

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2018 Results

NHS Haringey
Clinical Commissioning Group

Published September 2019

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Quality Health on behalf of NHS England



Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2018 is the eighth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development.

The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Quality Health, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

Full national results and other reports are available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports

Further details on the survey methodology and changes to the 2018 survey can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

This report

The report shows how this CCG scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual CCGs to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement.

Note that responses for questions with 1-20 respondents have been suppressed. This is to protect patient confidentiality and because uncertainty around the result is too great.

Data tables

The data tables presented in this report show the following for each question:

Column 1 shows the number of respondents for 2017 to this question

Column 2 shows the unadjusted 2017 score for this CCG

Column 3 shows the number of respondents for 2018 to this question

Column 4 shows the unadjusted 2018 score for this CCG

Column 5 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased compared with the last survey (2017)

Column 6 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased overall (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018)

Column 7 shows the case-mix adjusted 2018 score for this CCG

Column 8 shows the lower limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the top of the pale blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 9 shows the upper limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the bottom of the dark blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 10 shows the national average score for this question.

Data tables (continued)

The number of respondents and unadjusted scores in columns 1 to 4 in the data tables come from regression models used to perform comparisons between results in different years. For further details on these comparisons, please refer to the technical document at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

		Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10
			Uı	nadjuste	ed Score	es		2018	B Case	Mix Adju	usted
		20	17	20	18	Ω					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	146	77%	167	72%			77%	71%	84%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	215	74%	259	79%			82%	79%	88%	84%

Results for individual response options are presented in the detailed data tables available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/local-reports-2018/data-tables-2018

Confidence Intervals for unadjusted and case-mix adjusted data are provided in these tables.

Expected ranges and 95% confidence intervals highlight the uncertainty around the results. The size of the expected ranges and confidence intervals will be different for each question, and depends on the number of respondents and the range of their responses.

For further details on case-mix adjustment and the scoring methodology used, please refer to the Annex towards the end of this report.

Comparability charts

For the 2018 survey, we have adopted the CQC standard for reporting comparative performance, based on calculation of "expected ranges". CCGs whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (below the lower limit of the expected range) are positive (negative) outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher (lower) than the national mean. They perform better (worse) than what CCGs of the same size are expected to perform.

The comparability charts in this report show a bar with these expected ranges (in grey), higher than expected (in dark blue), and lower than expected (in pale blue). A black dot represents the actual score of this CCG.

Comparability charts (continued)

The same colour convention has been used in Column 7 of the data tables.

For further details on expected ranges, please refer to the technical document at: www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Tumour group tables

The final set of tables in this report show the scores for each question for each of the 13 tumour groups. The national score for that tumour group is also shown.

These breakdowns are intended as additional information for CCGs to understand the differences between the experiences of patients with different types of cancer. Scores for some tumour sites with small numbers (less than 21 respondents) are not presented as they have low precision. Scores in these tables should therefore be treated with some caution.

Scores are unadjusted mean scores. No measure of dispersion is presented. Scores for the same tumour and different CCGs may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document at: www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Year on Year Charts

The Year on Year charts in this report show four columns representing the unadjusted scores1 of the last four years (2015, 2016, 2017 & 2018) for each question. These charts have been designed to provide a visual comparison to better help the CCGs understand their performance and areas for improvement.

Notes on specific questions

Questions used solely to direct respondents to different parts of the survey (questions 4, 24, 27, 40, 43, 46) and other demographic and information questions are not reported.

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

¹The unadjusted scores in the columns come from regression models used to perform comparisons between results in different years. For further details on these comparisons, please refer to the technical document at:

How to use the data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the CCG.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with case-mix adjusted confidence intervals are presented in the detailed data tables at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/local-reports-2018/data-tables-2018

These should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than the results for another CCG.

Response rates

Numbers of respondents by tumour group, age and gender can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

Executive Summary

8.5 The average rating given by respondents when asked to rate their care on a scale of zero (very poor) to 10 (very good)

The following questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England*:

- **75%** of respondents said that they were definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment
- 90% of respondents said that they were given the name of a Clinical Nurse Specialist who would support them through their treatment
- **82%** of respondents said that it had been 'quite easy' or 'very easy' to contact their Clinical Nurse Specialist
- 83% of respondents said that, overall, they were always treated with dignity and respect while they were in hospital
- 91% of respondents said that hospital staff told them who to contact if they were worried about their condition or treatment after they left hospital
- 55% of respondents said that they thought the GPs and nurses at their general practice definitely did everything they could to support them while they were having cancer treatment.


Detailed results for these and other questions are set out in the sections that follow.

The questions were selected in discussion with the national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group and reflect four key patient experience domains: provision of information; involvement in decisions; care transition; interpersonal relations, respect and dignity. The figures presented above are all case-mix adjusted.

Questions which scored outside expected range

			2018	Case-mix A	djusted	
Questi	ion	Number of respondents for this CCG	2018 Score for this CCG	Lower limit of expected range	Upper limit of expected range	National Average Score
Diagn	ostic tests					
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	224	74%	74%	84%	79%
Suppo	ort for people with cancer					
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	182	76%	77%	88%	83%
Hospi	tal care as an inpatient					
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	142	66%	68%	83%	75%
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	141	58%	59%	75%	67%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	137	57%	59%	79%	69%
Q36	Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain	130	77%	78%	90%	84%
Q37	Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	142	83%	83%	94%	89%
Hospi	tal care as a day patient / outpatient					
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	195	63%	64%	77%	71%
Home	care and support					
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	195	53%	53%	67%	60%
Your o	overall NHS care					
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	246	51%	55%	68%	61%
Q56	Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	254	84%	85%	92%	88%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	250	55%	61%	77%	69%
Q58	Taking part in cancer research discussed with patient	233	42%	22%	41%	31%
Q59	Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	250	8.47	8.60	9.00	8.80

Seeing your GP



			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score		201	8 Case	se Mix Adjusted		
		2017 2018 Change							,-		
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	hange 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	146	77%	167	72%			77%	71%	84%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	215	74%	259	79%			82%	79%	88%	84%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Diagnostic Tests




			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score		201	8 Case	Mix Adju	sted	
		20	17	20	18	Ω		201	o oase	wiix 7 taja	Jiou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q5	Received all the information needed about the test	191	94%	220	92%			93%	91%	98%	94%
Q6	The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	190	81%	225	84%			86%	83%	92%	88%
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	191	72%	224	71%			74%	74%	84%	79%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Finding out what was wrong with you




		Unadjusted Scores 2017 2018 O						201	8 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		2017 2018 Change Change					201	o oase	iviix 7 taja	olou	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	198	75%	224	76%			76%	71%	84%	78%
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	215	79%	249	83%			83%	80%	89%	85%
Q10	Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	219	72%	261	68%			71%	68%	79%	74%
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	194	67%	222	67%			69%	68%	80%	74%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 1 of 2)



			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score		2018 Case Mix Adjuste			eted	
		2017 2018 Change 20 Change 20 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S					201	o Case	iviix Auju	sieu	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	205	75%	224	78%			79%	78%	88%	83%
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable way	217	67%	251	73%			72%	68%	79%	73%
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	211	60%	239	65%			65%	61%	73%	67%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 2 of 2)



			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score		201	8 Case	Mix Adjusted		
		20	17	20	18	C				,-	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q15	Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	199	52%	235	56%			55%	50%	62%	56%
Q16	Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	215	74%	251	73%			75%	74%	84%	79%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Clinical Nurse Specialist



			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score		2018 Case Mix			stad	
		2017 2018 Change 2 Change 2 Nu resp					201	o oasc	wiix Auju	3100	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	hange 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q17	Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	212	92%	251	90%			90%	88%	95%	91%
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	181	81%	204	81%			82%	80%	91%	85%
Q19	Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	168	82%	203	82%			85%	83%	92%	88%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Support for people with cancer




			ι	Jnadjuste	ed Score		2018 Case Mix Adjus			etad	
		20	17	20	18	Ω		201	o oasc	iviix Auju	3100
	Question Hospital staff gave information		Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	172	89%	200	84%			84%	81%	92%	86%
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	152	82%	182	75%			76%	77%	88%	83%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	128	60%	155	58%			56%	52%	69%	60%
Q23	Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	129	84%	157	76%			76%	75%	87%	81%

- Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

 ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

 (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
 - * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Operations




			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score		201	8 Case	Mix Adju	sted	
		2017 2018 Change							.,.		
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	hange 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q25	Beforehand had all the information needed about the operation	118	97%	125	93%			93%	92%	99%	96%
Q26	Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way	118	70%	123	74%			75%	72%	86%	79%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 3)




		Unadjusted Scores 2017 2018						201	8 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		Change Change					201	o oase	viix 7 taja	olou	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	hange 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q28	Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	131	77%	139	82%			86%	76%	89%	82%
Q29	Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	132	77%	143	82%			84%	79%	91%	85%
Q30	Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	112	66%	116	68%			68%	66%	82%	74%
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	131	66%	142	65%			66%	68%	83%	75%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 3)




			L	Jnadjust	ed Score		201	9 Casa	Mix Adju	etod	
		20)17	20	18	Ω		201	o Case	viix Auju	Sieu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	131	65%	141	57%			58%	59%	75%	67%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	129	54%	137	56%			57%	59%	79%	69%
Q34	Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	132	76%	141	87%			87%	80%	91%	86%
Q35	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	107	42%	112	46%			44%	43%	62%	53%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 3 of 3)




			ι	Inadjust	ed Score	s		2018 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		20	17	20	18	Ω		201		.viix 7 taja	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q36	Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain	123	76%	130	74%			77%	78%	90%	84%
Q37	Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	131	82%	142	82%			83%	83%	94%	89%
Q38	Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge	123	85%	129	82%			81%	81%	92%	87%
Q39	Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	126	94%	138	91%			91%	90%	98%	94%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 1 of 2)




			ι	Inadjust	ed Score	s		201	8 Case	Mix Adju	sted
		20	17	20	18	C		201	o oase	iviix 7 taja	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	179	63%	195	61%			63%	64%	77%	71%
Q42	Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	192	95%	226	93%			94%	93%	98%	96%
Q44	Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	67	85%	63	90%			91%	78%	95%	86%
Q45	Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	58	57%	52	56%			55%	46%	73%	60%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 2 of 2)




		Unadjusted Scores						2018 Case Mix Adjuste			ısted
		20	2017 2018			otou					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q47	Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	115	82%	129	84%			84%	78%	91%	84%
Q48	Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working	103	66%	120	68%			68%	59%	76%	68%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Home care and support



		Unadjust		Jnadjust	ed Score	s		201	8 Casa	Mix Adjusted	
		20)17	20	18	C		201	o Case	iviix Auju	Sieu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	175	57%	195	53%			53%	53%	67%	60%
Q50	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	109	45%	120	43%			46%	42%	63%	53%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	78	40%	80	34%			36%	34%	56%	45%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Care from your general practice




		Unadjusted Scores					2018 Case Mix Adjusted			sted	
		20	2017 2018 Ω								
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q52	GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	195	92%	226	90%			92%	92%	98%	95%
Q53 Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient		144	47%	176	53%			55%	52%	66%	59%

Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 1 of 2)



			ι	Inadjuste	ed Score	s		2018 Case Mix Adjusted			
		20	17	20	18	Ω		20.	0 0000	mix 7 taja	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	211	48%	246	49%			51%	55%	68%	61%
Q55	Patient given a care plan	166	33%	185	34%			30%	28%	43%	35%
Q56	Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	219	85%	254	84%			84%	85%	92%	88%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	216	56%	250	54%			55%	61%	77%	69%


Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score


↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 2 of 2)







		Unadjusted Scores						2018 Case Mix Adjusted			
		20	2017 2018 _O			201	2010 Gado Mix Majactea				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2017-2018	Change Overall	2018 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q59	Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	209	8.4	250	8.4			8.5	8.6	9.0	8.8

- Change 2017-2018: Indicates where 2018 score is significantly higher or lower than 2017 score
 ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018)
 (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
 - * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Comparisons by tumour group for this CCG

The following tables show the unadjusted CCG and the national percentage scores for each question broken down by tumour group. Where a cell in the table contains an asterisk this indicates that the number of patients in that group was below 21 and too small to display. Where a cell in the table contains "n.a." this indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

Seeing your GP

	Q1. Saw (twice before told had the hospital	ore being	they were	nt thought e seen as necessary
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	58%	n.a.	73%
Breast	91%	94%	88%	90%
Colorectal / LGT	*	72%	83%	83%
Gynaecological	*	75%	*	79%
Haematological	46%	64%	74%	81%
Head and Neck	*	79%	*	80%
Lung	*	71%	*	82%
Prostate	68%	81%	70%	85%
Sarcoma	*	66%	*	71%
Skin	*	90%	*	86%
Upper Gastro	*	72%	*	78%
Urological	*	81%	*	85%
Other	*	73%	69%	79%
All Cancers	72%	77%	79%	84%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Diagnostic tests

		ived all the on needed test		ing for the done was	explanati results in	n complete on of test ndable way
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	92%	n.a.	83%	n.a.	71%
Breast	94%	95%	92%	91%	69%	82%
Colorectal / LGT	95%	96%	*	87%	*	81%
Gynaecological	*	94%	*	85%	*	77%
Haematological	92%	95%	90%	88%	71%	77%
Head and Neck	*	92%	*	85%	*	80%
Lung	*	94%	*	87%	*	79%
Prostate	87%	94%	88%	86%	75%	79%
Sarcoma	*	94%	*	79%	*	74%
Skin	*	96%	*	90%	*	83%
Upper Gastro	*	94%	*	83%	*	75%
Urological	*	94%	*	87%	*	79%
Other	100%	95%	91% 86%		82%	76%
All Cancers	92%	94%	84%	88%	71%	79%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Finding out what was wrong with you

	could brit member o	client told they oring a family er or friend irst told they name of the complete sensitively that they name of the complete sensitively that they name of the explanation of what was wrong		_	nderstand formation type of			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National			This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	85%	n.a.	77%	n.a.	59%	n.a.	63%
Breast	80%	82%	89%	89%	73%	77%	66%	78%
Colorectal / LGT	*	82%	90%	86%	70%	79%	*	73%
Gynaecological	*	72%	*	82%	*	73%	*	70%
Haematological	72%	73%	87%	83%	58%	61%	80%	76%
Head and Neck	*	72%	*	86%	*	76%	*	67%
Lung	*	79%	*	82%	*	76%	*	67%
Prostate	76%	78%	78%	85%	67%	78%	73%	82%
Sarcoma	*	70%	*	79%	*	61%	*	57%
Skin	*	71%	*	90%	*	80%	*	84%
Upper Gastro	*	79%	*	80%	*	73%	*	66%
Urological	*	74%	*	82%	*	77%	*	73%
Other	69%	76%	76%	82%	61%	70%	72%	65%
All Cancers	76%	78%	83%	85%	68%	74%	67%	74%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Deciding the best treatment for you

	Q12. Pation treatment were commexplained	pletely	effects ex	sible side xplained in standable	support i	advice and n dealing effects of	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$} National		This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	81%	n.a.	70%	n.a.	64%	
Breast	79%	84%	74%	75%	64%	69%	
Colorectal / LGT	*	85%	62%	76%	*	70%	
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	75%	*	67%	
Haematological	81%	81%	76%	70%	59%	66%	
Head and Neck	*	85%	*	74%	*	70%	
Lung	*	84%	*	74%	*	69%	
Prostate	69%	82%	70%	72%	65%	65%	
Sarcoma	*	79%	*	72%	*	62%	
Skin	*	89%	*	80%	*	74%	
Upper Gastro	*	81%	*	72%	*	68%	
Urological	*	82%	*	71%	*	62%	
Other	79%	80%	77%	72%	76%	64%	
All Cancers	78%	83%	73%	73%	65%	67%	

	side effec	told about ets that ect them in		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	61%	n.a.	70%
Breast	61%	56%	79%	79%
Colorectal / LGT	43%	58%	59%	80%
Gynaecological	*	55%	*	79%
Haematological	58%	51%	76%	77%
Head and Neck	*	62%	*	79%
Lung	*	56%	*	79%
Prostate	59%	64%	70%	81%
Sarcoma	*	52%	*	75%
Skin	*	66%	*	87%
Upper Gastro	*	54%	*	76%
Urological	*	53%	*	77%
Other	55%	53%	69%	76%
All Cancers	56%	56%	73%	79%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Clinical Nurse Specialist

	Q17. Pation the name CNS who support the through the treatment of the through the treatment of the through the treatment of t	of the would hem heir	Q18. Patient found it easy to contact their CNS		Q19. Get understar answers important all or mos time	to t questions
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	94%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	84%
Breast	95%	95%	83%	85%	82%	88%
Colorectal / LGT	87%	92%	*	88%	*	89%
Gynaecological	*	94%	*	85%	*	88%
Haematological	92%	92%	81%	88%	76%	89%
Head and Neck	*	90%	*	87%	*	88%
Lung	*	93%	*	87%	*	88%
Prostate	80%	90%	*	82%	*	87%
Sarcoma	*	87%	*	84%	*	87%
Skin	*	91%	*	89%	*	91%
Upper Gastro	*	93%	*	85%	*	87%
Urological	*	84%	*	82%	*	87%
Other	97%	88%	76%	85%	82%	87%
All Cancers	90%	91%	81%	85%	82%	88%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Support for people with cancer

	Q20. Hos gave info about sup groups		-		Q22. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help		Q23. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	85%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	70%	n.a.	79%
Breast	88%	91%	80%	86%	63%	65%	69%	82%
Colorectal / LGT	*	86%	*	84%	*	58%	*	83%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	82%	*	61%	*	77%
Haematological	93%	86%	78%	84%	67%	62%	93%	87%
Head and Neck	*	86%	*	83%	*	60%	*	82%
Lung	*	86%	*	81%	*	71%	*	85%
Prostate	83%	89%	*	85%	*	51%	*	79%
Sarcoma	*	79%	*	71%	*	56%	*	75%
Skin	*	89%	*	84%	*	60%	*	72%
Upper Gastro	*	84%	*	81%	*	63%	*	84%
Urological	*	79%	*	75%	*	44%	*	68%
Other	77%	82%	*	78%	41%	58%	77%	80%
All Cancers	84%	86%	75%	83%	58%	60%	76%	81%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Operations

			Q26. Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National		
Brain / CNS	n.a.	94%	n.a.	70%		
Breast	88%	96%	72%	79%		
Colorectal / LGT	*	96%	*	83%		
Gynaecological	*	96%	*	81%		
Haematological	*	94%	*	77%		
Head and Neck	*	95%	*	78%		
Lung	*	95%	*	78%		
Prostate	*	95%	*	75%		
Sarcoma	*	94%	*	78%		
Skin	*	97%	*	84%		
Upper Gastro	*	95%	*	80%		
Urological	*	95%	*	76%		
Other	*	95%	*	78%		
All Cancers	93%	96%	74%	79%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 2)

		or nurses alk in front as if they	Q29. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them		Q30. Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor		Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	73%	n.a.	77%	n.a.	66%	n.a.	67%
Breast	94%	89%	88%	86%	81%	77%	71%	77%
Colorectal / LGT	*	77%	*	86%	*	73%	*	71%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	86%	*	72%	*	73%
Haematological	*	81%	*	81%	*	74%	*	76%
Head and Neck	*	79%	*	86%	*	75%	*	74%
Lung	*	77%	*	83%	*	74%	*	76%
Prostate	*	86%	*	89%	*	73%	*	80%
Sarcoma	*	80%	*	84%	*	72%	*	68%
Skin	*	89%	*	90%	*	81%	*	87%
Upper Gastro	*	74%	*	82%	*	73%	*	71%
Urological	*	80%	*	87%	*	70%	*	78%
Other	*	80%	*	82%	*	71%	*	72%
All Cancers	82%	82%	82%	85%	68%	74%	65%	75%

	Q32. Alwa always er nurses or	_	Q33. All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by		patient what name enough privacy able to they preferred to be when discussing worries		when discussing condition or		Q35. Pation able to dispersion worries on with staff visit	scuss r fears
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National		
Brain / CNS	n.a.	57%	n.a.	68%	n.a.	77%	n.a.	40%		
Breast	65%	71%	55%	64%	91%	87%	65%	56%		
Colorectal / LGT	*	62%	*	71%	*	85%	*	54%		
Gynaecological	*	67%	*	67%	*	84%	*	51%		
Haematological	*	64%	*	71%	*	86%	*	55%		
Head and Neck	*	66%	*	69%	*	87%	*	55%		
Lung	*	70%	*	74%	*	85%	*	52%		
Prostate	*	73%	*	69%	*	88%	*	51%		
Sarcoma	*	64%	*	66%	*	85%	*	46%		
Skin	*	80%	*	72%	*	91%	*	59%		
Upper Gastro	*	61%	*	75%	*	84%	*	51%		
Urological	*	69%	*	73%	*	85%	*	47%		
Other	*	62%	*	68%	*	82%	*	48%		
All Cancers	57%	67%	56%	69%	87%	86%	46%	53%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 2)

	Q36. Hos definitely everythin control pa	g to help	Q37. Always treated with respect and dignity by staff		Q38. Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge		/ contact if worried	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	82%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	94%
Breast	83%	86%	84%	89%	94%	92%	97%	96%
Colorectal / LGT	*	85%	*	87%	*	84%	*	94%
Gynaecological	*	83%	*	87%	*	88%	*	95%
Haematological	*	83%	*	90%	*	81%	*	95%
Head and Neck	*	83%	*	87%	*	88%	*	93%
Lung	*	84%	*	89%	*	84%	*	92%
Prostate	*	84%	*	91%	*	90%	*	95%
Sarcoma	*	80%	*	85%	*	81%	*	94%
Skin	n.a.	88%	*	93%	n.a.	91%	*	96%
Upper Gastro	*	82%	*	86%	*	82%	*	94%
Urological	*	81%	*	89%	*	86%	*	91%
Other	*	81%	*	87%	*	83%	*	92%
All Cancers	74%	84%	82%	89%	82%	87%	91%	94%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient

	Q41. Pation able to dispersion worries on with staff visit	scuss r fears	Q42. Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them		Q44. Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment		Q45. Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	64%	n.a.	94%	n.a.	88%	n.a.	47%
Breast	66%	70%	96%	96%	*	88%	*	61%
Colorectal / LGT	*	73%	*	96%	*	84%	*	57%
Gynaecological	*	71%	*	96%	*	86%	*	61%
Haematological	62%	74%	100%	96%	*	83%	*	59%
Head and Neck	*	73%	*	96%	*	86%	*	61%
Lung	*	69%	*	95%	*	85%	*	56%
Prostate	*	73%	86%	95%	*	87%	*	61%
Sarcoma	*	63%	*	94%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	65%
Skin	*	74%	*	97%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	72%
Upper Gastro	*	70%	*	95%	*	82%	*	53%
Urological	*	67%	*	95%	*	82%	*	55%
Other	66%	68%	92%	95%	*	85%	*	60%
All Cancers	61%	71%	93%	96%	90%	86%	56%	60%

	Q47. Before patient had information about chemothed treatments.	ad all on needed erapy	Q48. Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National		
Brain / CNS	n.a.	79%	n.a.	50%		
Breast	91%	83%	67%	64%		
Colorectal / LGT	*	85%	*	64%		
Gynaecological	* 86%		*	68%		
Haematological	88%	85%	77%	75%		
Head and Neck	*	79%	*	54%		
Lung	*	84%	*	67%		
Prostate	*	85%	*	68%		
Sarcoma	*	83%	*	67%		
Skin	n.a.	86%	n.a.	79%		
Upper Gastro	*	84%	*	61%		
Urological	*	82%	*	65%		
Other	*	85%	*	70%		
All Cancers	84%	84%	68%	68%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Home care and support

	Q49. Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home		Q50. Pation definitely enough someone from heal social set during tree	given upport th or rvices	Q51. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	60%	n.a.	50%	n.a.	48%
Breast	50%	59%	38%	54%	*	42%
Colorectal / LGT	57%	63%	*	60%	*	52%
Gynaecological	*	59%	*	47%	*	38%
Haematological	58%	63%	*	52%	*	44%
Head and Neck	*	63%	*	56%	*	53%
Lung	*	60%	*	52%	*	43%
Prostate	*	60%	*	46%	*	40%
Sarcoma	*	55%	*	49%	*	45%
Skin	*	67%	*	60%	n.a.	59%
Upper Gastro	*	60%	*	53%	*	48%
Urological	*	59%	* 47%		*	44%
Other	38%	56%	*	52%	*	44%
All Cancers	53%	60%	43%	53%	34%	45%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Care from your general practice

	Q52. GP of enough in about pat condition treatment	nformation tient`s and	Q53. Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National		
Brain / CNS	n.a.	88%	n.a.	51%		
Breast	92%	96%	56%	59%		
Colorectal / LGT	91%	95%	*	58%		
Gynaecological	* 95%		*	57%		
Haematological	92%	95%	56%	58%		
Head and Neck	*	93%	*	58%		
Lung	*	94%	*	58%		
Prostate	86%	95%	32%	64%		
Sarcoma	*	95%	*	53%		
Skin	*	97%	*	67%		
Upper Gastro	*	94%	*	58%		
Urological	*	95%	*	61%		
Other	91%	94%	52%	56%		
All Cancers	90%	95%	53%	59%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Your overall NHS care

	Q54. Hospital and community staff always worked well together		Q55. Patient given a care plan		Q56. Overall the administration of the care was very good / good		Q57. Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	45%	n.a.	33%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	59%
Breast	58%	61%	30%	39%	87%	90%	58%	68%
Colorectal / LGT	48%	61%	*	38%	83%	88%	54%	72%
Gynaecological	*	58%	*	31%	*	87%	*	69%
Haematological	50%	64%	42%	35%	90%	91%	48%	66%
Head and Neck	*	61%	*	37%	*	88%	*	71%
Lung	*	62%	*	31%	*	89%	*	71%
Prostate	41%	65%	*	36%	81%	87%	65%	75%
Sarcoma	*	54%	*	28%	*	86%	*	64%
Skin	*	71%	*	42%	*	91%	*	73%
Upper Gastro	*	59%	*	35%	*	86%	*	68%
Urological	*	62%	*	30%	*	85%	*	75%
Other	57%	57%	26%	30%	89%	87%	46%	63%
All Cancers	49%	61%	34%	35%	84%	88%	54%	69%


	Q58. Taki cancer re discusse patient		Q59. Patient`s average rating of care scored from very poor to very good		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	39%	n.a.	8.4	
Breast	47%	31%	8.3	8.9	
Colorectal / LGT	45%	33%	8.4	8.8	
Gynaecological	*	37%	*	8.8	
Haematological	50%	32%	8.3	8.9	
Head and Neck	*	23%	*	8.8	
Lung	*	35%	*	8.8	
Prostate	50%	33%	8.8	8.8	
Sarcoma	*	40%	*	8.6	
Skin	*	16%	*	9.0	
Upper Gastro	*	35%	*	8.7	
Urological	*	21%	*	8.7	
Other	59%	32%	8.4	8.7	
All Cancers	44%	31%	8.4	8.8	


^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Year on Year Scores

The charts below present the unadjusted scores for this Trust from 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018.


Seeing your GP







^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Diagnostic tests










^{*} This question was not asked on the 2015 survey

 $^{^{\}star\star} \text{Indicates}$ where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Finding out what was wrong with you








^{*} This question had different scored options on the 2015 survey


^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Finding out what was wrong with you (continued)




^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Deciding the best treatment for you









^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Deciding the best treatment for you (continued)







^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Clinical Nurse Specialist










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Support for people with cancer









^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Support for people with cancer (continued)



^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Operations








^{*} This question was not asked on the 2015 survey

^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (continued)










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (continued)









^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (continued)










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (continued)










^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Home care and support









^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Care from your general practice







^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care










 $^{^{\}star\star} \text{Indicates}$ where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (continued)







^{**}Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Annex

Methodology

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2018.

The patients included in the sample had relevant cancer ICD10 codes (C00-99 excluding C44 and C84, and D05) in the first diagnosis field of their patient records, applied to their patient files by the relevant NHS Trust, and were alive at the point at which fieldwork commenced. Deceased checks were undertaken on up to three occasions during fieldwork, to ensure that questionnaires were not sent to patients who had died since their treatment.

Trust samples were checked rigorously for duplicates and patient lists were also deduplicated nationally to ensure that patients did not receive multiple copies of questionnaires.

The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2018 and March 2019.

The survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete online. A Freephone helpline was available for respondents to ask questions about the survey, to enable them to complete their questionnaires over the phone, and to provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

The Health Research Authority supported the survey by granting Section 251 approval.

Further information

Further information on survey methodology, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports

Redevelopment of the 2018 survey

There have been no changes to the questionnaire compared to 2017.

Official Statistics

The 2018 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

Annex (continued)

Scoring methodologies

51 of the 52 questions relating directly to patient experience have been summarised as the score of the percentage of patients who reported a positive experience. For example:

- question 6 asks: "Overall, how did you feel about the length of time you had to wait for your test to be done?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("It was about right")
- question 11 asks: "When you were told you had cancer, were you given written information about the type of cancer you had?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("Yes, and it was easy to understand").

Neutral responses, such as "Don't know / I can't remember" and "I did not need an explanation" are not included in the denominator when calculating the score.

Where options do not provide any information on positive/negative patient experience (e.g. "Don't know / can't remember"), they are excluded from the score.

The other question (question 59) asks respondents to rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10. Scores have been given as an average on this scale.

A copy of the detailed scoring methodology for the 2018 questionnaire is available at: www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Further details on the scoring methodology can be found in the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Case-mix adjustment

As in 2017, case-mix adjusted findings are being presented alongside unadjusted results for CCGs. Case-mix adjustment allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a CCG is performing given their patient population.

The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Annex (continued)

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2018 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'.

Each of the 52 scored questions in 2018 have been compared with those of 2017 and statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the 49 scored questions that are comparable across 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018, overall statistically significant change over the four years has also been reported where identified.

'Statistically significant' means that you can be very confident that any change between scores is real and not due to chance.

For further details on statistical significance, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk/reports/2018-reports/guidance-material-and-survey-materials-2018

Response Rates

	Sample Size	Excluded	Adjusted Sample	Not Returned	Blank / Refused	Completed	Response Rate
National	123,512	8,445	115,067	37,171	4,079	73,817	64%
08D	540	41	499	230	4	265	53%

Respondents by tumour group

The tables below show the numbers of patients from each tumour group and the age and gender distribution of these patients.

Tumour Group	Number of respondents*		
Brain / CNS	0		
Breast	60		
Colorectal / LGT	24		
Gynaecological	9		
Haematological	40		
Head and Neck	8		
Lung	15		
Prostate	27		
Sarcoma	5		
Skin	7		
Upper Gastro	15		
Urological	16		
Other	39		

^{*} These figures may not match the numerator for all questions in the 'Comparisons by tumour group' section of this report, because not all questions were answered by all respondents.

Respondents by age and gender

The questionnaire asked respondents to give their year of birth. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the CCG was as follows:

	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	85+	Total
Male	2	2	7	15	35	34	21	0	116
Female	3	1	11	20	40	48	22	4	149
Total	5	3	18	35	75	82	43	4	265



Quality Health is a specialist health and social care survey organisation, working for public, private and not-for-profit sectors, in the UK and overseas.

Quality Health works with all acute hospitals in England, all independent providers of hospital care, and all Health Boards in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Quality Health is an approved contractor for the Care Quality Commission's patient survey programmes, NHS England's National Staff Survey programme, and the national Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs).

Further information on Quality Health is available at:

www.quality-health.co.uk

Further information on the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk