

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2016 Results

NHS West Hampshire Clinical Commissioning Group

Published July 2017

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Quality Health on behalf of NHS England



Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2016 is the sixth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development.

The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Quality Health, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

Full national results and other reports are available at www.ncpes.co.uk .

Further details on the survey methodology and changes to the 2016 survey can be found in the Annex.

This report

The report shows how this CCG scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual CCGs to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement.

Note that responses for questions with 1-20 respondents have been suppressed. This is to protect patient confidentiality and because uncertainty around the result is too great.

Data tables

The data tables presented in this report show the following for each question:

- Column 1 shows the number of respondents for 2015 to this question
- Column 2 shows the unadjusted 2015 score for this CCG
- Column 3 shows the number of respondents for 2016 to this question
- Column 4 shows the unadjusted 2016 score for this CCG
- Column 5 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased compared with the last survey
- Column 6 shows the case-mix adjusted 2016 score for this CCG
- Column 7 shows the lower limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the top of the pale blue section on the comparability chart - see below)
- Column 8 shows the upper limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the bottom of the dark blue section on the comparability chart - see below)
- Column 9 shows the national average score for this question.

Results for individual response options are presented in the detailed data tables available at www.ncpes.co.uk . Confidence Intervals for unadjusted and case-mix adjusted data are provided in these tables.

Expected ranges and 95% confidence intervals highlight the uncertainty around the results. The size of the expected ranges and confidence intervals will be different for each question, and depends on the number of respondents and the range of their responses.

For further details on case-mix adjustment and the scoring methodology used, please refer to the Annex.

Comparability charts

For the 2016 survey, we have adopted the CQC standard for reporting comparative performance, based on calculation of "expected ranges". This means that CCGs will be flagged as outliers only if there is statistical evidence that their scores deviate (positively or negatively) from the range of scores that would be expected for CCGs of the same size.

The comparability charts in this report show a bar with these expected ranges (in grey), higher than expected (in dark blue), and lower than expected (in pale blue). A black dot represents the actual score of this CCG.

The same colour convention has been used in Column 6 of the data tables.

For further details on expected ranges, please refer to the technical document at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Tumour group tables

The final set of tables in this report show the scores for each question for each of the 13 tumour groups, with a comparative national score for that tumour group.

These breakdowns are intended as additional information for CCGs to understand the differences between the experiences of patients with different types of cancer. The numbers are generally relatively small and may not be statistically significant. They should therefore be treated with some caution.

Notes on specific questions

Questions used to direct respondents to different parts of the survey (questions 4, 24, 27, 40, 43, 46) and other demographic and information questions are not reported.

How to use the data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the CCG.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with (case-mix adjusted) confidence intervals (presented in the detailed data tables at www.ncpes.co.uk), should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than the results for another CCG.

Response rates

Numbers of respondents by tumour group, age and gender can be found in the Annex.

Executive Summary

Asked to rate their care on a scale of zero (very poor) to 10 (very good), respondents gave an average rating of **8.7** .

The following questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England*:

- 76% of respondents said that they were definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment
- 89% of respondents said that they were given the name of a Clinical Nurse Specialist who would support them through their treatment
- 88% of respondents said that it had been 'quite easy' or 'very easy' to contact their Clinical Nurse Specialist
- 89% of respondents said that, overall, they were always treated with dignity and respect while they were in hospital
- 93% of respondents said that hospital staff told them who to contact if they were worried about their condition or treatment after they left hospital
- 57% of respondents said that they thought the GPs and nurses at their general practice definitely did everything they could to support them while they were having cancer treatment.

Detailed results for these and other questions are set out in the sections that follow.

The questions were selected in discussion with the national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group and reflect four key patient experience domains: provision of information; involvement in decisions; care transition; interpersonal relations, respect and dignity. The figures presented above are all case-mix adjusted.

^{*} www.cancerdata.nhs.uk/dashboard

Questions which scored outside expected range


				Case-mix A	djusted	
Questic	on	Number of respondents for this CCG	2016 Percentage for this CCG	Lower limit of expected range	Upper limit of expected range	National Average Score
Finding	g out what was wrong with you			-	-	
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	771	71%	72%	80%	76%
Decidi	ng the best treatment for you					
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable way	796	69%	69%	76%	72%
Suppo	rt for people with cancer					
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	522	76%	78%	85%	81%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	370	45%	51%	62%	56%
Q23	Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	363	76%	76%	85%	80%
Home	care and support					
Q50	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	369	43%	46%	60%	53%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	193	38%	38%	52%	45%
Care fr	om your general practice					
Q53	Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient	525	57%	57%	66%	62%
Your o	verall NHS care					
Q55	Patient given a care plan	643	26%	30%	37%	33%


Seeing your GP

 \uparrow or \downarrow

Q1 Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital

Q2 Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary





			Unad	ljusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adiu	sted
		2015 2016 Chan						710 Ouoo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	669	74%	641	80%	1	79%	73%	80%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	860	84%	818	84%		84%	81%	86%	83%


Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Diagnostic Tests



			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0		710 Ouoo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q5	Received all the information needed about the test	-	-	735	94%		93%	93%	96%	94%
Q6	The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	741	87%	735	86%		86%	85%	90%	87%
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	747	78%	735	78%		78%	76%	82%	79%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

↑ or ↓

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Finding out what was wrong with you


Q8 Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer

Q9 Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer

Q10 Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong

Q11 Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had





			2015 2016 Change In the second						Mix Adiu	sted
		20	15	20	16			71% 72% 80% 83% 82% 87% 72% 70% 76%		
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	hange from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	-	-	771	69%		71%	72%	80%	76%
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	867	84%	824	83%		83%	82%	87%	84%
Q10	Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	869	73%	835	72%		72%	70%	76%	73%
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	755	69%	720	71%		70%	69%	76%	72%


Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

↑ or ↓

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 1 of 2)



									Mix Adiu	sted
		2015		20	16	0		82% 80% 85% 8		
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	735	84%	729	82%		82%	80%	85%	83%
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable way	813	71%	796	69%		69%	69%	76%	72%
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	818	66%	792	64%		64%	63%	69%	66%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

↑ or ↓

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 2 of 2)



			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q15	Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	765	51%	723	52%		53%	51%	58%	54%
Q16	Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	825	79%	810	77%		76%	75%	81%	78%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

11

 \uparrow or \downarrow

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Clinical Nurse Specialist



			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0		710 Ouoo	TVIIX 7 taja	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q17	Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	832	88%	804	89%		89%	87%	93%	90%
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	651	88%	629	89%		88%	84%	89%	86%
Q19	Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	595	91%	589	89%		88%	86%	91%	88%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

↑ or ↓

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Support for people with cancer




Q21 Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities

Q22 Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help

Q23 Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted




			Unac	ljusted S	cores		20)16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	599	81%	598	82%		81%	79%	88%	84%
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	529	81%	522	77%		76%	78%	85%	81%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	357	43%	370	46%		45%	51%	62%	56%
Q23	Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	356	82%	363	76%		76%	76%	85%	80%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

↑ or ↓ Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Operations



			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adiu	sted
		20	15	20	16	0				2100
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q25	Beforehand had all the information needed about the operation	-	-	469	95%		95%	94%	98%	96%
Q26	Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way	488	78%	468	77%		76%	75%	82%	79%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

14

 \uparrow or \downarrow

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 3)


Q28 Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there

Q29 Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them

Q30 Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor

Q31 Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted




			Unac	ljusted S	cores		20)16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0			,	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q28	Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	577	84%	531	85%		83%	79%	85%	82%
Q29	Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	572	85%	533	85%		85%	82%	88%	85%
Q30	Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	477	70%	461	69%		70%	69%	77%	73%
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	577	75%	533	72%		73%	70%	78%	74%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

↑ or ↓ Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 3)



Q34 Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment

Q35 Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted




			Unac	ljusted S	cores		20	sted		
		20	15	20	16	0				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	574	70%	530	67%		67%	62%	71%	67%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	569	70%	528	68%		69%	60%	75%	68%
Q34	Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	572	84%	529	84%		85%	82%	88%	85%
Q35	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	406	49%	383	50%		50%	47%	57%	52%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

↑ or ↓ Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 3 of 3)



			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20)15	20	16	0			-,-	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q36	Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain	498	85%	463	83%		82%	81%	87%	84%
Q37	Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	575	88%	532	89%		89%	85%	91%	88%
Q38	Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge	532	85%	501	84%		85%	83%	89%	86%
Q39	Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	559	97%	522	93%	\	93%	92%	96%	94%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

 \uparrow or \downarrow

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 1 of 2)


Q41 Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit

Q42 Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them

Q44 Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment

Q45 Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted




			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0				
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	639	69%	615	68%		68%	67%	74%	70%
Q42	Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	777	97%	750	95%		95%	94%	97%	96%
Q44	Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	225	81%	217	81%		81%	81%	91%	86%
Q45	Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	193	55%	184	53%		54%	53%	67%	60%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

↑ or ↓ Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 2 of 2)



		Unadjusted Scores					20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q47	Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	466	84%	427	83%		83%	80%	87%	84%
Q48	Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working	418	72%	384	66%		66%	63%	72%	67%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

19

 \uparrow or \downarrow


^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Home care and support

Q49 Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home

Q50 Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment

Q51 Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment



All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted

↑ or ↓

		Unadjusted Scores				20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted	
		20	15	20	16					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	705	60%	684	57%		57%	54%	62%	58%
Q50	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	417	51%	369	43%		43%	46%	60%	53%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	234	40%	193	38%		38%	38%	52%	45%


Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Care from your general practice



		Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjus			sted
		20	15	20	16	0				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q52	GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	698	94%	637	95%		94%	94%	97%	95%
Q53	Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient	561	63%	525	57%		57%	57%	66%	62%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

21

 \uparrow or \downarrow

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 1 of 2)



			Unac	ljusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0		710 0400	TVIIX 7 taja	510a
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	837	57%	793	58%		58%	58%	65%	61%
Q55	Patient given a care plan	665	27%	643	24%		26%	30%	37%	33%
Q56	Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	860	88%	824	88%		88%	87%	92%	89%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	855	65%	821	63%		63%	61%	74%	67%


Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available


↑ or ↓

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 2 of 2)







		Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjus			sted
		20	15	20	16			10 0000	TVIIX 7 taja	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q59	Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	847	8.7	807	8.7		8.7	8.6	8.9	8.7

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

↑ or ↓

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Comparisons by tumour group for this CCG

The following tables show the unadjusted CCG and the national percentage scores for each question broken down by tumour group. Where a cell in the table contains an asterisk this indicates that the number of patients in that group was below 21 and too small to display. Where a cell in the table contains "n.a." this indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

Seeing your GP

	Q1. Saw GI twice befo had to go t	re being told	Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	63%	*	79%	
Breast	92%	94%	91%	90%	
Colorectal / LGT	75%	71%	84%	81%	
Gynaecological	77%	75%	86%	79%	
Haematological	71%	65%	83%	81%	
Head and Neck	*	77%	*	79%	
Lung	69%	70%	83%	83%	
Prostate	78%	78%	83%	86%	
Sarcoma	*	66%	*	67%	
Skin	92%	90%	84%	86%	
Upper Gastro	84%	72%	76%	78%	
Urological	84%	82%	88%	85%	
Other	82%	72%	77%	79%	
All Cancers	80%	77%	84%	83%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Diagnostic tests

	Q5. Receiv informatio about the	n needed	Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right		waiting for the test to explanate be done was about results in		explanatio results in		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National			
Brain / CNS	*	90%	*	81%	*	70%			
Breast	89%	95%	90%	92%	74%	82%			
Colorectal / LGT	95%	95%	82%	87%	84%	80%			
Gynaecological	96%	93%	89%	85%	73%	75%			
Haematological	97%	94%	94%	89%	77%	77%			
Head and Neck	*	93%	*	85%	*	78%			
Lung	98%	94%	85%	87%	78%	78%			
Prostate	94%	95%	82%	86%	79%	80%			
Sarcoma	*	93%	*	79%	*	74%			
Skin	96%	95%	81%	88%	81%	85%			
Upper Gastro	91%	93%	77%	82%	80%	77%			
Urological	91%	94%	89%	87%	81%	79%			
Other	95%	95%	75%	86%	84%	76%			
All Cancers	94%	94%	86%	87%	78%	79%			

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Finding out what was wrong with you

	Q8. Patient could bring member of when first had cancer	a family friend told they	Q9. Patien were told : that they h	sensitively	Q10. Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong		_	nderstand nformation e type of	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	
Brain / CNS	*	83%	*	73%	*	63%	*	63%	
Breast	70%	82%	78%	88%	69%	78%	63%	77%	
Colorectal / LGT	68%	80%	85%	86%	84%	78%	78%	71%	
Gynaecological	61%	71%	88%	82%	75%	72%	66%	69%	
Haematological	64%	71%	86%	83%	62%	60%	82%	74%	
Head and Neck	*	70%	*	86%	*	75%	*	64%	
Lung	70%	78%	69%	83%	69%	75%	56%	65%	
Prostate	75%	77%	91%	84%	71%	78%	75%	81%	
Sarcoma	*	72%	*	81%	*	67%	*	64%	
Skin	77%	63%	75%	89%	63%	79%	73%	83%	
Upper Gastro	79%	77%	79%	80%	73%	72%	79%	66%	
Urological	67%	72%	83%	83%	81%	77%	71%	72%	
Other	69%	74%	89%	82%	75%	70%	53%	62%	
All Cancers	69%	76%	83%	84%	72%	73%	71%	72%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Deciding the best treatment for you

	Q12. Patier treatment were comp explained	options	Q13. Possi effects exp understand	lained in an	Q14. Patie practical a support in side effect treatment	dvice and dealing with
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	78%	*	72%	*	61%
Breast	75%	84%	70%	75%	61%	69%
Colorectal / LGT	85%	85%	70%	75%	70%	68%
Gynaecological	78%	84%	63%	74%	67%	66%
Haematological	88%	81%	71%	69%	68%	64%
Head and Neck	*	85%	*	70%	*	68%
Lung	74%	83%	65%	74%	63%	68%
Prostate	77%	81%	61%	72%	54%	62%
Sarcoma	*	83%	*	72%	*	66%
Skin	83%	88%	86%	76%	71%	70%
Upper Gastro	90%	83%	72%	73%	67%	67%
Urological	87%	81%	79%	72%	59%	62%
Other	80%	79%	61%	70%	63%	63%
All Cancers	82%	83%	69%	% 72% 64%		66%

	told about	nt definitely side effects affect them re	Q16. Patie involved in about care treatment	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	55%	*	73%
Breast	46%	56%	77%	79%
Colorectal / LGT	57%	56%	77%	79%
Gynaecological	52% 52%		76%	77%
Haematological	50%	49%	80%	77%
Head and Neck	*	59%	*	78%
Lung	49%	54%	68%	79%
Prostate	56%	63%	79%	79%
Sarcoma	*	54%	*	80%
Skin	*	61%	83%	85%
Upper Gastro	51%	53%	70%	77%
Urological	57%	53%	75%	77%
Other	52%	50%	75%	74%
All Cancers	52%	54%	77%	78%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Clinical Nurse Specialist

			CNS who rt them CNS understar answers to questions of the tim		Q19. Get understand answers to questions a of the time	important all or most
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	95%	*	82%	*	83%
Breast	84%	94%	88%	86%	89%	89%
Colorectal / LGT	92%	91%	93%	88%	91%	89%
Gynaecological	93%	94%	93%	84%	92%	87%
Haematological	95%	90%	92%	88%	91%	89%
Head and Neck	*	88%	*	87%	*	87%
Lung	91%	94%	74%	88%	87%	88%
Prostate	87%	88%	84%	84%	88%	88%
Sarcoma	*	88%	*	87%	*	90%
Skin	97%	88%	92%	89%	100%	90%
Upper Gastro	94%	92%	88%	86%	86%	87%
Urological	84%	81%	90%	85%	96%	89%
Other	80%	87%	88%	85%	75%	86%
All Cancers	89%	90%	89%	86%	89%	88%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Support for people with cancer

	Q20. Hospi gave inforr about supp	•		Q22. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help		Q23. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	84%	*	81%	*	67%	*	71%
Breast	85%	89%	77%	85%	49%	62%	72%	80%
Colorectal / LGT	79%	84%	75%	82%	41%	54%	74%	82%
Gynaecological	80%	83%	82%	79%	45%	58%	83%	77%
Haematological	85%	83%	79%	82%	54%	58%	88%	86%
Head and Neck	*	83%	*	80%	*	59%	*	79%
Lung	83%	83%	74%	80%	63%	69%	*	84%
Prostate	83%	86%	78%	83%	*	44%	68%	79%
Sarcoma	*	83%	*	82%	*	56%	*	78%
Skin	*	86%	*	82%	*	52%	*	62%
Upper Gastro	83%	83%	76%	80%	57%	60%	*	84%
Urological	67%	74%	59%	72%	19%	35%	*	67%
Other	77%	80%	74%	77%	50%	55%	80%	80%
All Cancers	82%	84%	77%	81%	46%	56%	76%	80%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Operations

	Q25. Befor all the info needed ab operation		Q26. Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	93%	*	68%	
Breast	94%	97%	69%	78%	
Colorectal / LGT	95%	96%	81%	83%	
Gynaecological	94%	94% 96%		79%	
Haematological	*	93%	*	75%	
Head and Neck	*	94%	*	78%	
Lung	*	97%	*	79%	
Prostate	92%	96%	80%	77%	
Sarcoma	*	93%	*	80%	
Skin	100%	96%	83%	83%	
Upper Gastro	100%	96%	71%	79%	
Urological	95%	95%	83%	77%	
Other	83%	95%	86%	78%	
All Cancers	95%	96%	77%	79%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 2)

	or nurses of in front of	control patient as if were not there confidence and trust in all doctors treating them		Q30. Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor		Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	74%	*	79%	*	61%	*	66%
Breast	92%	89%	83%	86%	64%	76%	66%	76%
Colorectal / LGT	80%	76%	86%	85%	69%	72%	73%	70%
Gynaecological	81%	85%	88%	85%	76%	72%	67%	71%
Haematological	89%	81%	82%	81%	70%	73%	74%	74%
Head and Neck	*	79%	*	84%	*	74%	*	72%
Lung	77%	77%	81%	82%	55%	73%	69%	75%
Prostate	93%	85%	85%	88%	*	74%	78%	79%
Sarcoma	*	80%	*	85%	*	72%	*	74%
Skin	*	87%	*	92%	*	80%	*	85%
Upper Gastro	74%	74%	84%	82%	79%	73%	68%	71%
Urological	91%	80%	84%	86%	71%	71%	81%	77%
Other	83%	79%	95%	81%	81%	70%	75%	71%
All Cancers	85%	82%	85%	85%	69%	73%	72%	74%

	Q32. Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty		Q33. All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by		Q34. Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment		Q35. Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	59%	*	65%	*	76%	*	38%
Breast	71%	71%	60%	61%	85%	86%	46%	54%
Colorectal / LGT	66%	62%	67%	70%	76%	84%	56%	53%
Gynaecological	69%	66%	68%	65%	74%	83%	41%	50%
Haematological	66%	62%	75%	70%	97%	86%	69%	56%
Head and Neck	*	65%	*	69%	*	86%	*	54%
Lung	54%	70%	76%	72%	84%	83%	*	50%
Prostate	70%	73%	70%	68%	89%	89%	*	52%
Sarcoma	*	71%	*	71%	*	88%	*	53%
Skin	*	78%	*	67%	*	90%	*	62%
Upper Gastro	65%	64%	71%	74%	90%	83%	36%	50%
Urological	67%	68%	72%	72%	84%	87%	50%	47%
Other	65%	62%	65%	68%	80%	83%	58%	47%
All Cancers	67%	67%	68%	68%	84%	85%	50%	52%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 2)

	Q36. Hospi definitely of everything control pai	lid to help	Q37. Always treated with respect and dignity by staff		Q38. Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge		Q39. Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	79%	*	79%	*	76%	*	91%
Breast	86%	86%	91%	88%	84%	91%	92%	96%
Colorectal / LGT	82%	84%	85%	87%	75%	84%	96%	94%
Gynaecological	82%	83%	88%	87%	85%	87%	92%	94%
Haematological	89%	83%	89%	89%	93%	80%	98%	95%
Head and Neck	*	81%	*	87%	*	85%	*	91%
Lung	71%	84%	77%	87%	88%	81%	79%	91%
Prostate	80%	85%	100%	91%	88%	89%	96%	94%
Sarcoma	*	87%	*	90%	*	84%	*	94%
Skin	*	87%	*	92%	*	89%	*	95%
Upper Gastro	85%	82%	94%	86%	87%	82%	100%	93%
Urological	82%	82%	90%	89%	89%	86%	89%	91%
Other	73%	82%	88%	86%	76%	81%	92%	93%
All Cancers	83%	84%	89%	88%	84%	86%	93%	94%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient

	to discuss v	to discuss worries or right fears with staff during doc		or had the and other ation with	Q44. Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment		Q45. Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	63%	*	95%	*	86%	*	58%
Breast	64%	70%	93%	96%	77%	88%	44%	60%
Colorectal / LGT	72%	72%	96%	96%	*	86%	*	58%
Gynaecological	63%	68%	96%	95%	*	85%	*	62%
Haematological	76%	74%	98%	97%	*	84%	*	64%
Head and Neck	*	71%	*	96%	*	84%	*	61%
Lung	64%	70%	93%	95%	*	85%	*	58%
Prostate	71%	72%	93%	96%	*	89%	*	58%
Sarcoma	*	72%	*	97%	*	89%	*	69%
Skin	76%	72%	93%	97%	*	84%	*	59%
Upper Gastro	65%	68%	91%	94%	*	86%	*	57%
Urological	65%	68%	95%	96%	*	81%	*	56%
Other	69%	67%	97%	95%	*	83%	*	58%
All Cancers	68%	70%	95%	96%	81%	86%	53%	60%

	Q47. Befor patient had informatio about cher treatment	d all n needed	Q48. Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	80%	*	59%	
Breast	73%	82%	55%	62%	
Colorectal / LGT	78%	85%	59%	63%	
Gynaecological	88% 84%		74%	66%	
Haematological	89%	84%	76%	75%	
Head and Neck	*	80%	*	58%	
Lung	84%	84%	71%	68%	
Prostate	*	84%	*	67%	
Sarcoma	*	86%	*	73%	
Skin	*	88%	*	78%	
Upper Gastro	81%	84%	64%	64%	
Urological	81% 84%		*	67%	
Other	88%	85%	61%	68%	
All Cancers	83%	84%	66%	67%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Home care and support

	informatio		given enough support from health or social services during treatment		Q51. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}			National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	
Brain / CNS	*	49%	*	42%	*	41%	
Breast	51%	57%	41%	53%	31%	40%	
Colorectal / LGT	59%	60%	45%	61%	45%	51%	
Gynaecological	51%	56%	42% 50%		*	39%	
Haematological	65%	60%	40%	51%	23%	44%	
Head and Neck	*	61%	*	52%	*	48%	
Lung	57%	57%	52%	50%	*	43%	
Prostate	55%	56%	45%	48%	*	43%	
Sarcoma	*	59%	*	55%	n.a.	48%	
Skin	59%	65%	*	57%	*	59%	
Upper Gastro	53%	59%	39%	55%	*	48%	
Urological	62%	58%	50%	47%	*	43%	
Other	66%	54%	55%	55%	*	48%	
All Cancers	57%	58%	43%	53%	38%	45%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Care from your general practice

	informatio	ven enough n about ondition and	Q53. Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	
Brain / CNS	*	89%	*	51%	
Breast	95%	96%	56%	62%	
Colorectal / LGT	95%	95%	62%	62%	
Gynaecological	98% 95%		71%	61%	
Haematological	95%	96%	52%	59%	
Head and Neck	*	94%	*	59%	
Lung	92%	95%	44%	61%	
Prostate	94%	96%	59%	67%	
Sarcoma	*	95%	*	56%	
Skin	93%	96%	74%	67%	
Upper Gastro	94%	94%	62%	61%	
Urological	87%	95%	59%	64%	
Other	98%	95%	53%	59%	
All Cancers	95%	95%	57%	62%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Your overall NHS care

	Q54. Hospital and community staff always worked well together		Q55. Patient given a care plan		Q56. Overall the administration of the care was very good / good		Q57. Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	43%	*	32%	*	82%	*	61%
Breast	44%	61%	18%	37%	83%	91%	58%	65%
Colorectal / LGT	59%	60%	19%	35%	93%	89%	70%	70%
Gynaecological	54%	58%	14%	30%	88%	89%	55%	66%
Haematological	66%	63%	37%	33%	94%	92%	60%	63%
Head and Neck	*	62%	*	36%	*	89%	*	69%
Lung	53%	63%	26%	33%	83%	89%	61%	71%
Prostate	59%	65%	36%	35%	88%	88%	66%	73%
Sarcoma	*	56%	*	28%	*	87%	*	61%
Skin	70%	69%	27%	39%	94%	90%	69%	76%
Upper Gastro	50%	58%	24%	34%	87%	87%	62%	66%
Urological	74%	63%	15%	27%	82%	87%	82%	75%
Other	60%	55%	22%	29%	87%	88%	59%	61%
All Cancers	58%	61%	24%	33%	88%	89%	63%	67%

	Q58. Takin cancer reso discussed v		Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	24%	*	8.3	
Breast	28%	28%	8.5	8.8	
Colorectal / LGT	40%	26%	9.0	8.7	
Gynaecological	29% 30%		8.5	8.7	
Haematological	36%	34%	9.1	8.9	
Head and Neck	*	19%	*	8.7	
Lung	61%	33%	8.3	8.7	
Prostate	34%	34%	8.6	8.7	
Sarcoma	*	33%	*	8.6	
Skin	22%	18%	9.1	8.9	
Upper Gastro	38%	33%	8.4	8.6	
Urological	11%	11% 15%		8.7	
Other	22%	30%	8.5	8.6	
All Cancers	32%	29%	8.7	8.7	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Annex

Methodology

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2016.

The patients included in the sample had relevant cancer ICD10 codes (C00-99 excluding C44 and C84, and D05) in the first diagnosis field of their patient records, applied to their patient files by the relevant NHS Trust, and were alive at the point at which fieldwork commenced. Deceased checks were undertaken on up to three occasions during fieldwork, to ensure that questionnaires were not sent to patients who had died since their treatment.

Trust samples were checked rigorously for duplicates and patient lists were also de-duplicated nationally to ensure that patients did not receive multiple copies of questionnaires.

The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2016 and March 2017.

The survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete online. A Freephone helpline was available for respondents to ask questions about the survey, to enable them to complete their questionnaires over the phone, and to provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

The Health Research Authority supported the survey by granting Section 251 approval.

Further information

Further information on survey methodology, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at www.ncpes.co.uk

Redevelopment of the 2016 survey

The following changes have been made to the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey in 2016:

- question 5 and 25 are no longer presented in a tick all that apply format and their response options have been revised. This has allowed the questions to be scored and presented in the comparability charts, data tables and tumour group tables. Because of these changes, no comparison with 2015 results is possible
- question 8 has had a response option removed. Because of this change, no comparison with 2015 results is possible.

Official Statistics

The 2016 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

Scoring methodologies

49 of the 50 questions relating directly to patient experience have been summarised as the score of the percentage of patients who reported a positive experience. For example:

- question 6 asks: "Overall, how did you feel about the length of time you had to wait for your test to be done?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("It was about right")
- question 11 asks: "When you were told you had cancer, were you given written information about the type of cancer you had?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("Yes, and it was easy to understand").

Where options do not provide any information on positive/negative patient experience (e.g. "Don't know / can't remember"), they are excluded from the score.

The other question (question 59) asks respondents to rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10. Scores have been given as an average on this scale.

A copy of the 2016 questionnaire, marked up with all of these scoring conventions, is available at www.ncpes.co.uk

Further details on the scoring methodology can be found in the technical document for the survey, available at www.ncpes.co.uk

Case-mix adjustment

As in 2015, case-mix adjusted findings are being presented alongside unadjusted results for CCGs. Case-mix adjustment allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a CCG is performing given their patient population.

The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at www.ncpes.co.uk

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2016 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify any changes between 2015 and 2016 unadjusted scores which are 'statistically significant'. 'Statistically significant' means that you can be very confident that any change between scores is real and not due to chance.

For further details on statistical significance, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at www.ncpes.co.uk

Response Rates

	Sample Size	Excluded	Adjusted Sample	Not Returned	Blank / Refused	•	Response Rate
National	118,253	8,590	109,663	33,035	3,840	72,788	67%
11A	1,234	89	1,145	268	31	846	74%

Respondents by tumour group

The tables below show the numbers of patients from each tumour group and the age and gender distribution of these patients.

Tumour Group	Number of respondents*		
Brain / CNS	5		
Breast	163		
Gynaecological	61		
Colorectal / LGT	111		
Lung	49		
Skin	32		
Haematological	144		
Upper Gastro	48		
Other	70		
Urological	76		
Prostate	66		
Sarcoma	8		
Head and Neck	13		

^{*} These figures may not match the numerator for all questions in the 'Comparisons by tumour group' section of this report, because not all questions were answered by all respondents.

Respondents by age and gender

The questionnaire asked respondents to give their year of birth. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the CCG was as follows:

	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	85+	Total
Male	1	1	7	21	58	159	114	24	385
Female	3	8	25	54	109	155	86	21	461
Total	4	9	32	75	167	314	200	45	846



Quality Health is a specialist health and social care survey organisation, working for public, private and not-for-profit sectors, in the UK and overseas.

Quality Health works with all acute hospitals in England, all independent providers of hospital care, and all Health Boards in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Quality Health is an approved contractor for the Care Quality Commission's patient survey programmes, NHS England's National Staff Survey programme, and the national Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs).

Further information on Quality Health is available at www.quality-health.co.uk

Further information on the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available www.ncpes.co.uk