall from 2016 to 2019, an arrow will be presented for the direction of change. The adjusted 2019 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. The national score for that tumour group is also shown. Unadjusted scores for the same tumour type across different Alliances may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show four columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last four years (2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019) for each scored question.

Notes on specific questions

Following the development phase of the 2019 survey, several changes were made to the questionnaire. Six scored questions were amended (Q5, Q18, Q30, Q35, Q56 and Q60) and one non-scored question (Q29) was amended that impacted the comparability of questions Q30 to Q41. Of all questions changed or impacted by change, only Q60 is presented with historical comparisons; though the results should be interpreted with caution.

Unadjusted data and case-mix adjusted data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Alliance. Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2019 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2019 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at www.ncpes.co.uk. For all other outputs at National, Trust, CCG and Cancer Alliance level, please see the PDF reports, Excel tables and dashboards at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Response Rate

Overall Response Rate

3,899 patients responded out of a total of 5,951 patients, resulting in a response rate of 66%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Alliance	6,362	5,951	3,899	66%
National	119,855	111,366	67,858	61%

Respondents by Survey Type

	Number of Respondents
Online	384
Paper	3,515
Phone	0
Translation Service	0

Respondents by Tumour Group


	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	18
Breast	912
Colorectal / LGT	430
Gynaecological	204
Haematological	630
Head and Neck	120
Lung	220
Prostate	435
Sarcoma	28
Skin	127
Upper Gastro	141
Urological	250
Other	384

Respondents by Age and Gender


Respondents year of birth has been used to determine age. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the Alliance was as follows:

	Age 16-24	Age 25-34	Age 35-44	Age 45-54	Age 55-64	Age 65-74	Age 75-84	Age 85+	Total
Male	4	11	26	108	322	669	507	105	1,752
Female	10	18	98	299	488	692	463	79	2,147
Total	14	29	124	407	810	1,361	970	184	3,899


Expected Range Charts



Expected Range Charts



Expected Range Charts



Comparability Tables

Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper

(2016 2017 2018 and 2010)	d Range
Adjusted Score ab Expected Range	

				1.0			Expecte		1.6	
	2018 n	2018 Score	Unadjust 2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018-	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected	Upper Expected	Nationa Score
SEEING YOUR GP					2019			Range	Range	
Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they needed to go to hospital	3223	77%	2796	80%			80%	77%	80%	79%
Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	4378	84%	3783	85%			84%	83%	85%	84%
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS										
Q5. Received all the information needed about the test	**	**	3219	95%			95%	94%	96%	95%
Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	3829	88%	3278	90%			90%	87%	89%	88%
Q7. Test results explained in completely understandable way	3841	80%	3302	81%			81%	78%	82%	80%
FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG WITH YOU										
Q10. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	4008	75%	3543	75%			76%	73%	81%	77%
Q11. Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	4408	85%	3840	86%			86%	85%	87%	86%
Q12. Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	4457	75%	3854	74%			74%	71%	75%	73%
Q13. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	3847	75%	3403	76%			76%	72%	76%	74%
DECIDING THE BEST TREATMENT FOR YOU										
Q14. Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	3871	83%	3402	84%			84%	82%	85%	83%
Q15. Patient felt possible side effects were definitely explained in an understandable way	4263	73%	3667	74%			74%	71%	74%	73%
Q16. Patient definitely given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	4238	69%	3665	70%			70%	64%	70%	67%
Q17. Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	3972	56%	3455	58%		A	59%	55%	59%	57%
Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they wanted in decisions about care and treatment	**	**	3780	83%			82%	79%	83%	81%
CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST (CNS)										
Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who would support them through their treatment	4293	90%	3709	94%	A	A	94%	90%	94%	92%
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their CNS	3413	87%	3133	87%			87%	81%	89%	85%
Q21. Patient got understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	3267	90%	3003	89%			88%	85%	90%	87%

Comparability Tables

Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions

Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower **Expected Range** Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper

Expected Range

85%

80%

84%

82%

** No score available for 2018.

possible benefits

	Unadjusted Scores							Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
SUPPORT FOR PEOPLE WITH CANCER											
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about support or self-help groups for people with cancer	3525	90%	3239	92%		•	92%	85%	91%	88%	
Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to day activities	3006	86%	2656	87%		A	87%	82%	86%	84%	
Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help or	2179	66%	1975	71%	A	A	71%	59%	66%	63%	

85%

1797

OPERATIONS									
Q27. Beforehand, patient had all the information needed about the operation			2101	97%		97%	95%	97%	96%
Q28. Afterwards, staff completely explained how operation had gone in understandable way	2318	80%	2094	82%		81%	77%	82%	79%

85%

1976

HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT								
Q30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient as if patient wasn't there	**	**	1860	83%	83%	81%	86%	84%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	**	**	1862	84%	84%	82%	86%	84%
Q32. Patient's family or someone close definitely felt able to talk to a doctor	**	**	1567	71%	71%	70%	75%	72%
Q33. Patient had confidence and trust in all the ward nurses treating them	**	**	1864	76%	76%	71%	77%	74%
Q34. Patient thought there were always or nearly always enough nurses on duty to care for them	**	**	1859	69%	68%	60%	69%	64%
Q35. All hospital staff asked patient what name they prefer to be called by	**	**	1841	75%	75%	65%	77%	71%
Q36. Patient always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	**	**	1869	86%	86%	83%	86%	85%
Q37. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their inpatient visit	**	**	1338	55%	55%	49%	55%	52%
Q38. Hospital staff definitely did everything they could to help control pain	**	**	1653	85%	84%	81%	85%	83%
Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	**	**	1870	89%	89%	85%	90%	88%
Q40. Patient given clear written information about what should or should not do after leaving hospital	**	**	1713	86%	86%	84%	88%	86%
Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after leaving hospital	**	**	1784	96%	95%	92%	96%	94%

Comparability Tables

 Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

** No score available for 2018.



Change 2018-2019: Indicates where 2019 score is significantly higher or lower than 2018 score Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper

and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

				1.0			6 1			
			Unadjust	ed Score			Case N	1ix Adjuste	ed Scores	
	2018 n	2018 Score	2019 n	2019 Score	Change 2018- 2019	Change Overall	2019 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
HOSPITAL CARE AS A DAY PATIENT / OUTPATIE	T									
Q43. Patient definitely found hospital staff to discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	3262	72%	2796	71%			71%	68%	73%	71%
Q44. Cancer doctor had the right documents at patient's last outpatient appointment	3915	96%	3339	96%			96%	95%	97%	96%
Q46. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	1221	86%	1082	84%			84%	84%	89%	86%
Q47. Patient completely given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	1017	60%	933	62%			62%	57%	63%	60%
Q49. Beforehand patient completely had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	2295	84%	1809	84%			84%	83%	86%	84%
Q50. Patient given enough information about whether chemotherapy was working in a completely understandable way	2075	69%	1668	69%			69%	65%	70%	68%

HOME CARE AND SUPPORT									
Q51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all the information needed to help care at home	3565	61%	3093	62%	A	62%	56%	63%	60%
Q52. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	2037	55%	1755	54%		54%	46%	58%	52%
Q53. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	1206	46%	1130	49%	A	49%	38%	52%	45%

CARE FROM YOUR GENERAL PRACTICE									
Q54. GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	3696	96%	3225	96%		96%	94%	96%	95%
Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during treatment	2996	62%	2567	61%	•	61%	55%	62%	58%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE										
Q56. Different people treating and caring for patient always work well together to give best possible care	**	**	3687	76%			76%	70%	75%	73%
Q57. Patient given a care plan	3442	34%	2947	38%	•	•	39%	33%	42%	38%
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good or very good	4407	89%	3823	90%			90%	87%	91%	89%
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about right	4377	71%	3786	74%			74%	66%	73%	69%
Q60. Someone discussed with patient whether they would like to take part in cancer research	4180	31%	3592	34%		A	34%	23%	37%	30%
Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	4347	8.9	3765	8.9			8.9	8.7	8.9	8.8

* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

Alliance	triari z i responses.															
Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they neted to go to hospital National 59% 94% 75% 77% 67% 77% 7	SEEING YOUR GP								Tumo	ur Gro	oup					
National 59% 94% 75% 77% 67% 79% 71% 82% 71% 90% 74% 83% 74% 79% 79% 71% 82% 71% 90% 74% 83% 74% 79% 79% 79% 71% 82% 71% 90% 74% 83% 74% 79% 79% 79% 71% 82% 71% 90% 74% 83% 74% 79% 85% 79% 84% 84% 84% 84% 84% 84% 84% 84% 84% 84			Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Alliance * 88% 82% 85% 83% 82% 85% 85% 86% * 88% 81% 88% 79% 85% 79% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85	Q1. Saw GP once or twice before being told they	Alliance	*	94%	72%	79%	70%	79%	74%	81%	*	88%	82%	81%	80%	80%
National 79% 89% 83% 81% 82% 81% 84% 86% 69% 85% 79% 85% 79% 849 849 849 85% 79% 849 849 849 85% 79% 849 849 849 849 849 849 849 849 849 849	needed to go to hospital		59%	94%	75%	77%	67%	79%	71%	82%	71%	90%	74%	83%	74%	79%
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS Tumour Group Alliance * 96% 95% 94% 96% 95% 93% 95% 93% 95% 93% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95	Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as	Alliance	*	88%	82%							88%	81%	88%	79%	85%
Alliance Section Sec	necessary	National	79%	89%	83%	81%	82%	81%	84%	86%	69%	85%	79%	85%	79%	84%
QS. Received all the information needed about the test to be the test to be done was about right QG. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right QG. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right QG. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right QG. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right QG. Test results explained in completely QG. Test results expla	DIAGNOSTIC TESTS								Tumo	ur Gro	oup					
National 93% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95			Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Off. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right National 84% 91% 88% 86% 89% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 84% 87% 86% 88% 89% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 84% 87% 86% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 84% 87% 86% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 84% 87% 86% 88% 87% 87% 87% 81% 87% 84% 87% 86% 88% 87% 87% 87% 81% 87% 84% 87% 86% 88% 87% 87% 87% 87% 87% 87% 87% 87% 87		Alliance	*	96%	95%	94%	96%	95%	96%	94%	*	97%	91%	96%	96%	95%
National 84% 91% 88% 86% 89% 88% 87% 87% 81% 87% 84% 87% 86% 889 889 88% 87% 87% 87% 84% 87% 86% 889 889 88% 87% 87% 87% 84% 87% 86% 889 889 889 88% 87% 87% 87% 84% 87% 889 889 889 889 889 889 889 889 889 88	the test	National	93%	95%	95%	93%	95%	93%	95%	95%	93%	96%	95%	95%	95%	95%
Alliance * 84% 81% 80% 81% 78% 82% 82% * 90% 73% 78% 81% 80% 81% 77% 79% 80% 80% 75% 80% 75% 80% 76% 80% 75% 75% 75% 75% 75% 75% 75% 75% 75% 75	Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be	Alliance	*	91%	90%	93%	92%	96%	91%	88%	*	90%	83%	91%	86%	90%
National 71% 83% 82% 77% 77% 79% 80% 80% 78% 84% 75% 80% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76	done was about right	National	84%								81%					
FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG WITH YOU Tumour Group Alliance * 81% 80% 73% 68% 76% 73% 76% * 79% 78% 72% 73% 75% 77% 79% 73% 66% 88% * 90% 80% 86% 83% 86% 84% 89% 81% 84% 83% 86% 84% 89% 81% 84% 83% 86% 84% 89% 81% 84% 83% 86% 84% 89% 81% 84% 83% 86% 82% 74% 81% * 82% 74% 82% 68% 74% 813	Q7. Test results explained in completely	Alliance				80%										81%
1	understandable way	National	71%	83%	82%	77%	77%	79%	80%	80%	78%	84%	75%	80%	76%	80%
Q10. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer National 85% 82% 82% 71% 71% 71% 77% 79% 73% 69% 76% 73% 75% 77% 79% 73% 69% 76% 73% 75% 77% 79% 73% 69% 76% 73% 75% 77% 79% 73% 69% 76% 73% 75% 77% 79% 73% 69% 76% 73% 75% 77% 79% 73% 69% 76% 73% 75% 77% 79% 73% 69% 76% 73% 75% 77% 78% 74% 84% 84% 84% 88% 86% 88% * 90% 80% 86% 83% 86% 84% 89% 81% 84% 83% 86% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 74% 82% 68% 74% 82% 84% 84% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85	FINDING OUT WHAT WAS WRONG W	/ITH YO	U						Tumo	ur Gro	oup					
Mational 85% 82% 82% 71% 71% 77% 79% 73% 69% 76% 73% 75% 779 79% 73% 69% 76% 73% 75% 779 79% 79% 79% 79% 79% 79% 79% 79% 79				Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Alliance * 88% 87% 84% 84% 88% 86% 88% * 90% 80% 86% 83% 869 869 87% 84% 84% 85% 869 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 87% 82% 84% 85% 869 81% 84% 83% 869 81% 84% 83% 869 81% 84% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85	Q10. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer															
National 66% 77% 79% 73% 60% 78% 76% 79% 67% 80% 70% 77% 70% 73% 61% 78% 78% 78% 78% 78% 78% 78% 78% 78% 78	Q11. Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer		*	88%	87%						*					
213. Fatient given easy to understand written	Q12. Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong															
	Q13. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had															

Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less n a Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group

DECIDING THE BEST TREATMENT FOR	YOU							Tumo	ur Gro	oup					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	₩ V
Q14. Patient felt that treatment options were	Alliance	*	85%	84%	84%	82%	90%	84%	84%	*	91%	80%	86%	77%	849
completely explained	National	85%	85%	85%	85%	82%	87%	84%	83%	83%	89%	81%	83%	79%	839
Q15. Patient felt possible side effects were	Alliance	*	75%	75%	74%	73%	74%	77%	73%	*	80%	69%	73%	71%	74
definitely explained in an understandable way	National	69%	74%	76%	75%	69%	73%	74%	73%	73%	77%	72%	71%	70%	73
Q16. Patient definitely given practical advice and	Alliance	*	72%	69%	72%	70%	69%	73%	67%	*	82%	64%	64%	67%	70
support in dealing with side effects of treatment	National	63%	70%	70%	69%	65%	70%	69%	65%	66%	71%	66%	63%	64%	67
Q17. Patient definitely told about side effects	Alliance	*	57%	57%	61%	55%	60%	61%	67%	*	72%	48%	57%	56%	58
that could affect them in the future	National	62%	57%	59%	56%	51%	64%	56%	66%	54%	66%	53%	56%	52%	57
Q18. Patient definitely involved as much as they	Alliance	*	81%	84%	82%	84%	79%	84%	84%	*	91%	75%	81%	80%	83
wanted in decisions about care and treatment	National	79%	81%	83%	81%	80%	81%	81%	84%	81%	87%	79%	79%	78%	81
								_							
CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST (CNS)								Tumo	ur Gro	oup					1
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	IIA
Q19. Patient given the name of a CNS who	Alliance	*	96%	95%	95%	94%	94%	98%	93%	*	91%	95%	88%	91%	94
would support them through their treatment	National	95%	95%	92%	95%	92%	91%	94%	91%	91%	91%	93%	85%	89%	92
Q20. Patient found it very or quite easy to	Alliance	*	85%	91%	88%	90%	87%	89%	83%	*	97%	82%	84%	85%	87
contact their CNS	National	86%	84%	88%	85%	87%	86%	86%	80%	86%	90%	85%	83%	83%	85
Q21. Patient got understandable answers to	Alliance	*	89%	88%	84%	90%	89%	92%	91%	*	97%	82%	89%	86%	89
mportant questions all or most of the time	National	82%	87%	89%	86%	89%	88%	86%	87%	87%	93%	86%	87%	86%	87
SUPPORT FOR PEOPLE WITH CANCER								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	Ψ
			050/	91%	90%	94%	96%	91%	92%	*	96%	92%	84%	87%	92
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about	Alliance	*	95%	5 . , 0											
support or self-help groups for people with	Alliance National				87%	86%	88%	87%	91%	86%	90%	88%	81%	83%	88
support or self-help groups for people with cancer Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information			92%	88%				87% 87%		86%			81% 80%		
support or self-help groups for people with cancer Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to	National	92%	92% 89%	88% 86%	88%	90%	90%	87%	90%	*	92%	80%	80%	81%	87
support or self-help groups for people with cancer Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to day activities	National Alliance National	92%	92% 89% 86%	88% 86% 85%	88% 82%	90% 84%	90% 84%	87% 83%	90% 88%	*	92% 86%	80% 83%	80% 78%	81% 79%	87 84
support or self-help groups for people with cancer Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to day activities Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting	National Alliance National Alliance	92% * 84% *	92% 89% 86% 75%	88% 86% 85% 69%	88% 82% 69%	90% 84% 73%	90% 84% 80%	87% 83% 78%	90% 88% 68%	* 81% *	92% 86% 76%	80% 83% 69%	80% 78% 49%	81% 79% 67%	87 84 71
Q22. Hospital staff gave information about support or self-help groups for people with cancer Q23. Hospital staff discussed or gave information about the impact cancer could have on day to day activities Q24. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help or possible benefits Q25. Hospital staff told patient they could get	National Alliance National	92% * 84% *	92% 89% 86% 75% 68%	88% 86% 85% 69% 61%	88% 82% 69% 66%	90% 84% 73% 61%	90% 84% 80% 67%	87% 83%	90% 88% 68% 55%	* 81% *	92% 86% 76% 60%	80% 83% 69% 64%	80% 78%	81% 79% 67% 59%	87 84 71 63

Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.

n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

OPERATIONS								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q27. Beforehand, patient had all the information	Alliance	*	97%	97%	97%	91%	97%	100%	98%	*	98%	93%	95%	97%	97%
needed about the operation	National	96%	97%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	95%	95%	96%
Q28. Afterwards, staff completely explained how	Alliance	*	81%	85%	83%	81%	80%	84%	82%	*	84%	76%	80%	81%	82%
operation had gone in understandable way	National	76%	79%	83%	79%	78%	79%	79%	78%	80%	82%	79%	76%	77%	79%
HOSPITAL CARE AS AN INPATIENT								Tumo	ur Gro	oup					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q30. Hospital staff didn't talk in front of patient	Alliance	*	84%	80%	77%	85%	82%	83%	88%	*	100%	77%	87%	82%	83%
as if patient wasn't there	National	81%	86%	81%			83%		88%	86%	86%	81%	83%	82%	84%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all	Alliance	*		86%				87%		*		82%		79%	
doctors treating them	National		83%			82%			89%				85%		
Q32. Patient's family or someone close definitely felt able to talk to a doctor	Alliance	*		69%					72%	*			71%		
	National	67%	72%	73%	72%	74%	75%	74%	72%	71%	74%	73%	71%	69%	
Q33. Patient had confidence and trust in all the ward nurses treating them	Alliance		71%	76%	73%				82%		85%			67%	
-	National Alliance	72%	73% 59%	72%	71%		75%		79% 78%	74%			77% 74%		
Q34. Patient thought there were always or nearly always enough nurses on duty to care for them	National			62%			65%		72%				66%		
	Alliance	*	68%		72%				73%	*		77%		74%	
Q35. All hospital staff asked patient what name they prefer to be called by	National	68%	62%	74%		72%	71%		72%	74%	70%	78%		69%	
Q36. Patient always given enough privacy when	Alliance	*	82%	88%					90%	*	89%	81%	86%	86%	86%
discussing condition or treatment	National	78%	84%	85%	81%	86%	87%	84%	88%	84%	84%	84%	85%	82%	85%
Q37. Patient definitely found hospital staff to	Alliance	*	50%	58%	48%	64%	59%	62%	61%	*	67%	45%	53%	48%	55%
discuss worries or fears during their inpatient visit	National	45%	51%	55%	51%	56%	52%	49%	53%	54%	51%	53%	49%	46%	52%
Q38. Hospital staff definitely did everything they	Alliance	*	81%	85%	89%	88%	82%	87%	84%	*	73%	84%	79%	90%	85%
could to help control pain	National	85%	83%	84%	82%	82%	80%	84%	85%	83%	85%	82%	81%	82%	83%
Q39. Patient always felt they were treated with	Alliance	*	85%	89%	88%	92%	87%	90%	94%	*	96%	85%	92%	84%	89%
respect and dignity while in hospital	National	85%								89%	89%	88%	90%	86%	88%
Q40. Patient given clear written information about what should or should not do after leaving	Alliance	*	88%	85%	88%	83%	88%	83%	93%	*	92%	74%	90%	79%	86%
hospital	National												87%		
Q41. Hospital staff told patient who to contact if worried about condition or treatment after	Alliance	*						91%		*			95%		
leaving hospital	National	94%	95%	95%	95%	90%	93%	92%	90%	94%	95%	92%	92%	93%	94%

Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses. n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

·															
HOSPITAL CARE AS A DAY PATIENT /	OUTPA	TIEN	Т					Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	ΙΑ
Q43. Patient definitely found hospital staff to	Alliance	*	68%	73%	71%	77%	75%	74%	71%	*	85%	72%	69%	66%	719
discuss worries or fears during their outpatient or day case visit	National	66%	68%	73%	70%	73%	72%	70%	74%	72%	72%	71%	67%	68%	719
Q44. Cancer doctor had the right documents at	Alliance	*	97%	96%	96%	96%	98%	97%	95%	*	98%	95%	94%	96%	969
patient's last outpatient appointment	National	94%	96%	96%	96%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%	96%	94%	96%	95%	969
Q46. Beforehand patient completely had	Alliance	*	86%	73%	84%	86%	91%	84%	80%	*	*	80%	87%	83%	849
all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	National	91%	88%	83%	88%	84%	86%	86%	88%	88%	84%	86%	83%	84%	869
Q47. Patient completely given understandable	Alliance	*	63%	51%	65%	71%	63%	58%	58%	*	*	59%	*	64%	62°
information about whether radiotherapy was working	National	56%	60%	57%	61%	62%	63%	59%	60%	67%	57%	52%	59%	59%	609
Q49. Beforehand patient completely had all	Alliance	*	80%	84%	81%	88%	86%	88%	81%	*	*	77%	86%	87%	849
information needed about chemotherapy treatment	National	80%	82%	86%	87%	85%	79%	84%	86%	86%	90%	84%	85%	85%	849
Q50. Patient given enough information about	Alliance	*	62%	60%	64%	79%	59%	70%	61%	*	*	62%	84%	74%	699
whether chemotherapy was working in a completely understandable way	National	54%	62%	64%	68%	75%	57%	67%	66%	71%	79%	61%	68%	69%	689
HOME CARE AND SUPPORT								Tumo	ur Gro	oup					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	IIV (
Q51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all the information needed to	Alliance	*	61%	63%	56%	67%	72%	65%	65%	*	73%	57%	59%	57%	62
help care at home	National	58%	58%	63%	57%	62%	67%	59%	61%	62%	65%	60%	59%	55%	601


		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q51. Hospital staff definitely gave family or someone close all the information needed to	Alliance	*	61%	63%	56%	67%	72%	65%	65%	*	73%	57%	59%	57%	62%
help care at home	National	58%	58%	63%	57%	62%	67%	59%	61%	62%	65%	60%	59%	55%	60%
Q52. Patient definitely given enough support	Alliance	*	57%	60%	48%	56%	62%	57%	48%	*	68%	48%	42%	53%	54%
from health or social services during treatment	National	42%	52%	60%	45%	51%	59%	50%	48%	53%	57%	54%	48%	51%	52%
Q53. Patient definitely given enough support	Alliance	*	43%	47%	41%	49%	63%	58%	48%	*	77%	40%	51%	56%	49%
from health or social services after treatment	National	39%	41%	53%	39%	43%	56%	40%	46%	48%	59%	47%	44%	44%	45%

CARE FROM YOUR GENERAL PRACTIC	E							Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q54. GP given enough information about	Alliance	*	97%	95%	98%	98%	95%	97%	97%	*	96%	94%	95%	96%	96%
patient's condition and treatment	National	91%	96%	95%	95%	96%	94%	94%	96%	94%	96%	93%	95%	94%	95%
Q55. General practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient during	Alliance	*	61%	59%	56%	62%	61%	68%	62%	*	65%	70%	61%	59%	61%
treatment	National	55%	58%	59%	56%	56%	59%	56%	64%	56%	65%	59%	59%	55%	58%


* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.


n.a. Indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.


YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE								Tumo	ur Gro	up					
		Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q56. Different people treating and caring for patient always work well together to give best	Alliance	*	76%	71%	77%	81%	77%	75%	76%	*	86%	67%	74%	72%	76%
possible care	National	60%	73%	73%	69%	75%	73%	73%	75%	70%	79%	69%	74%	68%	73%
OE7 Patient given a care plan	Alliance	*	36%	41%	32%	39%	42%	35%	46%	*	48%	35%	33%	31%	38%
Q57. Patient given a care plan	National	36%	41%	40%	34%	36%	39%	36%	40%	34%	44%	36%	33%	31%	38%
Q58. Overall the administration of care was good	Alliance	*	90%	86%	87%	93%	95%	89%	89%	*	93%	87%	86%	90%	90%
or very good	National	85%	90%	88%	87%	91%	90%	90%	88%	88%	90%	86%	85%	87%	89%
Q59. Patient felt length of time for attending clinics and appointments for cancer was about	Alliance	*	73%	76%	70%	71%	81%	77%	76%	*	80%	66%	80%	67%	74%
right	National	58%	68%	73%	66%	66%	71%	71%	76%	68%	73%	66%	75%	64%	69%
Q60. Someone discussed with patient whether	Alliance	*	30%	40%	30%	38%	29%	44%	35%	*	17%	41%	22%	34%	34%
they would like to take part in cancer research	National	42%	30%	32%	31%	33%	21%	34%	31%	36%	20%	36%	21%	32%	30%
Q61. Patient's average rating of care scored from	Alliance	*	8.9	8.7	8.8	9.1	9.0	8.9	8.8	*	9.2	8.6	8.7	8.8	8.9
very poor to very good	National	8.6	8.9	8.8	8.7	8.9	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.9	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.8






















- * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
- ** No score available for these years.






























2019

Year on Year Charts


2016





2017





2018

































- * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 responses.
- ** No score available for these years.



















** No score available for these years.

















** No score available for these years.











34%

2019


Year on Year Charts

28%

2016

20%

0%




28%

2017

31%

2018




Trust Expected Range Summary

	Alliance		Expected Range Classif	ication
RA3	Weston Area Health NHS Trust	3	29	20
RD1	Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust		35	17
RBA	Taunton and Somerset NHS Foundation Trust		38	14
RVJ	North Bristol NHS Trust	2	41	9
RA7	University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust		47	5
RNZ	Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust	1	45	6
RA4	Yeovil District Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	1	46	5
RTE	Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	5	43	4

Code	Name	Base	Score
All	National	65,135	8.8
E56000015	Somerset, Wiltshire, Avon and Gloucestershire	3,765	8.9
RA3	Weston Area Health NHS Trust	176	9.0
RD1	Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust	463	8.9
RBA	Taunton and Somerset NHS Foundation Trust	589	8.9
RTE	Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	465	8.9
RA7	University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust	791	8.9
RA4	Yeovil District Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	268	8.8
RVJ	North Bristol NHS Trust	548	8.8
RNZ	Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust	304	8.7
Q18. Pati	ient definitely involved as much as they war	nted in decisions about	t care and treatment
Code	Name	Base	Score
All	National	65,989	81%
E56000015	Somerset, Wiltshire, Avon and Gloucestershire	3,780	82%
RD1	Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust	463	85%
RVJ	North Bristol NHS Trust	551	84%
RTE	Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	463	83%
RA4	Yeovil District Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	268	83%
	Weston Area Health NHS Trust	181	82%
RA3	Weston Area ricard Wils must		
RA3 RBA	Taunton and Somerset NHS Foundation Trust	584	81%
		584	81%

Code	Name	Base	Score
ΔII	National	64,196	92%
E56000015	Somerset, Wiltshire, Avon and Gloucestershire	3,709	94%
RA3	Weston Area Health NHS Trust	176	98%
RBA	Taunton and Somerset NHS Foundation Trust	569	96%
RVJ	North Bristol NHS Trust	553	95%
RD1	Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust	454	94%
RTE	Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	450	94%
RA7	University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust	790	93%
RA4	Yeovil District Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	262	92%
RNZ	Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust	298	91%
Q20. Patie	ent found it very or quite easy to contact their	CNS	
Code	Name	Base	Score
All	National	52,999	85%
E56000015	Somerset, Wiltshire, Avon and Gloucestershire	3,133	87%
RA3	Weston Area Health NHS Trust	164	94%
RD1	Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust	377	90%
RBA	Taunton and Somerset NHS Foundation Trust	495	90%
RA4	Yeovil District Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	227	90%
RNZ	Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust	228	87%
RA7	University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust	695	85%
RVJ	North Bristol NHS Trust	452	84%



Code	Name	Base	Score	
All	National	44,055	58%	
E56000015	Somerset, Wiltshire, Avon and Gloucestershire	2,567	61%	
RD1	Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust	330	68%	
RA7	University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust	578	64%	
RTE	Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	296	62%	
RBA	Taunton and Somerset NHS Foundation Trust	396	62%	
RA3	Weston Area Health NHS Trust	106	60%	
RNZ	Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust	208	59%	
RVJ	North Bristol NHS Trust	358	59%	
RA4	Yeovil District Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	181	54%	

CCG Expected Range Summary

	CCG		Expected Range Classific	cation
11X	NHS Somerset CCG		27	25
15C	NHS Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire CCG	2	37	13
11E	NHS Bath and North East Somerset CCG	1	42	9
99N	NHS Wiltshire CCG	1	47	4
11M	NHS Gloucestershire CCG	4	47	1

CCG Dashboard Questions

	ent's average rating of care scored from very po		
Code	Name	Base	Score
All	National	65,135	8.8
E56000015	Somerset, Wiltshire, Avon and Gloucestershire	3,765	8.9
11E	NHS Bath and North East Somerset CCG	224	8.9
11X	NHS Somerset CCG	1,060	8.9
15C	NHS Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire CCG	1,262	8.9
11M	NHS Gloucestershire CCG	473	8.9
99N	NHS Wiltshire CCG	746	8.7
Q18. Pati	ent definitely involved as much as they wanted	in decision	ns about care and treatment
Code	Name	Base	Score
All	National	65,989	81%
E56000015	Somerset, Wiltshire, Avon and Gloucestershire	3,780	82%
11E	NHS Bath and North East Somerset CCG	225	85%
11X	NHS Somerset CCG	1,059	82%
99N	NHS Wiltshire CCG	751	82%
11M	NHS Gloucestershire CCG	469	82%
15C	NHS Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire CCG	1,276	82%
	ent given the name of a CNS who would suppo	rt them thr	
Code	Name	Base	Score
All	National	64,196	92%
E56000015	Somerset, Wiltshire, Avon and Gloucestershire	3,709	94%
11X	NHS Somerset CCG	1,034	95%
11E	NHS Bath and North East Somerset CCG	217	95%
15C	NHS Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire CCG	1,261	94%
11M	NHS Gloucestershire CCG	461	94%
99N	NHS Wiltshire CCG	736	91%

CCG Dashboard Questions

Code	ent found it very or quite easy to contact their CN! Name	Base	Score
Code	INGILIE	Dase	Score
ΔII	National	52,999	85%
E56000015	Somerset, Wiltshire, Avon and Gloucestershire	3,133	87%
11X	NHS Somerset CCG	899	90%
99N	NHS Wiltshire CCG	587	87%
11E	NHS Bath and North East Somerset CCG	179	87%
15C	NHS Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire CCG	1,089	86%
11M	NHS Gloucestershire CCG	379	83%
Q39. Pati	ent always felt they were treated with respect a	and dignity while	in hospital
Code	Name	Base	Score
All	National	32,875	88%
E56000015	Somerset, Wiltshire, Avon and Gloucestershire	1,870	89%
	NHS Somerset CCG	540	0400
11X	INDS Somerset CCG	540	91%
11X 11M	NHS Gloucestershire CCG	281	90%
11M	NHS Gloucestershire CCG	281	90%

CCG Dashboard Questions

Q41. Hos hospital	pital staff told patient who to contact if worried	l about co	ondition or treatment after leaving
Code	Name	Base	Score
ΔII	National	31,541	94%
E56000015	Somerset, Wiltshire, Avon and Gloucestershire	1,784	95%
11E	NHS Bath and North East Somerset CCG	102	98%
11X	NHS Somerset CCG	517	97%
99N	NHS Wiltshire CCG	330	96%
15C	NHS Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire CCG	573	94%
11M	NHS Gloucestershire CCG	262	94%
Q55. Gen	eral practice staff definitely did everything they	could to	support patient during treatment
Code	Name	Base	Score
All	National	44,055	58%
E56000015	Somerset, Wiltshire, Avon and Gloucestershire	2,567	61%
11E	NHS Bath and North East Somerset CCG	153	72%
15C	NHS Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire CCG	852	62%
99N	NHS Wiltshire CCG	530	60%
11M	NHS Gloucestershire CCG	306	60%
I1X	NHS Somerset CCG	726	59%