

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2018 Results

NHS Mansfield and Ashfield Clinical Commissioning Group

Published September 2019

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Quality Health on behalf of NHS England



Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2018 is the eighth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development.

The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Quality Health, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

Full national results and other reports are available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports

Further details on the survey methodology and changes to the 2018 survey can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

This report

The report shows how this CCG scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual CCGs to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement.

Note that responses for questions with 1-20 respondents have been suppressed. This is to protect patient confidentiality and because uncertainty around the result is too great.

Data tables

The data tables presented in this report show the following for each question:

Column 1 shows the number of respondents for 2017 to this question

Column 2 shows the unadjusted 2017 score for this CCG

Column 3 shows the number of respondents for 2018 to this question

Column 4 shows the unadjusted 2018 score for this CCG

Column 5 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased compared with the last survey (2017)

Column 6 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

Column 7 shows the case-mix adjusted 2018 score for this CCG

Column 8 shows the lower limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the top of the pale blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 9 shows the upper limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the bottom of the dark blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 10 shows the national average score for this question.

Data tables (continued)

The number of respondents and unadjusted scores in columns 1 to 4 in the data tables come from regression models used to perform comparisons between results in different years. For further details on these comparisons, please refer to the technical document at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

		Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10
				nadjuste		es		2018	B Case	Mix Adju	usted
		20	17	20	18	Q Q					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	153	74%	127	77%			77%	70%	85%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	219	83%	189	86%			85%	78%	89%	84%

Results for individual response options are presented in the detailed data tables available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/local-reports-2018/data-tables-2018

Confidence Intervals for unadjusted and case-mix adjusted data are provided in these tables.

Expected ranges and 95% confidence intervals highlight the uncertainty around the results. The size of the expected ranges and confidence intervals will be different for each question, and depends on the number of respondents and the range of their responses.

For further details on case-mix adjustment and the scoring methodology used, please refer to the Annex towards the end of this report.

Comparability charts

For the 2018 survey, we have adopted the CQC standard for reporting comparative performance, based on calculation of "expected ranges". CCGs whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (below the lower limit of the expected range) are positive (negative) outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher (lower) than the national mean. They perform better (worse) than what CCGs of the same size are expected to perform.

The comparability charts in this report show a bar with these expected ranges (in grey), higher than expected (in dark blue), and lower than expected (in pale blue). A black dot represents the actual score of this CCG.

Comparability charts (continued)

The same colour convention has been used in Column 7 of the data tables.

For further details on expected ranges, please refer to the technical document at: www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Tumour group tables

The final set of tables in this report show the scores for each question for each of the 13 tumour groups. The national score for that tumour group is also shown.

These breakdowns are intended as additional information for CCGs to understand the differences between the experiences of patients with different types of cancer. Scores for some tumour sites with small numbers (less than 21 respondents) are not presented as they have low precision. Scores in these tables should therefore be treated with some caution.

Scores are unadjusted mean scores. No measure of dispersion is presented. Scores for the same tumour and different CCGs may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document at: www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Year on Year Charts

The Year on Year charts in this report show four columns representing the unadjusted scores1 of the last four years (2015, 2016, 2017 & 2018) for each question. These charts have been designed to provide a visual comparison to better help the CCGs understand their performance and areas for improvement.

Notes on specific questions

Questions used solely to direct respondents to different parts of the survey (questions 4, 24, 27, 40, 43, 46) and other demographic and information questions are not reported.

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

¹The unadjusted scores in the columns come from regression models used to perform comparisons between results in different years. For further details on these comparisons, please refer to the technical document at:

How to use the data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the CCG.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with case-mix adjusted confidence intervals are presented in the detailed data tables at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/local-reports-2018/data-tables-2018

These should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than the results for another CCG.

Response rates

Numbers of respondents by tumour group, age and gender can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

Executive Summary

8.7 The average rating given by respondents when asked to rate their care on a scale of zero (very poor) to 10 (very good)

The following questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England*:

- 76% of respondents said that they were definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment
- 91% of respondents said that they were given the name of a Clinical Nurse Specialist who would support them through their treatment
- **81%** of respondents said that it had been 'quite easy' or 'very easy' to contact their Clinical Nurse Specialist
- 91% of respondents said that, overall, they were always treated with dignity and respect while they were in hospital
- 94% of respondents said that hospital staff told them who to contact if they were worried about their condition or treatment after they left hospital
- **59%** of respondents said that they thought the GPs and nurses at their general practice definitely did everything they could to support them while they were having cancer treatment.


Detailed results for these and other questions are set out in the sections that follow.

The questions were selected in discussion with the national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group and reflect four key patient experience domains: provision of information; involvement in decisions; care transition; interpersonal relations, respect and dignity. The figures presented above are all case-mix adjusted.

Questions which scored outside expected range

			2018	Case-mix A	djusted	
Quest	ion	Number of respondents for this CCG	2018 Score for this CCG	Lower limit of expected range	Upper limit of expected range	Average Score
Findir	ng out what was wrong with you					
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	173	83%	67%	81%	74%
Decid	ing the best treatment for you					
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	167	90%	77%	89%	83%
Supp	ort for people with cancer					
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	148	80%	81%	92%	86%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	99	45%	50%	71%	60%
Hospi	tal care as an inpatient					
Q33	All staff asked nations what name they preferred to be	132	82%	59%	79%	69%
Your	overall NHS care					

Seeing your GP



			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score		2018 Case Mix Adjuste			sted	
		20	17	20	18	0				,-	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	153	74%	127	77%			77%	70%	85%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	219	83%	189	86%			85%	78%	89%	84%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Diagnostic Tests




			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score		2018 Case Mix Adju			sted	
		20)17	20	18	Ω		201	o ouse		
	Question Received all the information needed		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q5	Received all the information needed about the test	190	94%	166	94%			94%	91%	98%	94%
Q6	The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	197	90%	165	90%			89%	83%	93%	88%
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	195	82%	168	77%			77%	73%	85%	79%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Finding out what was wrong with you




			ι	Inadjust	ed Score		2018 Case Mix Adju		Mix Adiu	sted	
		2017 2018 Change						201	o oase	iviix 7 taja	olou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	203	82%	186	78%			78%	71%	84%	78%
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	214	86%	189	86%			85%	79%	90%	85%
Q10	Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	218	77%	194	76%			76%	67%	80%	74%
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	205	77%	173	83%		1	83%	67%	81%	74%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 1 of 2)




			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score	es		201	18 Case Mix Adjuste		etad
		20	17	20	18	C		201	o oasc	iviix Aaja	Sicu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	200	87%	167	90%		1	90%	77%	89%	83%
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable way	214	78%	175	77%			77%	67%	80%	73%
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	211	72%	170	65%			65%	60%	74%	67%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 2 of 2)



		Unadjusted Scores						2018 Case Mix			sted
		2017 2018 Change Change									
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q15	Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	199	63%	154	58%			58%	48%	64%	56%
Q16	Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	215	82%	183	77%			76%	73%	85%	79%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Clinical Nurse Specialist



			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score		2018 Case Mix Ad			stad	
		20)17	20	18	Ω		201	o ouse	,	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q17	Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	217	92%	188	90%			91%	87%	96%	91%
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	180	90%	143	82%	\		81%	79%	92%	85%
Q19	Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	177	85%	139	86%			86%	83%	93%	88%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Support for people with cancer




			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score	es		2018 Case M		Mix Adiusted	
		20	17	20	18	Ω		201	U Case	viix Auju	Jicu -
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	167	81%	148	78%			80%	81%	92%	86%
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	157	79%	128	77%			78%	76%	89%	83%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	118	50%	99	40%			45%	50%	71%	60%
Q23	Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	99	81%	82	76%			76%	73%	90%	81%

- Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

 ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

 (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
 - * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Operations




			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score	es		2018 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		20)17	20	18	C					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q25	Beforehand had all the information needed about the operation	141	97%	119	96%			96%	92%	99%	96%
Q26	Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way	142	77%	115	77%			77%	71%	86%	79%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 3)




			L	Inadjust	ed Score	es		2018 Case Mix A		Miv Adiu	stad
		20	17	20	18	Ω		201	o Case	iviix Auju	Sieu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q28	Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	161	81%	130	76%			77%	76%	89%	82%
Q29	Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	162	85%	130	83%			83%	79%	91%	85%
Q30	Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	143	73%	112	73%			73%	65%	82%	74%
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	161	76%	132	80%			79%	68%	83%	75%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 3)




			L	Jnadjust	ed Score	es		201	9 Casa	Mix Adju	stod
		20)17	20	18	Ω		201	o Case	iviix Auju	SIEU
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	161	71%	131	68%			66%	59%	75%	67%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	161	80%	132	83%			82%	59%	79%	69%
Q34	Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	162	93%	132	88%			88%	80%	92%	86%
Q35	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	122	57%	104	57%			56%	43%	62%	53%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 3 of 3)




			l	Inadjust	ed Score	es		2018 Case Mix Adjusted			
		20)17	20	18	C		201	o Case	iviix Adju	siea
Question		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q36	Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain	144	88%	121	84%			84%	77%	90%	84%
Q37	Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	161	90%	132	92%			91%	83%	94%	89%
Q38	Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge	155	85%	124	91%			91%	81%	93%	87%
Q39	Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	155	92%	126	94%			94%	90%	98%	94%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 1 of 2)




			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score	s		2018 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		20	17	20	18	C		201	o oase	viix 7 taja	olou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	165	70%	144	73%			73%	63%	78%	71%
Q42	Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	197	95%	160	93%			93%	92%	99%	96%
Q44	Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	59	97%	34	91%			91%	75%	98%	86%
Q45	Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	48	63%	26	77%			76%	41%	78%	60%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 2 of 2)




		Unadjusted Scores						2018 Case Mix Adjusted			ısted
		20	17	20	18	C		201	0 0000	iviix 7 taja	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q47	Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	101	86%	86	88%			89%	77%	92%	84%
Q48	Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working	92	64%	76	70%			68%	57%	78%	68%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Home care and support



		Unadjusted Scores						201	8 Casa	Mix Adju	eted
		20)17	20	18	C		201	o oasc	iviix Aaja	Sicu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	186	58%	166	57%			57%	53%	68%	60%
Q50	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	104	45%	98	46%			46%	42%	64%	53%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	65	35%	68	51%			50%	33%	57%	45%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Care from your general practice




		Unadjusted Scores						2018 Case Mix Adjust			sted
		2017 2018 0									
	Question		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q52	GP given enough information about patient`s condition and treatment	197	94%	158	94%			94%	92%	98%	95%
Q53	Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient	151	60%	130	59%			59%	51%	68%	59%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 1 of 2)



			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score	es		201	8 Casa	Mix Adju	etad
		20)17	20	18	Ω		201	o case	iviix Auju	Sicu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	210	60%	185	63%			62%	54%	68%	61%
Q55	Patient given a care plan	164	35%	148	32%			32%	27%	43%	35%
Q56	Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	218	86%	190	87%			87%	84%	93%	88%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	216	61%	187	61%			60%	61%	77%	69%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score


↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 2 of 2)







		Unadjusted Scores						2018 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		2017 2018		201	o oaso	iviix 7 taja	otou				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q59	Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	214	8.8	187	8.7			8.7	8.6	9.0	8.8

- Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
 ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)
 (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
 - * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Comparisons by tumour group for this CCG

The following tables show the unadjusted CCG and the national percentage scores for each question broken down by tumour group. Where a cell in the table contains an asterisk this indicates that the number of patients in that group was below 21 and too small to display. Where a cell in the table contains "n.a." this indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

Seeing your GP

	Q1. Saw (twice before told had the hospital	_	they were	nt thought e seen as necessary
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	58%	*	73%
Breast	*	94%	84%	90%
Colorectal / LGT	*	72%	81%	83%
Gynaecological	*	75%	*	79%
Haematological	54%	64%	84%	81%
Head and Neck	*	79%	*	80%
Lung	*	71%	*	82%
Prostate	*	81%	*	85%
Sarcoma	n.a.	66%	n.a.	71%
Skin	*	90%	*	86%
Upper Gastro	*	72%	*	78%
Urological	86%	81%	88%	85%
Other	*	73%	*	79%
All Cancers	77%	77%	86%	84%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Diagnostic tests

		ived all the on needed test		ing for the done was	explanati results in	n complete on of test ndable way
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	92%	*	83%	*	71%
Breast	96%	95%	97%	91%	90%	82%
Colorectal / LGT	92%	96%	86%	87%	65%	81%
Gynaecological	*	94%	*	85%	*	77%
Haematological	89%	95%	93%	88%	93%	77%
Head and Neck	*	92%	*	85%	*	80%
Lung	*	94%	*	87%	*	79%
Prostate	*	94%	*	86%	*	79%
Sarcoma	n.a.	94%	n.a.	79%	n.a.	74%
Skin	*	96%	*	90%	*	83%
Upper Gastro	*	94%	*	83%	*	75%
Urological	94%	94%	88%	87%	72%	79%
Other	*	95%	*	86%	*	76%
All Cancers	94%	94%	90%	88%	77%	79%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Finding out what was wrong with you

	could brii member o when firs	Q8. Patient told they could bring a family nember or friend when first told they add cancer Q9. Patient felt they were told completely understood the explanation of what was wrong		_	nderstand formation type of			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	85%	*	77%	*	59%	*	63%
Breast	67%	82%	83%	89%	74%	77%	83%	78%
Colorectal / LGT	85%	82%	80%	86%	77%	79%	83%	73%
Gynaecological	*	72%	*	82%	*	73%	*	70%
Haematological	74%	73%	89%	83%	84%	61%	89%	76%
Head and Neck	*	72%	*	86%	*	76%	*	67%
Lung	*	79%	*	82%	*	76%	*	67%
Prostate	*	78%	*	85%	*	78%	*	82%
Sarcoma	*	70%	*	79%	*	61%	n.a.	57%
Skin	*	71%	*	90%	*	80%	*	84%
Upper Gastro	*	79%	*	80%	*	73%	*	66%
Urological	75%	74%	84%	82%	65%	77%	85%	73%
Other	*	76%	*	82%	*	70%	*	65%
All Cancers	78%	78%	86%	85%	76%	74%	83%	74%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Deciding the best treatment for you

	Q12. Patic treatment were com explained	pletely	effects ex	sible side xplained in standable	support i	advice and n dealing effects of
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	81%	*	70%	*	64%
Breast	90%	84%	81%	75%	76%	69%
Colorectal / LGT	96%	85%	77%	76%	52%	70%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	75%	*	67%
Haematological	94%	81%	81%	70%	69%	66%
Head and Neck	*	85%	*	74%	*	70%
Lung	*	84%	*	74%	*	69%
Prostate	*	82%	*	72%	*	65%
Sarcoma	*	79%	*	72%	n.a.	62%
Skin	*	89%	*	80%	*	74%
Upper Gastro	*	81%	*	72%	*	68%
Urological	76%	82%	74% 71%		61%	62%
Other	*	80%	*	72%	*	64%
All Cancers	90%	83%	77%	73%	65%	67%

	side effec	told about ets that ect them in	Q16. Pati definitely in decision care and	involved
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	61%	*	70%
Breast	43%	56%	77%	79%
Colorectal / LGT	65%	58%	75%	80%
Gynaecological	*	55%	*	79%
Haematological	69%	51%	82%	77%
Head and Neck	*	62%	*	79%
Lung	*	56%	*	79%
Prostate	*	64%	*	81%
Sarcoma	n.a.	52%	*	75%
Skin	*	66%	*	87%
Upper Gastro	*	54%	*	76%
Urological	50%	53%	75%	77%
Other	*	53%	*	76%
All Cancers	58%	56%	77%	79%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Clinical Nurse Specialist

	Q17. Pation the name CNS who support the through the treatment	of the would hem heir	Q18. Patient found it easy to contact their CNS		Q19. Get understar answers important all or mos time	to t questions
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	94%	*	82%	*	84%
Breast	100%	95%	81%	85%	89%	88%
Colorectal / LGT	96%	92%	83%	88%	78%	89%
Gynaecological	*	94%	* 85%		*	88%
Haematological	100%	92%	94%	88%	93%	89%
Head and Neck	*	90%	*	87%	*	88%
Lung	*	93%	*	87%	*	88%
Prostate	*	90%	*	82%	*	87%
Sarcoma	*	87%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	87%
Skin	*	91%	*	89%	*	91%
Upper Gastro	*	93%	*	85%	*	87%
Urological	68%	84%	* 82%		*	87%
Other	*	88%	*	85%	*	87%
All Cancers	90%	91%	82%	85%	86%	88%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Support for people with cancer

	Q20. Hos gave info about sup groups		Q21. Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities		Q22. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help		could get free prescriptions	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	85%	*	82%	*	70%	*	79%
Breast	96%	91%	96%	86%	*	65%	*	82%
Colorectal / LGT	79%	86%	*	84%	*	58%	*	83%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	82%	*	61%	*	77%
Haematological	77%	86%	81%	84%	*	62%	*	87%
Head and Neck	*	86%	*	83%	*	60%	*	82%
Lung	*	86%	*	81%	*	71%	*	85%
Prostate	*	89%	*	85%	*	51%	*	79%
Sarcoma	*	79%	*	71%	n.a.	56%	n.a.	75%
Skin	*	89%	*	84%	*	60%	*	72%
Upper Gastro	*	84%	*	81%	*	63%	*	84%
Urological	*	79%	*	75%	*	44%	*	68%
Other	*	82%	*	78%	*	58%	*	80%
All Cancers	78%	86%	77%	83%	40%	60%	76%	81%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Operations

			Q26. Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National		
Brain / CNS	*	94%	*	70%		
Breast	100%	96%	69%	79%		
Colorectal / LGT	*	96%	*	83%		
Gynaecological	*	96%	*	81%		
Haematological	*	94%	*	77%		
Head and Neck	*	95%	*	78%		
Lung	*	95%	*	78%		
Prostate	*	95%	*	75%		
Sarcoma	n.a.	94%	n.a.	78%		
Skin	*	97%	*	84%		
Upper Gastro	*	95%	*	80%		
Urological	100%	95%	80%	76%		
Other	*	95%	*	78%		
All Cancers	96%	96%	77%	79%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 2)

	Q28. Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there		nurses confidence and trust in all doctors as if they treating them		ust in all doctors close definitely had		Q31. Pation confidence trust in all nurses	ce and
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	73%	*	77%	*	66%	*	67%
Breast	82%	89%	86%	86%	68%	77%	89%	77%
Colorectal / LGT	*	77%	*	86%	*	73%	*	71%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	86%	*	72%	*	73%
Haematological	*	81%	*	81%	*	74%	*	76%
Head and Neck	*	79%	*	86%	*	75%	*	74%
Lung	*	77%	*	83%	*	74%	*	76%
Prostate	*	86%	*	89%	*	73%	*	80%
Sarcoma	*	80%	*	84%	*	72%	*	68%
Skin	*	89%	*	90%	*	81%	*	87%
Upper Gastro	*	74%	*	82%	*	73%	*	71%
Urological	70%	80%	89%	87%	81%	70%	86%	78%
Other	*	80%	*	82%	*	71%	*	72%
All Cancers	76%	82%	83%	85%	73%	74%	80%	75%

	Q32. Alwa always er nurses or	_	Q33. All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by		patient what name enough privacy able to they preferred to be when discussing worries		when discussing condition or		Q35. Pation able to dispersion worries on with staff visit	scuss r fears
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National		
Brain / CNS	*	57%	*	68%	*	77%	*	40%		
Breast	79%	71%	86%	64%	96%	87%	64%	56%		
Colorectal / LGT	*	62%	*	71%	*	85%	*	54%		
Gynaecological	*	67%	*	67%	*	84%	*	51%		
Haematological	*	64%	*	71%	*	86%	*	55%		
Head and Neck	*	66%	*	69%	*	87%	*	55%		
Lung	*	70%	*	74%	*	85%	*	52%		
Prostate	*	73%	*	69%	*	88%	*	51%		
Sarcoma	*	64%	*	66%	*	85%	*	46%		
Skin	*	80%	*	72%	*	91%	*	59%		
Upper Gastro	*	61%	* 75%		*	84%	*	51%		
Urological	71%	69%	93%	73%	93%	85%	*	47%		
Other	*	62%	*	68%	*	82%	*	48%		
All Cancers	68%	67%	83%	69%	88%	86%	57%	53%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 2)

	Q36. Hos definitely everythin control pa	g to help	Q37. Always treated with respect and dignity by staff		Q38. Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge		Q39. Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	*	82%	*	84%	n.a.	86%	*	94%
Breast	88%	86%	93%	89%	96%	92%	100%	96%
Colorectal / LGT	*	85%	*	87%	*	84%	*	94%
Gynaecological	*	83%	*	87%	*	88%	*	95%
Haematological	*	83%	*	90%	*	81%	*	95%
Head and Neck	*	83%	*	87%	*	88%	*	93%
Lung	*	84%	*	89%	*	84%	*	92%
Prostate	*	84%	*	91%	*	90%	*	95%
Sarcoma	*	80%	*	85%	*	81%	*	94%
Skin	*	88%	*	93%	*	91%	*	96%
Upper Gastro	*	82%	*	86%	*	82%	*	94%
Urological	88%	81%	93%	89%	100%	86%	100%	91%
Other	*	81%	*	87%	*	83%	*	92%
All Cancers	84%	84%	92%	89%	91%	87%	94%	94%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient

	Q41. Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit		right notes and patient had all unders other information needed documentation with them patient had all unders information needed whether about radiotherapy treatment radiotherapy		other documentation with them		information needed about radiotherapy		Q45. Pation understal information whether radiother working	ndable on about
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National		
Brain / CNS	*	64%	*	94%	*	88%	*	47%		
Breast	63%	70%	93%	96%	*	88%	*	61%		
Colorectal / LGT	*	73%	*	96%	*	84%	*	57%		
Gynaecological	*	71%	*	96%	*	86%	*	61%		
Haematological	73%	74%	97%	96%	*	83%	*	59%		
Head and Neck	*	73%	*	96%	*	86%	*	61%		
Lung	*	69%	*	95%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	56%		
Prostate	*	73%	*	95%	*	87%	*	61%		
Sarcoma	*	63%	*	94%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	65%		
Skin	*	74%	*	97%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	72%		
Upper Gastro	*	70%	*	95%	n.a.	n.a. 82%		53%		
Urological	69%	67%	96%	95%	*	82%	*	55%		
Other	*	68%	*	95%	*	85%	*	60%		
All Cancers	73%	71%	93%	96%	91%	86%	77%	60%		

	Q47. Before patient had informatic about chemother treatments	ad all on needed erapy	Q48. Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working			
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National		
Brain / CNS	*	79%	*	50%		
Breast	*	83%	*	64%		
Colorectal / LGT	*	85%	*	64%		
Gynaecological	*	86%	*	68%		
Haematological	88%	85%	94%	75%		
Head and Neck	n.a.	79%	n.a.	54%		
Lung	*	84%	*	67%		
Prostate	n.a.	85%	n.a.	68%		
Sarcoma	*	83%	*	67%		
Skin	n.a.	86%	n.a.	79%		
Upper Gastro	*	84%	*	61%		
Urological	*	82%	*	65%		
Other	*	85%	*	70%		
All Cancers	88%	84%	70%	68%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Home care and support

	Q49. Hos gave fam someone the inform needed to care at ho	ily or close all nation help with	Q50. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment		Q51. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	60%	*	50%	n.a.	48%
Breast	62%	59%	*	54%	*	42%
Colorectal / LGT	57%	63%	*	60%	*	52%
Gynaecological	*	59%	*	47%	*	38%
Haematological	59%	63%	*	52%	*	44%
Head and Neck	*	63%	*	56%	*	53%
Lung	*	60%	*	52%	*	43%
Prostate	*	60%	*	46%	*	40%
Sarcoma	*	55%	*	49%	*	45%
Skin	*	67%	*	60%	*	59%
Upper Gastro	*	60%	*	53%	*	48%
Urological	55%	59%	* 47%		*	44%
Other	*	56%	*	52%	*	44%
All Cancers	57%	60%	46%	53%	51%	45%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Care from your general practice

	Q52. GP of enough in about pat condition treatment	nformation ient`s and	Q53. Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National		
Brain / CNS	*	88%	*	51%		
Breast	95%	96%	*	59%		
Colorectal / LGT	91%	95%	67%	58%		
Gynaecological	* 95%		*	57%		
Haematological	94%	95%	*	58%		
Head and Neck	*	93%	*	58%		
Lung	*	94%	*	58%		
Prostate	*	95%	*	64%		
Sarcoma	*	95%	*	53%		
Skin	*	97%	*	67%		
Upper Gastro	*	94%	*	58%		
Urological	96%	95%	62%	61%		
Other	*	94%	*	56%		
All Cancers	94%	95%	59%	59%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Your overall NHS care

	Q54. Hos communi always w together		Q55. Patient given a care plan		Q56. Overall the administration of the care was very good / good		Q57. Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	*	45%	*	33%	*	84%	*	59%
Breast	59%	61%	43%	39%	87%	90%	58%	68%
Colorectal / LGT	64%	61%	32%	38%	92%	88%	65%	72%
Gynaecological	*	58%	*	31%	*	87%	*	69%
Haematological	70%	64%	36%	35%	97%	91%	53%	66%
Head and Neck	*	61%	*	37%	*	88%	*	71%
Lung	*	62%	*	31%	*	89%	*	71%
Prostate	*	65%	*	36%	*	87%	*	75%
Sarcoma	*	54%	n.a.	28%	*	86%	*	64%
Skin	*	71%	*	42%	*	91%	*	73%
Upper Gastro	*	59%	*	35%	*	86%	*	68%
Urological	63%	62%	11%	30%	76%	85%	61%	75%
Other	*	57%	*	30%	*	87%	*	63%
All Cancers	63%	61%	32%	35%	87%	88%	61%	69%


	Q58. Taki cancer re discusse patient		Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	*	39%	*	8.4	
Breast	10%	31%	9.0	8.9	
Colorectal / LGT	17%	33%	8.8	8.8	
Gynaecological	*	37%	*	8.8	
Haematological	31%	32%	9.4	8.9	
Head and Neck	*	23%	*	8.8	
Lung	*	35%	*	8.8	
Prostate	*	33%	*	8.8	
Sarcoma	*	40%	*	8.6	
Skin	*	16%	*	9.0	
Upper Gastro	*	35%	*	8.7	
Urological	31%	21%	8.0	8.7	
Other	*	32%	*	8.7	
All Cancers	21%	31%	8.7	8.8	


^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Year on Year Scores

The charts below present the unadjusted scores for this Trust from 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018.


Seeing your GP







^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Diagnostic tests










^{*} This question was not asked on the 2015 survey

 $^{^{\}star\star} \text{Indicates}$ where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Finding out what was wrong with you








^{*} This question had different scored options on the 2015 survey


^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Finding out what was wrong with you (continued)




^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Deciding the best treatment for you









^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Deciding the best treatment for you (continued)







^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Clinical Nurse Specialist










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Support for people with cancer









^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Support for people with cancer (continued)



^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Operations








^{*} This question was not asked on the 2015 survey

^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (continued)










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (continued)









^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (continued)










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (continued)










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Home care and support









^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Care from your general practice







^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (continued)







^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Annex

Methodology

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2018.

The patients included in the sample had relevant cancer ICD10 codes (C00-99 excluding C44 and C84, and D05) in the first diagnosis field of their patient records, applied to their patient files by the relevant NHS Trust, and were alive at the point at which fieldwork commenced. Deceased checks were undertaken on up to three occasions during fieldwork, to ensure that questionnaires were not sent to patients who had died since their treatment.

Trust samples were checked rigorously for duplicates and patient lists were also deduplicated nationally to ensure that patients did not receive multiple copies of questionnaires.

The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2018 and March 2019.

The survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete online. A Freephone helpline was available for respondents to ask questions about the survey, to enable them to complete their questionnaires over the phone, and to provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

The Health Research Authority supported the survey by granting Section 251 approval.

Further information

Further information on survey methodology, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports

Redevelopment of the 2018 survey

There have been no changes to the questionnaire compared to 2017.

Official Statistics

The 2018 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

Annex (continued)

Scoring methodologies

51 of the 52 questions relating directly to patient experience have been summarised as the score of the percentage of patients who reported a positive experience. For example:

- question 6 asks: "Overall, how did you feel about the length of time you had to wait for your test to be done?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("It was about right")
- question 11 asks: "When you were told you had cancer, were you given written information about the type of cancer you had?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("Yes, and it was easy to understand").

Neutral responses, such as "Don't know / I can't remember" and "I did not need an explanation" are not included in the denominator when calculating the score.

Where options do not provide any information on positive/negative patient experience (e.g. "Don't know / can't remember"), they are excluded from the score.

The other question (question 59) asks respondents to rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10. Scores have been given as an average on this scale.

A copy of the detailed scoring methodology for the 2018 questionnaire is available at: www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Further details on the scoring methodology can be found in the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Case-mix adjustment

As in 2017, case-mix adjusted findings are being presented alongside unadjusted results for CCGs. Case-mix adjustment allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a CCG is performing given their patient population.

The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Annex (continued)

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2018 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'.

Each of the 52 scored questions in 2018 have been compared with those of 2017 and statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the 49 scored questions that are comparable across 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018, overall statistically significant change over the four years has also been reported where identified.

'Statistically significant' means that you can be very confident that any change between scores is real and not due to chance.

For further details on statistical significance, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Response Rates

	Sample Size	Excluded	Adjusted Sample	Not Returned	Blank / Refused	Completed	Response Rate
National	123,512	8,445	115,067	37,171	4,079	73,817	64%
04E	335	24	311	101	15	195	63%

Respondents by tumour group

The tables below show the numbers of patients from each tumour group and the age and gender distribution of these patients.

Tumour Group	Number of respondents*			
Brain / CNS	1			
Breast	31			
Colorectal / LGT	26			
Gynaecological	6			
Haematological	38			
Head and Neck	4			
Lung	8			
Prostate	17			
Sarcoma	1			
Skin	9			
Upper Gastro	6			
Urological	35			
Other	13			

^{*} These figures may not match the numerator for all questions in the 'Comparisons by tumour group' section of this report, because not all questions were answered by all respondents.

Respondents by age and gender

The questionnaire asked respondents to give their year of birth. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the CCG was as follows:

	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	85+	Total
Male	0	0	3	4	11	41	25	9	93
Female	0	2	5	12	19	36	20	8	102
Total	0	2	8	16	30	77	45	17	195



Quality Health is a specialist health and social care survey organisation, working for public, private and not-for-profit sectors, in the UK and overseas.

Quality Health works with all acute hospitals in England, all independent providers of hospital care, and all Health Boards in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Quality Health is an approved contractor for the Care Quality Commission's patient survey programmes, NHS England's National Staff Survey programme, and the national Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs).

Further information on Quality Health is available at:

www.quality-health.co.uk

Further information on the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk