

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2016 Results

NHS Northumberland Clinical Commissioning Group

Published July 2017

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Quality Health on behalf of NHS England



Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2016 is the sixth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development.

The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Quality Health, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

Full national results and other reports are available at www.ncpes.co.uk .

Further details on the survey methodology and changes to the 2016 survey can be found in the Annex.

This report

The report shows how this CCG scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual CCGs to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement.

Note that responses for questions with 1-20 respondents have been suppressed. This is to protect patient confidentiality and because uncertainty around the result is too great.

Data tables

The data tables presented in this report show the following for each question:

- Column 1 shows the number of respondents for 2015 to this question
- Column 2 shows the unadjusted 2015 score for this CCG
- Column 3 shows the number of respondents for 2016 to this question
- Column 4 shows the unadjusted 2016 score for this CCG
- Column 5 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased compared with the last survey
- Column 6 shows the case-mix adjusted 2016 score for this CCG
- Column 7 shows the lower limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the top of the pale blue section on the comparability chart - see below)
- Column 8 shows the upper limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the bottom of the dark blue section on the comparability chart - see below)
- Column 9 shows the national average score for this question.

Results for individual response options are presented in the detailed data tables available at www.ncpes.co.uk . Confidence Intervals for unadjusted and case-mix adjusted data are provided in these tables.

Expected ranges and 95% confidence intervals highlight the uncertainty around the results. The size of the expected ranges and confidence intervals will be different for each question, and depends on the number of respondents and the range of their responses.

For further details on case-mix adjustment and the scoring methodology used, please refer to the Annex.

Comparability charts

For the 2016 survey, we have adopted the CQC standard for reporting comparative performance, based on calculation of "expected ranges". This means that CCGs will be flagged as outliers only if there is statistical evidence that their scores deviate (positively or negatively) from the range of scores that would be expected for CCGs of the same size.

The comparability charts in this report show a bar with these expected ranges (in grey), higher than expected (in dark blue), and lower than expected (in pale blue). A black dot represents the actual score of this CCG.

The same colour convention has been used in Column 6 of the data tables.

For further details on expected ranges, please refer to the technical document at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Tumour group tables

The final set of tables in this report show the scores for each question for each of the 13 tumour groups, with a comparative national score for that tumour group.

These breakdowns are intended as additional information for CCGs to understand the differences between the experiences of patients with different types of cancer. The numbers are generally relatively small and may not be statistically significant. They should therefore be treated with some caution.

Notes on specific questions

Questions used to direct respondents to different parts of the survey (questions 4, 24, 27, 40, 43, 46) and other demographic and information questions are not reported.

How to use the data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the CCG.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with (case-mix adjusted) confidence intervals (presented in the detailed data tables at www.ncpes.co.uk), should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than the results for another CCG.

Response rates

Numbers of respondents by tumour group, age and gender can be found in the Annex.

Executive Summary

Asked to rate their care on a scale of zero (very poor) to 10 (very good), respondents gave an average rating of **8.9** .

The following questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England*:

- 83% of respondents said that they were definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment
- 90% of respondents said that they were given the name of a Clinical Nurse Specialist who
 would support them through their treatment
- 90% of respondents said that it had been 'quite easy' or 'very easy' to contact their Clinical Nurse Specialist
- 90% of respondents said that, overall, they were always treated with dignity and respect while they were in hospital
- 93% of respondents said that hospital staff told them who to contact if they were worried about their condition or treatment after they left hospital
- 65% of respondents said that they thought the GPs and nurses at their general practice
 definitely did everything they could to support them while they were having cancer treatment.

Detailed results for these and other questions are set out in the sections that follow.

The questions were selected in discussion with the national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group and reflect four key patient experience domains: provision of information; involvement in decisions; care transition; interpersonal relations, respect and dignity. The figures presented above are all case-mix adjusted.

^{*} www.cancerdata.nhs.uk/dashboard

Questions which scored outside expected range


	•					
			2016	Case-mix A		
Questic	on	Number of respondents for this CCG	2016 Percentage for this CCG	Lower limit of expected range	Upper limit of expected range	National Average Score
Seeing	your GP					
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	486	81%	73%	80%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	661	87%	80%	86%	83%
Diagno	ostic tests					
Q5	Received all the information needed about the test	558	97%	92%	96%	94%
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	565	83%	76%	82%	79%
Decidi	ng the best treatment for you					
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	591	86%	80%	86%	83%
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	644	72%	62%	70%	66%
Q15	Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	608	61%	50%	58%	54%
Q16	Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	655	83%	75%	81%	78%
Clinica	al Nurse Specialist					
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	514	90%	83%	89%	86%
Hospit	al care as an inpatient					
Q28	Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	390	87%	78%	86%	82%
Q29	Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	395	89%	81%	88%	85%
Q30	Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	329	79%	68%	78%	73%
Hospit	al care as a day patient / outpatient					
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	509	77%	67%	74%	70%
Q42	Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	596	98%	94%	97%	96%
Q44	Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	166	94%	81%	91%	86%
Q48	Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working	313	76%	62%	73%	67%
Home	care and support					
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	551	62%	54%	62%	58%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	219	52%	38%	51%	45%

		2016	Case-mix A	djusted	
Question	Number of respondents for this CCG	2016 Percentage for this CCG	Lower limit of expected range	Upper limit of expected range	National Average Score

Your overall NHS care

Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	656	66%	57%	65%	61%
Q55	Patient given a care plan	530	37%	29%	37%	33%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	667	78%	61%	74%	67%
Q59	Patient`s average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	654	8.9	8.6	8.9	8.7

Seeing your GP



			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	474	79%	486	81%		81%	73%	80%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	690	87%	661	87%		87%	80%	86%	83%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

8

 \uparrow or \downarrow

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Diagnostic Tests



			Unad	djusted S	cores		20	116 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20)15	20	16	0		710 0450	IVIIX 7 taja	Sicu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q5	Received all the information needed about the test	-	-	558	97%		97%	92%	96%	94%
Q6	The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	579	91%	562	90%		89%	85%	90%	87%
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	577	83%	565	83%		83%	76%	82%	79%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

↑ or ↓

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Finding out what was wrong with you


Q8 Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer

Q9 Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer

Q10 Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong

Q11 Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted




			Unad	djusted S	cores					
		20	618 72% 700 89% 662 86% 8				20	116 Case	Mix Adju	sted
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	-	-	618	72%		72%	71%	81%	76%
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	700	89%	662	86%		86%	81%	87%	84%
Q10	Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	698	77%	670	76%		76%	70%	77%	73%
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	611	75%	562	75%		75%	69%	76%	72%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

↑ or ↓ Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 1 of 2)



			Unac	djusted S	cores		2016 Case Mix Adjusted				
		20	15	2016		0					
	Question Patient felt that treatment options were		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score	
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	601	87%	591	86%		86%	80%	86%	83%	
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable way	652	77%	649	75%		75%	69%	76%	72%	
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	670	71%	644	73%		72%	62%	70%	66%	


Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

↑ or ↓ (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 2 of 2)



			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0			-,-	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q15	Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	615	61%	608	61%		61%	50%	58%	54%
Q16	Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	678	84%	655	83%		83%	75%	81%	78%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

12

 \uparrow or \downarrow

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Clinical Nurse Specialist



			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0			TVIIX 7 taja	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q17	Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	675	92%	652	90%		90%	87%	93%	90%
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	532	91%	514	91%		90%	83%	89%	86%
Q19	Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	509	93%	481	90%		90%	86%	91%	88%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

↑ or ↓

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Support for people with cancer




Q21 Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities

Q22 Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help

Q23 Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted




			Unac	ljusted S	cores		20)16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	469	83%	475	81%		81%	79%	89%	84%
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	440	80%	438	82%		81%	78%	85%	81%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	346	50%	340	55%		54%	51%	62%	56%
Q23	Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	297	75%	269	78%		76%	76%	85%	80%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

↑ or ↓ Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Operations



			Unac	djusted So	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0				2100
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q25	Beforehand had all the information needed about the operation	-	-	346	94%		94%	94%	98%	96%
Q26	Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way	382	84%	340	79%		78%	74%	83%	79%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

15

 \uparrow or \downarrow

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 3)



10%

20%

30%

40%

50%

60%

70%

90% 100%

00L

Q31 Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted

 \uparrow or \downarrow


			Unac	djusted S	cores		20)16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20)15	20	16	0		710 0000		
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q28	Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	407	86%	390	87%		87%	78%	86%	82%
Q29	Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	405	90%	395	89%		89%	81%	88%	85%
Q30	Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	332	76%	329	78%		79%	68%	78%	73%
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	408	74%	396	77%		77%	70%	78%	74%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 3)



		Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjus			sted
		20)15	20	16	0		710 Gudo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J.00
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	407	70%	393	68%		69%	62%	72%	67%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	398	74%	388	73%		72%	60%	75%	68%
Q34	Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	407	87%	392	85%		85%	82%	89%	85%
Q35	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	273	58%	285	52%		52%	46%	58%	52%


Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

 \uparrow or \downarrow

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 3 of 3)




		Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjus			sted
		20	15	20	16	0				
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q36	Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain	339	85%	344	85%		84%	80%	88%	84%
Q37	Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	405	88%	391	90%		90%	85%	91%	88%
Q38	Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge	382	85%	368	84%		84%	82%	89%	86%
Q39	Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	389	96%	380	93%		93%	92%	96%	94%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

↑ or ↓ Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 1 of 2)




Q42 Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them

Q44 Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment

Q45 Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working

All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted

↑ or ↓




		Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjuste			sted
		20	15	20	16	0				
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	516	78%	509	78%		77%	67%	74%	70%
Q42	Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	620	96%	596	98%		98%	94%	97%	96%
Q44	Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	166	87%	166	93%		94%	81%	91%	86%
Q45	Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	131	63%	133	60%		60%	51%	68%	60%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 2 of 2)



		Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		20)15	20	16	0	,			0.00
	Question		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q47	Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	333	88%	346	86%		86%	80%	88%	84%
Q48	Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working	293	72%	313	76%		76%	62%	73%	67%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

20

 \uparrow or \downarrow


^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Home care and support

Q49 Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home

Q50 Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment

Q51 Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment



All scores presented in this chart are case-mix adjusted

↑ or ↓

			Unadjusted Scores				2016 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		20)15	20	16	0				
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	544	63%	551	63%		62%	54%	62%	58%
Q50	Patient definitely given enough support		68%	423	60%	1	58%	46%	60%	53%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	225	59%	219	54%		52%	38%	51%	45%


Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Care from your general practice



			Unac	djusted S	cores		20	16 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	15	20	16	0		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q52	GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	623	98%	601	97%		97%	94%	97%	95%
Q53	Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient	506	64%	506	65%		65%	57%	66%	62%

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

22

 \uparrow or \downarrow

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 1 of 2)




			Unac	ljusted S	cores		2016 Case Mix Adjus			sted
		20	15	20	16	0		5 5456	x r taju	
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	677	66%	656	66%		66%	57%	65%	61%
Q55	Patient given a care plan	561	37%	530	37%		37%	29%	37%	33%
Q56	Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	687	92%	669	91%		90%	87%	92%	89%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	684	76%	667	78%		78%	61%	74%	67%


Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


↑ or ↓ Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 2 of 2)







		Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
		20	15	20	16					olou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q59	Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	682	8.9	654	8.9		8.9	8.6	8.9	8.7

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

↑ or ↓

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Comparisons by tumour group for this CCG

The following tables show the unadjusted CCG and the national percentage scores for each question broken down by tumour group. Where a cell in the table contains an asterisk this indicates that the number of patients in that group was below 21 and too small to display. Where a cell in the table contains "n.a." this indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

Seeing your GP

	Q1. Saw Gl twice befo had to go t	re being told	Q2. Patien they were soon as ne	seen as
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	63%	*	79%
Breast	95%	94%	94%	90%
Colorectal / LGT	75%	71%	87%	81%
Gynaecological	77%	75%	83%	79%
Haematological	70%	65%	89%	81%
Head and Neck	*	77%	*	79%
Lung	77%	70%	78%	83%
Prostate	75%	78%	78%	86%
Sarcoma	*	66%	*	67%
Skin	*	90%	*	86%
Upper Gastro	84%	72%	76%	78%
Urological	87%	82%	86%	85%
Other	81%	72%	89%	79%
All Cancers	81%	77%	87%	83%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Diagnostic tests

	Q5. Receivinformatio	n needed		ngth of time the test to as about	Q7. Given of explanation results in understand	n of test
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	90%	*	81%	*	70%
Breast	96%	95%	90%	92%	88%	82%
Colorectal / LGT	97%	95%	91%	87%	82%	80%
Gynaecological	93%	93%	74%	85%	73%	75%
Haematological	99%	94%	94%	89%	83%	77%
Head and Neck	*	93%	*	85%	*	78%
Lung	97%	94%	87%	87%	87%	78%
Prostate	97%	95%	90%	86%	87%	80%
Sarcoma	*	93%	*	79%	*	74%
Skin	*	95%	*	88%	*	85%
Upper Gastro	98%	93%	84%	82%	81%	77%
Urological	100%	94%	89%	87%	80%	79%
Other	96%	95%	94%	86%	87%	76%
All Cancers	97% 94%		90%	87%	83%	79%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Finding out what was wrong with you

	Q8. Patient could bring member of when first had cancer	a family friend told they	Q9. Patien were told : that they h	sensitively	Q10. Patied completely the explan what was v	understood ation of	Q11. Patie easy to un- written inf about the cancer the	derstand formation type of
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	This CCG ^{\$} National		National
Brain / CNS	*	83%	*	73%	*	63%	*	63%
Breast	70%	82%	91%	88%	84%	78%	73%	77%
Colorectal / LGT	74%	80%	84%	86%	78%	78%	81%	71%
Gynaecological	80%	71%	90%	82%	74%	72%	50%	69%
Haematological	73%	71%	87%	83%	63%	60%	76%	74%
Head and Neck	*	70%	*	86%	*	75%	*	64%
Lung	71%	78%	88%	83%	80%	75%	85%	65%
Prostate	73%	77%	93%	84%	85%	78%	84%	81%
Sarcoma	*	72%	*	81%	*	67%	*	64%
Skin	*	63%	*	89%	*	79%	*	83%
Upper Gastro	75%	77%	79%	80%	67%	72%	71%	66%
Urological	64%	72%	84%	83%	77%	77%	71%	72%
Other	73%	74%	80%	82%	80%	70%	69%	62%
All Cancers	72%	76%	86%	84%	76%	73%	75%	72%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Deciding the best treatment for you

	Q12. Patiel treatment were comp explained	•	Q13. Possi effects exp understand	lained in an	Q14. Patie practical a support in side effect treatment	dvice and dealing with
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	78%	*	72%	*	61%
Breast	88%	84%	75%	75%	70%	69%
Colorectal / LGT	87%	85%	80%	75%	77%	68%
Gynaecological	80%	84%	83%	74%	69%	66%
Haematological	89%	81%	78%	69%	75%	64%
Head and Neck	*	85%	*	70%	*	68%
Lung	84%	83%	74%	74%	81%	68%
Prostate	82%	81%	74%	72%	67%	62%
Sarcoma	*	83%	*	72%	*	66%
Skin	*	88%	*	76%	*	70%
Upper Gastro	87%	83%	83%	73%	80%	67%
Urological	84%	81%	67% 72%		66%	62%
Other	83%	79%	72%	70%	70%	63%
All Cancers	86%	83%	75%	72%	73%	66%

	told about	nt definitely side effects affect them re	Q16. Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	55%	*	73%	
Breast	55%	56%	81%	79%	
Colorectal / LGT	64%	56%	80%	79%	
Gynaecological	45% 52%		80%	77%	
Haematological	67%	49%	85%	77%	
Head and Neck	*	59%	*	78%	
Lung	69%	54%	80%	79%	
Prostate	73%	63%	82%	79%	
Sarcoma	*	54%	*	80%	
Skin	*	61%	*	85%	
Upper Gastro	67%	53%	85%	77%	
Urological	50%	50% 53%		77%	
Other	56%	50%	82%	74%	
All Cancers	61%	54%	83%	78%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Clinical Nurse Specialist

			NS who easy to contact their them CNS			dable important all or most
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	95%	*	82%	*	83%
Breast	98%	94%	84%	86%	88%	89%
Colorectal / LGT	86%	91%	95%	88%	88%	89%
Gynaecological	97%	94%	*	84%	*	87%
Haematological	91%	90%	98%	88%	95%	89%
Head and Neck	*	88%	*	87%	*	87%
Lung	98%	94%	87%	88%	92%	88%
Prostate	85%	88%	100%	84%	96%	88%
Sarcoma	*	88%	*	87%	*	90%
Skin	*	88%	*	89%	*	90%
Upper Gastro	92%	92%	95%	86%	93%	87%
Urological	82%	81%	89%	85%	94%	89%
Other	83%	87%	85%	85%	83%	86%
All Cancers	90%	90%	91%	86%	90%	88%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Support for people with cancer

	Q20. Hospital staff gave information about support groups		Q21. Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities		Q22. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help		Q23. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	84%	*	81%	*	67%	*	71%
Breast	81%	89%	78%	85%	52%	62%	71%	80%
Colorectal / LGT	70%	84%	75%	82%	38%	54%	67%	82%
Gynaecological	95%	83%	*	79%	*	58%	*	77%
Haematological	84%	83%	91%	82%	64%	58%	89%	86%
Head and Neck	*	83%	*	80%	*	59%	*	79%
Lung	85%	83%	81%	80%	72%	69%	*	84%
Prostate	86%	86%	83%	83%	*	44%	*	79%
Sarcoma	n.a.	83%	*	82%	*	56%	*	78%
Skin	*	86%	*	82%	*	52%	*	62%
Upper Gastro	91%	83%	97%	80%	65%	60%	*	84%
Urological	67%	74%	73%	72%	41%	35%	*	67%
Other	71%	80%	69%	77%	43%	55%	73%	80%
All Cancers	81%	84%	82%	81%	55%	56%	78%	80%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Operations

	Q25. Befor all the info needed ab operation		Q26. Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	93%	*	68%	
Breast	94%	97%	82%	78%	
Colorectal / LGT	89%	96%	78%	83%	
Gynaecological	* 96%		*	79%	
Haematological	*	93%	*	75%	
Head and Neck	*	94%	*	78%	
Lung	*	97%	*	79%	
Prostate	95%	96%	81%	77%	
Sarcoma	*	93%	*	80%	
Skin	*	96%	*	83%	
Upper Gastro	100%	96%	88%	79%	
Urological	96%	95%	75%	77%	
Other	96%	96% 95%		78%	
All Cancers	94%	96%	79%	79%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 2)

	or nurses of in front of			nt had and trust in treating	Q30. Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor		Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	74%	*	79%	*	61%	*	66%
Breast	93%	89%	87%	86%	77%	76%	77%	76%
Colorectal / LGT	78%	76%	88%	85%	75%	72%	69%	70%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	85%	*	72%	*	71%
Haematological	90%	81%	88%	81%	84%	73%	77%	74%
Head and Neck	*	79%	*	84%	*	74%	*	72%
Lung	85%	77%	74%	82%	79%	73%	85%	75%
Prostate	96%	85%	96%	88%	91%	74%	83%	79%
Sarcoma	*	80%	*	85%	*	72%	*	74%
Skin	*	87%	*	92%	*	80%	*	85%
Upper Gastro	89%	74%	89%	82%	76%	73%	75%	71%
Urological	82%	80%	93%	86%	68%	71%	73%	77%
Other	86%	79%	89%	81%	88%	70%	86%	71%
All Cancers	87%	82%	89%	85%	78%	73%	77%	74%

	Q32. Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty		Q33. All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by		Q34. Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment		Q35. Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	59%	*	65%	*	76%	*	38%
Breast	78%	71%	58%	61%	83%	86%	52%	54%
Colorectal / LGT	57%	62%	72%	70%	80%	84%	41%	53%
Gynaecological	*	66%	*	65%	*	83%	*	50%
Haematological	63%	62%	79%	70%	88%	86%	56%	56%
Head and Neck	*	65%	*	69%	*	86%	*	54%
Lung	74%	70%	63%	72%	85%	83%	50%	50%
Prostate	75%	73%	74%	68%	87%	89%	*	52%
Sarcoma	*	71%	*	71%	*	88%	*	53%
Skin	*	78%	*	67%	*	90%	*	62%
Upper Gastro	71%	64%	81%	74%	93%	83%	70%	50%
Urological	67%	68%	84%	72%	86%	87%	48%	47%
Other	68%	62%	85%	68%	93%	83%	*	47%
All Cancers	68%	67%	73%	68%	85%	85%	52%	52%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 2)

	definitely	thing to help dignity by staff		Q38. Given written inf about wha should not discharge	ormation t should /	Q39. Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	79%	*	79%	*	76%	*	91%
Breast	85%	86%	88%	88%	88%	91%	91%	96%
Colorectal / LGT	76%	84%	86%	87%	72%	84%	92%	94%
Gynaecological	*	83%	*	87%	*	87%	*	94%
Haematological	87%	83%	91%	89%	81%	80%	90%	95%
Head and Neck	*	81%	*	87%	*	85%	*	91%
Lung	87%	84%	85%	87%	67%	81%	92%	91%
Prostate	*	85%	88%	91%	100%	89%	96%	94%
Sarcoma	*	87%	*	90%	*	84%	*	94%
Skin	*	87%	*	92%	*	89%	*	95%
Upper Gastro	100%	82%	100%	86%	93%	82%	100%	93%
Urological	85%	82%	93%	89%	83%	86%	95%	91%
Other	92%	82%	100%	86%	92%	81%	93%	93%
All Cancers	85%	84%	90%	88%	84%	86%	93%	94%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient

	to discuss v	o discuss worries or right needs with staff during docum		142. Doctor had the ght notes and other ocumentation with nem		Q44. Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment		Q45. Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	
Brain / CNS	*	63%	*	95%	*	86%	*	58%	
Breast	77%	70%	95%	96%	94%	88%	69%	60%	
Colorectal / LGT	75%	72%	99%	96%	*	86%	*	58%	
Gynaecological	77%	68%	100%	95%	*	85%	*	62%	
Haematological	87%	74%	98%	97%	92%	84%	*	64%	
Head and Neck	*	71%	*	96%	*	84%	*	61%	
Lung	73%	70%	98%	95%	*	85%	*	58%	
Prostate	83%	72%	100%	96%	*	89%	*	58%	
Sarcoma	*	72%	*	97%	n.a.	89%	n.a.	69%	
Skin	*	72%	*	97%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	59%	
Upper Gastro	84%	68%	94%	94%	*	86%	*	57%	
Urological	65%	68%	98%	96%	*	81%	*	56%	
Other	77%	67%	100%	95%	*	83%	*	58%	
All Cancers	78%	70%	98%	96%	93%	86%	60%	60%	

	Q47. Befor patient had informatio about cher treatment	d all	Q48. Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working		
Cancer type	This CCG ^s	National	This CCG ^s	National	
Brain / CNS	*	80%	*	59%	
Breast	84%	82%	66%	62%	
Colorectal / LGT	85%	85%	71%	63%	
Gynaecological	* 84%		*	66%	
Haematological	86%	84%	83%	75%	
Head and Neck	*	80%	*	58%	
Lung	89%	84%	85%	68%	
Prostate	*	84%	*	67%	
Sarcoma	*	86%	*	73%	
Skin	n.a.	88%	n.a.	78%	
Upper Gastro	86%	84%	72%	64%	
Urological	* 84%		*	67%	
Other	86%	85%	84%	68%	
All Cancers	86%	84%	76%	67%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Home care and support

	informatio				Q51. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	
Brain / CNS	*	49%	*	42%	*	41%	
Breast	60%	57%	57%	53%	51%	40%	
Colorectal / LGT	54%	60%	62%	61%	45%	51%	
Gynaecological	77%	56%	*	50%	*	39%	
Haematological	72%	60%	60%	51%	58%	44%	
Head and Neck	*	61%	*	52%	*	48%	
Lung	57%	57%	57%	50%	*	43%	
Prostate	68%	56%	*	48%	*	43%	
Sarcoma	*	59%	*	55%	*	48%	
Skin	*	65%	*	57%	*	59%	
Upper Gastro	73%	59%	59%	55%	58%	48%	
Urological	56%	58%	*	47%	*	43%	
Other	58%	54%	54%	55%	*	48%	
All Cancers	63%	58%	60%	53%	54%	45%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Care from your general practice

	informatio	ven enough n about ondition and	Q53. Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	
Brain / CNS	*	89%	*	51%	
Breast	97%	96%	68%	62%	
Colorectal / LGT	97%	95%	56%	62%	
Gynaecological	89% 95%		*	61%	
Haematological	99%	96%	65%	59%	
Head and Neck	*	94%	*	59%	
Lung	93%	95%	74%	61%	
Prostate	100%	96%	82%	67%	
Sarcoma	*	95%	*	56%	
Skin	*	96%	*	67%	
Upper Gastro	98%	94%	59%	61%	
Urological	98%	95%	60%	64%	
Other	96%	95%	64%	59%	
All Cancers	97%	95%	65%	62%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Your overall NHS care

	Q54. Hospital and community staff always worked well together		Q55. Patient given a care plan		Q56. Overall the administration of the care was very good / good		Q57. Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	43%	*	32%	*	82%	*	61%
Breast	64%	61%	29%	37%	89%	91%	75%	65%
Colorectal / LGT	61%	60%	33%	35%	91%	89%	85%	70%
Gynaecological	72%	58%	46%	30%	97%	89%	74%	66%
Haematological	72%	63%	49%	33%	93%	92%	76%	63%
Head and Neck	*	62%	*	36%	*	89%	*	69%
Lung	64%	63%	38%	33%	91%	89%	89%	71%
Prostate	73%	65%	41%	35%	95%	88%	79%	73%
Sarcoma	*	56%	*	28%	*	87%	*	61%
Skin	*	69%	*	39%	*	90%	*	76%
Upper Gastro	70%	58%	43%	34%	94%	87%	76%	66%
Urological	70%	63%	32%	27%	89%	87%	83%	75%
Other	64%	55%	26%	29%	89%	88%	74%	61%
All Cancers	66%	61%	37%	33%	91%	89%	78%	67%

	Q58. Takin cancer reso discussed v		Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	24%	*	8.3	
Breast	25%	28%	9.0	8.8	
Colorectal / LGT	25%	26%	8.7	8.7	
Gynaecological	14%	30%	9.1	8.7	
Haematological	27%	27% 34%		8.9	
Head and Neck	*	19%	*	8.7	
Lung	37%	33%	9.1	8.7	
Prostate	55%	34%	8.9	8.7	
Sarcoma	*	33%	*	8.6	
Skin	*	18%	*	8.9	
Upper Gastro	22%	33%	8.6	8.6	
Urological	17%	15%	8.9	8.7	
Other	34%	30%	9.0	8.6	
All Cancers	26%	29%	8.9	8.7	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Annex

Methodology

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2016.

The patients included in the sample had relevant cancer ICD10 codes (C00-99 excluding C44 and C84, and D05) in the first diagnosis field of their patient records, applied to their patient files by the relevant NHS Trust, and were alive at the point at which fieldwork commenced. Deceased checks were undertaken on up to three occasions during fieldwork, to ensure that questionnaires were not sent to patients who had died since their treatment.

Trust samples were checked rigorously for duplicates and patient lists were also de-duplicated nationally to ensure that patients did not receive multiple copies of questionnaires.

The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2016 and March 2017.

The survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete online. A Freephone helpline was available for respondents to ask questions about the survey, to enable them to complete their questionnaires over the phone, and to provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

The Health Research Authority supported the survey by granting Section 251 approval.

Further information

Further information on survey methodology, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at www.ncpes.co.uk

Redevelopment of the 2016 survey

The following changes have been made to the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey in 2016:

- question 5 and 25 are no longer presented in a tick all that apply format and their response options have been revised. This has allowed the questions to be scored and presented in the comparability charts, data tables and tumour group tables. Because of these changes, no comparison with 2015 results is possible
- question 8 has had a response option removed. Because of this change, no comparison with 2015 results is possible.

Official Statistics

The 2016 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

Scoring methodologies

49 of the 50 questions relating directly to patient experience have been summarised as the score of the percentage of patients who reported a positive experience. For example:

- question 6 asks: "Overall, how did you feel about the length of time you had to wait for your test to be done?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("It was about right")
- question 11 asks: "When you were told you had cancer, were you given written information about the type of cancer you had?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("Yes, and it was easy to understand").

Where options do not provide any information on positive/negative patient experience (e.g. "Don't know / can't remember"), they are excluded from the score.

The other question (question 59) asks respondents to rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10. Scores have been given as an average on this scale.

A copy of the 2016 questionnaire, marked up with all of these scoring conventions, is available at www.ncpes.co.uk

Further details on the scoring methodology can be found in the technical document for the survey, available at www.ncpes.co.uk

Case-mix adjustment

As in 2015, case-mix adjusted findings are being presented alongside unadjusted results for CCGs. Case-mix adjustment allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a CCG is performing given their patient population.

The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at www.ncpes.co.uk

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2016 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify any changes between 2015 and 2016 unadjusted scores which are 'statistically significant'. 'Statistically significant' means that you can be very confident that any change between scores is real and not due to chance.

For further details on statistical significance, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at www.ncpes.co.uk

Response Rates

	Sample Size	Excluded	Adjusted Sample	Not Returned	Blank / Refused	•	Response Rate
National	118,253	8,590	109,663	33,035	3,840	72,788	67%
00L	981	65	916	211	26	679	74%

Respondents by tumour group

The tables below show the numbers of patients from each tumour group and the age and gender distribution of these patients.

Tumour Group	Number of respondents*
Brain / CNS	5
Breast	130
Gynaecological	31
Colorectal / LGT	90
Lung	44
Skin	19
Haematological	131
Upper Gastro	48
Other	63
Urological	59
Prostate	40
Sarcoma	3
Head and Neck	16

^{*} These figures may not match the numerator for all questions in the 'Comparisons by tumour group' section of this report, because not all questions were answered by all respondents.

Respondents by age and gender

The questionnaire asked respondents to give their year of birth. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the CCG was as follows:

	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	85+	Total
Male	2	2	9	24	54	137	88	13	329
Female	0	1	10	43	78	141	63	14	350
Total	2	3	19	67	132	278	151	27	679



Quality Health is a specialist health and social care survey organisation, working for public, private and not-for-profit sectors, in the UK and overseas.

Quality Health works with all acute hospitals in England, all independent providers of hospital care, and all Health Boards in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Quality Health is an approved contractor for the Care Quality Commission's patient survey programmes, NHS England's National Staff Survey programme, and the national Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs).

Further information on Quality Health is available at www.quality-health.co.uk

Further information on the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available www.ncpes.co.uk